

Imperial Lawlessness

By Mark Taliano

Global Research, August 23, 2016

Region: <u>Middle East & North Africa</u> Theme: <u>Crimes against Humanity</u>, <u>US</u>

NATO War Agenda In-depth Report: SYRIA

The dirty war on Syria will be settled on the battlefield. International law is broken.

Powerful nations continue to enjoy impunity for their crimes, and they have no reason to expect that they will be prosecuted.

The credibly accused war criminals responsible for war crimes against countries that include Irag, Libya, and now Syria, will not be prosecuted.

International law is selective, and the powerful nations know this. They are repeat offenders, and their impunity emboldens them.

If a just peace, and respect for international law, was the desired outcome in Syria, then the West, including Canada, would reverse course.

The United States Peace Council (USPC) offers simple steps that are immediately attainable. Peace would be achieved if the West and its allies were to:

- Stop bombing Syrian economic infrastructure in the name of fighting ISIS.
- Stop injecting foreign fighters into Syria.
- Stop funding, organizing and arming the combatants in Syria.
- Lift all sanctions on Svria.
- Provide humanitarian aid to the Syrian people.
- Help the Syrian refugees settle wherever they want including back in Syria.

Confusion-mongers would have us believe that it isn't simple, only because they are allied with the terrorists invading Syria, and their interests are not peace.

NATO does not want peace, neither do Wahhabi Saudi Arabia, apartheid Israel, or the Persian Gulf Monarchies/dictatorships.

The case for peace is strong, and encoded in laws, conventions, and charters. <u>Article 51</u> of the UN Charter states that,

Nothing in the present Charter shall impair the inherent right of individual or collective self-defence if an armed attack occurs against a Member of the United Nations, until the Security Council has taken the measures necessary to maintain international peace and security."Mercenary terrorists –proxies for the West and its allies—have been criminally assaulting UN member Syria for years now, while Syria has been legally defending itself.

Syria and its allies respect the rule of law, unlike those countries – including Canada – which oppose international law, as evidenced by their failed attempts to impose illegal <u>regime change</u>.

UN Resolution 2254 is also very clear. The author writes in <u>"Canada Supports The ISIS and Every Other Terrorist Group which is Trying to Destroy Syria"</u> that Canada

categorically rejects international law as well as <u>UN Security Council Resolution</u> <u>2254</u>, which states that the war on Syria demands a 'Syrian-led, Syria-owned political transition to end the conflict.'

Video: UNSC Session on Syria

The West's on-going violations against Syria share common elements with the West's violations against Nicaragua during the 1980s, so there is a legal precedent. The International Court at the Hague ruled, on June 26, 1986 as follows:

Decision of the International Court at the Hague

Decides that the United States of America, by training, arming, equipping, financing and supplying the 'contra' forces or otherwise encouraging, supporting and aiding military and paramilitary activities in and against Nicaragua, has acted, against the Republic of Nicaragua, in breach of its obligation under customary international law not to intervene in the affairs of another State.

Ample evidence demonstrates, and has demonstrated for years, that the West and its allies are committing the same crimes against Syria. We are training, arming, financing, supplying, and protecting all of the mercenary terrorists invading Syria. They are our proxy soldiers.

Furthermore, all of this Western orchestrated death and destruction continues to be waged without a declaration of war.

http://www.globalresearch.ca/the-laws-of-war-air-tasking-order-from-the-pentagon-and-the-un-security-council/5541899

In light of recent events, and US threats against Syria, Olaf Brescia explains in <u>"The Laws of War: Air Tasking Order from the Pentagon and the UN Security Council"</u> that

because the United States and its coalition partners have neither been invited into Syria nor has war been declared against Syria or its partners, all aircraft in Syrian airspace other than those of the:

- 1. Syrian Arab Air Force
- 2. Russian Air Force
- 3. Iraqi Air Force

4. Islamic Republic of Iran Air Force

are prohibited from carrying air-to-air missiles over Syrian airspace.

Daesh (ISIL/ISIS) has no air force in Syria; therefore air-to-air missiles carried by US-coalition aircraft have no legitimate purpose except to employ them against '1' through '4.'

US-coalition aircraft may only retain an internal gun and flare/chaff dispensers for self-defense. All air-to-air weapons are prohibited.

Violators will be photographed, national origin and tail number recorded, and escorted out of Syrian airspace.

Repeat offenders could be shot down and its aircrew returned to nation of origin.

Aircraft that can carry air-to-air missile rounds in internal weapon bays – are prohibited."

The on-going and accelerating lawlessness of the West and its allies is unfolding within a framework of unprecedented state-sponsored terrorism. According to a plausible study by a German think tank, the <u>"Firil Centre For Studies"</u>, about 360,000 foreign terrorist mercenaries fought in Syria, against the legitimate government of Syria, between April 10, 2011 and January 31, 2016.

And the dirty war continues, as Western citizens remain lulled by sophisticated mainstream media (MSM) propaganda, the effectiveness of which far exceeds any propaganda that <u>North Korea</u> might be able to project on its citizens.

Humanity's hopes for a better future are being suppressed by broken laws, by impunity, and by engineered political passivity.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Mark Taliano, Global Research, 2016

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Mark Taliano

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted

material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca