

# India: Abusive Working Conditions on Tea Plantations Owned by the World Bank

By [Pratap Chatterjee](#)

Global Research, February 21, 2014

[Corpwatch](#) 20 February 2014

Region: [Asia](#)

Theme: [Poverty & Social Inequality](#)

Tea workers gather to speak to researchers. Photo: Columbia Law School.

The World Bank has agreed to [investigate Amalgamated Plantations Private Limited \(APPL\) in India for abusive working conditions on tea plantations in the north-eastern Indian state of Assam](#), following a formal complaint by workers. A Columbia Law School team has confirmed the workers allegations.

In 2009, the International Finance Corporation (IFC), an arm of the World Bank, [invested \\$6.7 million into the newly created APPL to take over 25 plantations](#) from the Tata Group, a major Indian multinational. In return, the IFC got a 19.9 percent in the new entity while Tata kept 41 percent ownership. The 31,000 workers on the plantations were offered shares in the new company.

The APPL holdings number among the roughly 1,000 Assamese tea plantations that were established on tribal lands during the days of the British empire and now supply one sixth of the world's tea supply. To this day the workers on the tea estates are the direct descendants of the families whose land were taken to create them in the first place.

At the time that the IFC made the investment, it sent staff to visit three plantations to check if the new company was in compliance with Indian laws as well as World Bank standards for environmental and social sustainability. The IFC issued an assessment that was "positive without reservation."

Over the last three years, Columbia Law School teams visited 17 of the plantations to investigate actual working conditions. Their final report titled: "[The More Things Change...': The World Bank, Tata and Enduring Abuses on India's Tea Plantations](#)" was ready for publication in January.

"The IFC failed even to note the appalling living conditions that plague tea plantations generally, and are immediately visible to anyone visiting worker residences at APPL estates," the Columbia report states. "On every plantation visited, workers showed researchers dilapidated homes lacking protection from rain and wind, each dwelling often housing the families of several workers."

Healthcare for workers and their families – required under the law – was either denied and sometimes workers were even allegedly abused at the hospitals. At least two workers died on the job – Gopal Tanti, a 25-year-old pesticide sprayer, who collapsed and died on the job on May 28, 2010, and Raimati Majhi, a 55-year-old worker, who died in December 28, 2011.

To make matters worse, workers say that APPL has been expanding into new production sectors like fisheries by seizing the little land that the tribals were left in the 19th century to grow subsistence crops.

The Columbia researchers also say that APPL management told them not to trust what workers said because they were 'just like cattle.'

"Had the IFC done its homework," says Sarah Mechlovitz Saadoun, a Columbia student involved in the field research, "it would have recognized the huge gap between the claims of the company and the actual conditions for workers."

"Worker ownership and diversification - the most highly vaunted elements of the transition - are obviously appealing," says Ashwini Sukthankar, who co-directed the research. "But the implementation was so outrageous that it casts doubt on the sincerity of the project."

"The IFC acted with an excess of enthusiasm and an absence of attention to the known problems in the plantation sector," added Peter Rosenblum, a law school professor who oversaw the student research.

Columbia agreed to delay publication of their report last month while APPL and the IFC looked into the matter. Meanwhile [workers from tea plantations in Nahorani, Majuli, and Hattigor, supported by Accountability Counsel, an NGO in San Francisco, filed a formal complaint](#) with the IFC's Office of the Compliance Advisor/Ombudsman (CAO) on February 2nd. Nine days later, the CAO agreed to pursue a formal investigation, and the Columbia report was released the following day.

"We appreciate the considerable work done by the research team on the challenges facing the tea industry in India," wrote the IFC in a press statement. "We are [reviewing their findings together with APPL](#) and will take into account their recommendations as we continue to work to improve conditions on the ground."

The company has defended the working conditions. "Wages are paid as per industry agreements," Kaushik Biswas, an Amalgamated spokesman told Agency France Press by email. "[Cash wage plus benefits total up to 189 rupees \(US\\$3\) per man \(a\) day.](#)"

Following the release of the Columbia report, the media has begun to visit APPL's plantations where they have interviewed workers who confirmed the findings. "[When big people come to visit, they give \[protective masks\] to us,](#)" Raju Mantra, a plantation worker, told the New York Times. "But then they put it back in storage, saying that if we wear it every day, it will wear out."

This is the second major IFC project to come under fire for human rights abuses in as many months. In January CAO completed an investigation into a \$30 million loan to Corporación Dinant in Honduras in 2009 for a palm oil plantation project despite an escalating conflict that has resulted in the killing of at least 19 local farmers. [CAO confirmed that World Bank officials should have raised serious questions about human rights abuses](#) before committing the money, but did not recommend canceling the project.

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Pratap Chatterjee](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)