

How the War Industry Corrupts the U.S. Congress

How can we, the public, make our voices heard by a Congress corrupted by war profiteers, when the war industry can buy a bipartisan majority for war and militarism in the U.S. Senate with such a tiny fraction of its profits?

By [Medea Benjamin](#) and [Nicolas J. S. Davies](#)

Global Research, June 08, 2018

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Law and Justice](#)

*Former **President Jimmy Carter** has called U.S. politics a system of [“legalized bribery”](#) in which powerful interests spend billions of dollars on lobbying and campaign funding to ensure that members of Congress pay more attention to them than to the general public. With the upcoming midterm elections, we will see the full force of this tsunami of cash washing over our electoral system.*

The human cost of this corrupt system has been searingly rammed home since the Parkland school shooting, as grieving high school students determined to curb America’s gun violence have found themselves in a pitched battle with the “gun lobby,” led by the National Rifle Association (NRA), one of the most entrenched and powerful interest groups in the country.

The [gun lobby](#) has already spent over \$12 million on lobbying and given at least \$1.1 million to members of Congress in this election cycle, 98% of it to Republicans. The gun lobby also wields power over Democrats through lobbying and public relations, and the threat of targeting individual Democrats who take a public stand for gun control.

But what about the even greater violence of America’s wars and the [record military budget](#) that makes them possible? U.S. weapons makers spend far more money on lobbying and campaign contributions than the domestic gun lobby: [\\$162 million](#) on lobbying and tens of millions in direct funding for members of Congress so far in the 2017-18 election cycle.

There is a strong correlation between campaign contributions from the companies that build America’s warships, tanks and warplanes, and critical votes in Congress to keep the guns firing, the missiles flying, the bombs falling and the cash flowing to the [military-industrial complex](#).

When an evenly-divided Senate voted to [confirm Gina Haspel](#) as CIA Director, six Democrats joined 48 Republicans to vote for her confirmation. But it was not just any six Democrats. In the 2018 election cycle, those six – Senators [Nelson \(FL\)](#), [Donnelly \(IN\)](#), [Manchin \(WV\)](#), [Heitkamp \(ND\)](#), [Shaheen \(NH\)](#) and [Warner \(VA\)](#)– have received an average of \$170,220 each in campaign cash from the war industry, while the 43 Democrats who voted against Haspel took in an average of only \$91,409 each.

Four of the Haspel Six (Nelson, Donnelly, Manchin and Heitkamp) also recently cast critical votes to confirm **Mike Pompeo** as Secretary of State and to continue the murderous U.S.-Saudi war on the people of Yemen.

U.S. weapons companies have traditionally treated the Republican Party as their base in Congress, and they still contribute more to Republicans than Democrats. But as we can see in these three recent votes, hawkish Senate Democrats play a critical role as swing votes to keep the country at war and to ensure that the lion's share of tax revenues keep flowing to the military-industrial complex.

The support of these hawkish Senate Democrats for endless war and record military spending stands in sharp contrast to the concerns of grassroots Democrats and Independents and even many Republicans, who are sick of the intractable cycle of violence and chaos that U.S. militarism has unleashed around the world since 2001.

The Haspel Six, who voted for a woman who oversaw horrific forms of torture, are not the only Democrats corrupted by the war industry. Many more regularly vote for record military budgets that hand over the lion's share of U.S. tax revenues to war profiteers.

Thirteen Senate Democrats have already raked in more than \$200,000 each in contributions from the war industry in this election cycle: Durbin (IL); Reed (RI); Kaine (VA); Schumer (NY); Nelson (FL); Leahy (VT); Murray (WA); Shaheen (NH); Warner (VA); Blumenthal (CT); Schatz (HI); Donnelly (IN); and Heinrich (NM). Of these, only Leahy had the integrity to vote against [final passage](#) of the \$700 billion FY2018 military budget.

In this election cycle, the 49 Democrats and Independents in the U.S. Senate have raised \$5 million dollars in direct campaign contributions from the war industry, plus an additional \$2.3 million for their "Leadership PACs," from which they distribute funds to other corporate politicians and candidates. That amounts to 44.5% of the war profits Lockheed Martin and other weapons makers have reinvested in the U.S. Senate in this election cycle, compared with \$9.1 million, or 55.5%, to the 51 Republicans.

The Senate Foreign Relations Committee may soon take up the Corker-Kaine Bill for the Authorization for the Use of Military Force (AUMF), which would be an even more explicit blank check for endless war than the 2001 AUMF, which only authorized

"...all necessary and appropriate force against those nations, organizations, or persons (the president) determines planned, authorized, committed, or aided the terrorist attacks that occurred on September 11, 2001, or harbored such organizations or persons...."

Three successive U.S. administrations have interpreted that language to justify wars that have destroyed several countries, and killed and maimed [millions of people](#) who had nothing to do with the crimes of September 11th. Now we face the even greater danger that, instead of simply repealing the serially-abused 2001 AUMF, a Congress bought and paid for by the war industry will explicitly authorize endless war.

How can we, the public, make our voices heard by a Congress corrupted by war profiteers, when the war industry can buy a bipartisan majority for war and militarism in the U.S. Senate with such a tiny fraction of its profits?

How can we bring the same good sense and common humanity to America's bomb problem that the students from Parkland have brought to our domestic gun problem?

CODEPINK and other groups of concerned citizens have started a campaign called Divest

from the War Machine. We ask elected officials to refuse contributions from the NRA and the top five weapons manufacturers: Lockheed Martin, Northrop Grumman, Raytheon, Boeing and General Dynamics. Since these officials are constantly voting on issues related to military spending, these decisions must not be tainted by the corrupting influence of weapons lobbyists. [Check out the list](#) of those who signed the pledge and help us get more officials on board.

[Learn more](#) about the campaign and join us in building an economy that is not dependent on killing and maiming people to boost the profits of weapons manufacturers.

*

This article was originally published on [Common Dreams](#).

Medea Benjamin, co-founder of [Global Exchange](#) and [CODEPINK: Women for Peace](#), is the author of the new book, [Inside Iran: The Real History and Politics of the Islamic Republic of Iran](#). Her previous books include: [Kingdom of the Unjust: Behind the U.S.-Saudi Connection](#); [Drone Warfare: Killing by Remote Control](#); [Don't Be Afraid Gringo: A Honduran Woman Speaks from the Heart](#), and (with Jodie Evans) [Stop the Next War Now \(Inner Ocean Action Guide\)](#). Follow her on Twitter: [@medeabbenjamin](#)

Nicolas J. S. Davies is the author of [Blood On Our Hands: the American Invasion and Destruction of Iraq](#). He also wrote the chapters on "Obama at War" in [Grading the 44th President: a Report Card on Barack Obama's First Term as a Progressive Leader](#).

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Medea Benjamin](#) and [Nicolas J. S. Davies](#), Global Research, 2018

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Medea Benjamin](#)
and [Nicolas J. S. Davies](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca