

## How Human Rights Watch Whitewashed a Right-Wing Massacre in Bolivia

While some may be surprised by its response to the Bolivia crisis, Human Rights Watch's support for a U.S.-backed right-wing coup is no aberration.

By [Alan MacLeod](#)

Global Research, November 22, 2019

[MintPress News](#) 20 November 2019

Region: [Latin America & Caribbean](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Media Disinformation](#)

*Bolivia is currently in turmoil after President Evo Morales was deposed in a [U.S.-supported coup d'état on November 10](#). The new coup government forced Morales into exile, began arresting politicians and journalists while [pre-exonerating security services](#) of all crimes committed during the "re-establishment of order," effectively giving them a license to kill all resistance to their rule. Dozens have died and massacres of indigenous protesters have occurred in the city of Cochabamba and the small town of Senkata.*

In confusing and alarming situations such as these, millions of people around the world look to international human rights organizations for leadership and guidance. However, far from standing up for the oppressed, Human Rights Watch has effectively endorsed the events. In its [official communiqué](#), it refrained from using the word coup, insisting Morales "resigned", its Americas Director José Miguel Vivanco claiming the President stepped down "after weeks of civil unrest and violent clashes" and does not even mention [opposition violence against his party](#) or the role of the military in demanding, at gunpoint, that he resign. Therefore, Morales mysteriously "traveled to Mexico," in the organization's words, rather than fleeing there to escape arrest. Instead, it tacitly endorses the new government, advising it to "prioritize rights."

Human Rights Watch Director Kenneth Roth went further, presenting the elected head of state fleeing the country at gunpoint as a refreshing step forward for democracy, [claiming that](#) Morales was "the casualty of a counter-revolution aimed at defending democracy...against electoral fraud and his own illegal candidacy," noting that Morales had ordered the army to shoot protesters.

Bolivia's Evo Morales was "the casualty of a counter-revolution aimed at defending democracy..against electoral fraud & his own illegal candidacy. The army w/drew its support because it was not prepared to fire on people in order to sustain him in power." <https://t.co/jeVnGta0Kk>

— Kenneth Roth (@KenRoth) [November 18, 2019](#)

Roth also described the coup approvingly as an "[uprising](#)" and a "[transitional moment](#)" for Bolivia, while presenting President Morales as an out-of-touch "[strongman](#)."

The most important thing now in this transitional moment for Bolivia is ensuring that authorities reestablish the rule of law and protect fundamental rights, including to protest peacefully and to vote in transparent, competitive, and fair elections. <https://t.co/ayWcCKdedS> [pic.twitter.com/WdxFmxEuhw](https://t.co/WdxFmxEuhw)

— Kenneth Roth (@KenRoth) [November 13, 2019](#)

New self-declared President Jeanine Añez, whose party received 4% of the vote share in the October elections, has already expelled hundreds of Cuban doctors, broken off ties to Venezuela and pulled Bolivia out of multiple international and intercontinental organizations and treaties. She [describes](#) the indigenous majority of Bolivians as “satanic” and insists they should not be allowed to live in cities, instead, being sent to the desert or the sparsely populated highlands. Añez [declared](#) that she is “committed to taking all measures necessary to pacify” the population.

"I dream of a Bolivia free of satanic indigenous practices. The city is not for the Indian: they should go to the highlands or the desert" - new US-backed self-declared President of Bolivia Jeanine Añez. [pic.twitter.com/fD7jpnYJHX](https://t.co/fD7jpnYJHX)

— Alan MacLeod (@AlanRMacLeod) [November 13, 2019](#)

Human Rights Watch [described](#) the law giving Bolivian security forces complete impunity to kill dissenters as a “problematic decree,” as if Añez had used racially insensitive language, rather than was ordering a massacre. In [its statement](#), it noted that “nine people died and 122 were wounded” during the Cochabamba demonstration, leaving its readers completely in the dark about who died and who was responsible for the killing.

A long history of double standards

Human Rights Watch was originally established in 1978 as Helsinki Watch, an American organization dedicated to exposing the crimes of Eastern Bloc countries and monitoring their compliance with the Helsinki Accords. Since its establishment, it has consistently been criticized for being an agent of U.S. foreign policy, employing former U.S. government officials in key positions, and for [displaying bias](#) against leftist governments unfriendly to the United States.

For example, a 2008 report on human rights violations in Venezuela authored by Jose Vivanco was [immediately panned](#) by hundreds of academics and Latin American scholars, claiming the “grossly flawed” document “did not meet even the most minimal standards of scholarship, impartiality, accuracy, or credibility.” Indeed, Vivanco openly stated his biases, revealing that he wrote the report “because we wanted to demonstrate to the world that Venezuela is not a model for anyone.”

In contrast, Human Rights Watch was [relatively silent](#) on the Honduran coup d’état that deposed leftist President Manuel Zelaya, and the repression that came after, effectively carrying water for U.S.-backed regime change. As Bernie Sanders’ Communications Director Keane Bhatt [wrote](#):

Human Rights Watch's deep ties to U.S. corporate and state sectors should disqualify the institution from any public pretense of independence."

Likewise, Amnesty International's image as a defender of human rights hides a dark past of being effectively a front organization for Western governments. As *MintPress News* [revealed earlier this year](#), one co-founder of the organization, Peter Benenson was an avowed anti-communist with deep ties to the British Foreign and Colonial Offices, propping up the Apartheid regime of South Africa at the British government's request. Another co-founder, Luis Kutner, was an FBI asset who was involved in the government's assassination of Black Panther leader Fred Hampton. Kutner [went on to form](#) an organization called "Friends of the FBI", dedicated to countering and combating criticism of the Bureau.

Therefore, while some may be surprised by its response to the Bolivia crisis, Human Rights Watch's applause of the U.S.-backed right-wing coup against a democratically elected leftist head of state may not be an aberration or a mistake, but it performing its actual purpose in reinforcing U.S. hegemony by condemning any leftist challengers in America's "backyard."

\*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

*Alan MacLeod is a MintPress Staff Writer as well as an academic and writer for [Fairness and Accuracy in Reporting](#). His book, [Bad News From Venezuela: Twenty Years of Fake News and Misreporting](#) was published in April.*

*Featured image: Jeanine Anez receiving the presidential sash from a representative of the Bolivian military (photo: EFE)*

The original source of this article is [MintPress News](#)  
Copyright © [Alan MacLeod](#), [MintPress News](#), 2019

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Alan MacLeod](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

