

Imperialism Provokes Military Intervention in Venezuela: Blood Along Brazil Border

By Farooque Chowdhury

Global Research, February 24, 2019

Countercurrents 23 February 2019

Region: Latin America & Caribbean, USA

Theme: Law and Justice, Media

Disinformation

Imperialism has initiated provocation for beginning its planned military intervention in Venezuela. Imperialism is treading a bloody path. Already it has spilled blood along Brazil border.

The MSM including *Reuters*, *BBC*, *The Independent* reported on February 22, 2019: Incident of death and injury at Venezuela's border with Brazil.

However, an *AFP* report said: On Friday morning in the southern Venezuelan town of Kumarakapay, a group of persons confronted the Venezuelan security forces while the forces were trying to move to secure the country's border.

A BBC report said: A group tried to block the Venezuelan security forces from travelling to the border.

A Kumarakapay, Venezuela/Cucuta, Colombia datelined *Reuters* report said: "Friday's violence broke out as indigenous leaders in southern Venezuela said they had attempted to stop a military convoy heading toward the border with Brazil [...]"

"Gran Sabana mayor Emilio Gonzalez said members of the Pemon indigenous group clashed with the Venezuela National Guard and the army [...] Mr Gonzalez claimed the soldiers fired rubber bullets and tear gas", said the *Reuters* report.

Another MSM report said: "[A]t a road blockade in Venezuela, [...] lawmakers clashed with soldiers".

The Venezuelan government dismissed the proxy camp's accusations that soldiers used live fire against civilians.

"What happened has nothing to do with the versions that have circulated," Venezuela's foreign minister, Jorge Arreaza, said in New York at UN headquarters, claiming that some of the wounded were injured with "knives, machetes, and even arrows." Maduro "would never give orders to shoot unarmed people," the foreign minister insisted.

Arreaza accused the proxy camp of following imperialism's regime change plan and seeking to provoke the armed forces into clashes.

Details of the incidents will reveal more. But, it is clear that acts of provocation are already on the move. Otherwise, security forces wouldn't have been obstructed and confronted while the security personnel were moving to secure the border of the country, and lawmakers wouldn't have clashed with soldiers. Is there any sovereign country that allows such acts – obstruction in the path of securing the border?

Then, the question comes: why a group of persons was organized and activated to obstruct and confront security forces while the force moves to secure border? Who organized these persons to obstruct the security forces while the forces were moving to deliver the lawfully assigned job?

The acts of provocation turn clear if claim made by the spokesperson of the Russian Foreign Ministry is considered:

"We have evidence that US companies and their NATO allies are working on the issue of acquiring a large batch of weapons and ammunition in an Eastern European country for their subsequent transfer to Venezuelan opposition forces."

Maria Zakharova, the spokesperson, made further claims while making a briefing: The US is planning to transfer weapons and special troops close to Venezuela.

The spokesperson's other observations are also significant as she said:

"The development of events in Venezuela has come to a critical point, everyone understands this. On 23 February, a dangerous large-scale provocation is set to take place, instigated by the Washington-led crossing of the Venezuelan border with a so-called humanitarian convoy, which may lead to clashes between supporters and opponents, forming a convenient pretext for military action to remove the current legitimate president from government."

In very-recent past, such acts of provocation are many – beginning from Latin America to Asia, from Nicaragua to Syria.

Within the last few days, air force planes from at least two countries – the US and Brazil – already landed in areas bordering Venezuela. The planes, it was told, carried materials claiming to be of humanitarian nature.

But, the question comes: Why not hand over the material claimed to be of humanitarian nature to the constitutionally defined party – the government of Maduro. Have not other countries including Cuba, Russia and China done so?

Unusual movement of air force/military planes of a major country involved in the act of intervention in Venezuela have been reported by Cuba. President of one country publicly suggested armed forces of another country to revolt against democratically elected government. A lawmaker of Venezuela also made similar call. How many similar examples are there in the modern day-world? Shall any sovereign country keep its eyes close to such acts of provocation?

Memories of the (in)famous White Helmets in Syria are fresh in the public mind. Still fresh is the incident in a small Syrian town that saw flaring up of a small protest into armed clashes. Public mind has not forgotten the tact with which clashes were incited and organized in Libya.

The public mind has not forgotten the concocted story of Saddam Husain's "Weapons of Mass Destruction", the drama with a vial in the UN, which were totally false and fake. All these stories were organized, fabricated in the house of imperialism, and the MSM, essentially imperialist media in today's world, faithfully propagated the stories.

In Venezuela today, the same story is unfolding: An intervention, with possibilities of armed aggression, a proxy war, and, acts of provocation for initiating the planned proxy war or armed aggression. Therefore, the Venezuelan border area has been soaked with blood through an act of provocation.

Now, it depends on the Venezuelan people, and people around the world to expose these acts of provocation enacted by Washington and stand in solidarity in the struggle against imperialist intervention.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Farooque Chowdhury writes from Dhaka, Bangladesh.

The original source of this article is <u>Countercurrents</u> Copyright © <u>Farooque Chowdhury</u>, <u>Countercurrents</u>, 2019

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Farooque Chowdhury

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca