

How The Guardian Told Me to Steer Clear of Palestine

By David Cronin

Global Research, March 12, 2015

Electronic Intifada 11 March 2015

Region: Middle East & North Africa
Theme: Media Disinformation

In-depth Report: PALESTINE

Jonathan Freedland, *The Guardian's* opinion editor, is an apologist for ethnic cleansing. (<u>Chatham House/Flickr</u>)

When I started out as a journalist in the 1980s, I asked an experienced Irish reporter for advice. "Read *The Guardian*," he told me.

The message that there was no better newspaper had a lasting effect. For years, I wanted to write for *The Guardian*. Eventually, this desire was realized after I emailed the late <u>Georgina Henry</u>, then editor of its Comment is Free section, in 2007. Henry was immediately receptive to my idea of tackling the <u>European Union</u> from a critical, left-wing perspective.

I very much enjoyed <u>contributing</u> to *The Guardian*. Having previously worked for quite a stuffy publication, it felt liberating to be able to express opinions.

There was one issue, however, on which I felt my freedom curtailed: Palestine. Although *The Guardian* did publish a few of my articles denouncing Israeli atrocities, I began to encounter obstacles in 2009.

Sensitive

Early that year, I submitted an exposé of how the <u>pro-Israel lobby</u> operates in <u>Brussels</u>. While waiting to find out if the piece would be used, I phoned <u>Matt Seaton</u>, who had taken over as comment editor. We had a pleasant conversation but Seaton stressed that he regarded the subject as sensitive.

I, then, modified the piece to make its tone less polemical. Still, it was not published. (Seaton has subsequently moved to *The New York Times*.)

A few months later, I paid a visit to <u>Gaza</u>. From there, I contacted *The Guardian* to say that I had <u>interviewed</u> Sayed Abu Musameh, a founding member of <u>Hamas</u>.

Abu Musameh had expressed an interest in visiting <u>Belfast</u> to study how the Irish peace process worked. He had already held discussions with <u>Gerry Adams</u>, the <u>Sinn Féin</u>leader who had persuaded the Irish Republican Army to call a ceasefire.

Abu Musameh, I felt, was saying something that jarred with the official view of Hamas presented by Israel and its Western supporters. Far from being addicted to violence, he was eager to learn about what policy wonks call "conflict resolution."

The Guardian was not keen to have me writing from Gaza. Brian Whitaker, a commissioning editor at the time, told me that its comment section received more submissions about Palestine than any other subject. Whitaker, ironically a Middle East specialist, effectively recommended that I stick to writing about the EU. (The recommendation was bizarre both because Palestine is a key issue for the EU and because I am one of the few journalists to examine the Union's complicity in Israel's crimes).

Frustration

I have decided to make my frustrating encounters with *The Guardian* public after reading the <u>diatribe</u> it published last week by Daniel Taub, Israel's ambassador to the UK. Taub uses a quote attributed to <u>Golda Meir</u>, Israel's prime minister from 1969 to 1974, to hit back at aid agencies who accuse Israel of impeding Gaza's reconstruction: "We will only have peace when our enemies love their children more than they hate ours."

The inference that Palestinians hate Israelis more than they love their children is a racist caricature brilliantly demolished by <u>Rafeef Ziadah</u> in her <u>poem</u> "We teach life, Sir." Yet, according to Taub, Meir's words represent a "bitter truism."

The Comment is Free section of *The Guardian*, where Taub's nasty rant appears, is now<u>overseen</u> by <u>Jonathan Freedland</u>, a liberal Zionist. I contacted Freedland to enquire if he approved Taub's article for publication.

Freedland referred my message to the paper's "media enquiries" unit. A spokesperson, who did not give his or her name, replied by email that Comment is Free "hosts hundreds of discussions every month on a wide range of topics across the entire political and ideological spectrum."

"We receive a huge amount of submissions for articles and aim to publish a plurality of voices from all over the world," the spokesperson added. "Naturally, not all of these voices reflect *The Guardian's* own editorial position."

Apologist for ethnic cleansing

I am not in the least reassured by that response. Taub's article was the second one published by *The Guardian* in as many months from a senior Israeli political or diplomatic figure. In February, the paper gave <u>Yair Lapid</u>, until recently Israel's finance minister, a <u>platform</u> to describe calls for a <u>cultural boycott</u> of Israel as "shallow and lacking in coherence."

Lapid's view chimes with *The Guardian's* "own editorial position," to quote its anonymous spokesperson. While Israel was bombing Gaza last August, it ran a <u>leader</u>accusing London's <u>Tricycle Theatre</u> of making a "bad error of judgment" in refusing to host a film festival sponsored by Israel.

As <u>Ben White</u> demonstrated in a trenchant 2014 <u>analysis</u> for *Middle East Monitor*, Jonathan Freedland is an apologist for <u>ethnic cleansing</u>. Freedland has tried to justify how "400 [Palestinian] villages" were "emptied" by Zionist forces in 1948 on the grounds that "the creation of a Jewish state was a moral necessity."

If Freedland is prepared to defend Zionist <u>war crimes</u>, I guess it is not surprising that he is reserving space for naked Israeli propaganda in *The Guardian's* comment section. While it

is difficult to imagine that this bastion of liberalism would welcome openly racist submissions from far-right organizations like the British National Party or English Defence League, it is somehow acceptable for an Israeli diplomat to peddle bigotry against Palestinians.

Freedland has been <u>tipped</u> as a contender for *The Guardian's* editor-in-chief, a post that is soon to be vacant.

In a perverse way, it might be a good thing if he gets the job. With Freedland at the helm, it would be easier to show how a supposedly progressive newspaper is in thrall to the toxic ideology of Zionism.

The original source of this article is <u>Electronic Intifada</u> Copyright © <u>David Cronin</u>, <u>Electronic Intifada</u>, 2015

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **David Cronin**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca