

How Pfizer Bribes Led to Vaccine Mandates

By [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#)

Global Research, May 03, 2023

[Mercola](#)

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate Website** button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

In 2022, Pfizer became the first drug company in history to break \$100 billion in annual sales. That year, Pfizer spent \$2.8 billion on ads, an increase of \$800 million from 2021

But Pfizer's success isn't due to direct ads. It's because

a) the U.S. government spent \$1 billion of taxpayers' money to promote the experimental COVID jab, and

b) Pfizer paid millions to consumer, medical and civil rights groups that lobbied for COVID jab mandates on Pfizer's behalf

Special interest groups paid by Pfizer to push for COVID jab mandates and coercive vaccine policies include the Chicago Urban league (which argued that the jab mandate would benefit the Black community), the National Consumers League, the Immunization Partnership, the Advertising Council and a long list of universities and cancer, liver diseases, cardiology, rheumatology and medical science organizations

April 19, 2023, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention revised its COVID jab guidance. The original monovalent mRNA shots are no longer recommended for use in the U.S. Instead, the CDC recommends people 6 years old and older get an updated bivalent mRNA COVID shot, even if they've not completed the monovalent series

While the World Health Organization seems to be backing off from endless COVID boosters for all, there's clear evidence that mRNA gene therapy is here to stay. mRNA "vaccines" are in the works for influenza, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), shingles, genital herpes and cancer, just to name a few

*

Thanks to its experimental gene therapy injection for COVID-19, in 2022, Pfizer became the first drug company in history to break \$100 billion in annual sales.¹ But its mere existence didn't ensure Pfizer's success.

No, massive media promotion and government-backed coercion did that. Not only did the U.S. government pay news media a staggering [\\$1 billion to promote and build public confidence in the experimental jab](#), but as reported by Russell Brand in the video above, Pfizer also poured billions of dollars into advertising.

In 2022, Pfizer spent \$2.8 billion on ads, an increase of \$800 million from 2021. On top of that, Pfizer also paid big bucks to consumer, medical and civil rights groups to lobby for COVID jab mandates. Journalist Lee Fang reviewed this in his interview with Brand (video above) and in an April 24, 2023, Substack article.² As Fang told Brand:

"San Francisco ... in September of 2021, enacted a very kind of strong mandate with no exemption for prior immunity ... or ... natural immunity. Pfizer was not playing a visible role here. They didn't comment on any of the articles. They weren't really talking to the press.

You saw consumer groups, civil rights groups, patient groups, doctors groups, public health organizations, all saying these mandates are necessary, even though there wasn't a lot of scientific evidence to support the basis that we needed these mandates. [The shots] were sold to us with the claim that they would stop transmission of the virus.

You had this coalition of community groups saying we need the mandate. Well, I'm taking a look at new disclosures that show that many of those organizations, these third party organizations ... were taking funds from Pfizer while lobbying for these controversial policies ...

[The drug industry doesn't] have to disclose how much they're spending on television, how much they're spending on TikTok ads, how much they're giving to these front groups, or these doctors groups, or these public health groups that set the nature of the debate.

They appear in the news media, they create events, and they create a discourse that looks authentic, that looks organic, but it benefits the bottom line of their benefactors — companies like Pfizer.

And the vaccine debate ... has shaped our lives in the last three years of the pandemic. But it's also not that unique in the sense that every major pharmaceutical company in the United States engages in these practices. They pressure regulators, they spend so much money on direct-to-consumer advertising.

And really, they kind of just dominate the entire public policy debate. So we can talk about a lot of other special interest groups, but Pharma is unique [in terms of] the raw amounts of money they spend to control the entire public sector, on regulatory policy, on everything, in terms of how it affects medicine ..."

Dozens of Health Care Organizations Called for Mandates

Special interest groups paid by Pfizer³ to push for COVID job mandates and coercive vaccine policies include the Chicago Urban League (which argued that the job mandate would benefit the Black community), the National Consumers League, the Immunization Partnership, the Advertising Council and a long list of universities and cancer, liver diseases, cardiology, rheumatology and medical science organizations.

Pfizer didn't have to take a prominent stand to argue for vaccine mandates, which would have been an obvious conflict of interest. They paid others to push the mandates for them.

Each of these organizations received anywhere from several thousand to hundreds of thousands of dollars from Pfizer in 2021 alone. Is it any wonder, then, that more than 50 major health care organizations called for vaccine mandates that year, including for their own workers?⁴ I don't think so.

Pfizer didn't have to take a prominent stand to argue for vaccine mandates, which would have been an obvious conflict of interest. They paid others to push the mandates for them. Of course, Pfizer and the U.S. government are also in partnership, as acknowledged on Pfizer's Political Partnership page.⁵

New COVID Job Guidelines Issued

In related news, April 19, 2023, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention revised its COVID job guidance.^{6,7,8} The original monovalent mRNA shots are no longer recommended for use in the U.S. Instead, the CDC recommends people 6 years old and older get an updated bivalent mRNA COVID shot, even if they've not completed the monovalent series.

The update comes on the heels of the World Health Organization's Strategic Advisory Group of Experts on Immunization's (SAGE) meeting in late March 2023, during which they decided that continued injection efforts should be focused on getting boosters into the arms of the elderly and those with underlying conditions, including young children, young adults and pregnant women with diabetes, heart disease or immunocompromising conditions. As reported by the WHO:⁹

“For the high priority group, SAGE recommends an additional booster of either 6 or 12 months after the last dose, with the timeframe depending on factors such as age and immunocompromising conditions.

All the COVID-19 vaccine recommendations are time-limited, applying for the current epidemiological scenario only, and so the additional booster recommendations should not be seen as for continued annual COVID-19 vaccine boosters ...

Separate to the roadmap, SAGE also updated their recommendations on bivalent COVID-19 vaccines, now recommending that countries can consider using BA.5 bivalent mRNA vaccine for the primary series.”

Pfizer Intent on Replacing Conventional Vaccines With mRNA

But while the WHO seems to be backing off from endless COVID boosters for all, there's clear evidence that mRNA gene therapy is here to stay — unless enough of us wake up and refuse them all. For example, as reported by BioProcess International, Pfizer is pushing mRNA as an alternative to current flu vaccines:¹⁰

“Last September, Pfizer initiated a Phase III study of its messenger RNA (mRNA) based flu vaccine, following a 2018 partnership with a then little-known German biotech BioNTech. In 2020, both BioNTech and mRNA were thrown into the global spotlight due a successful COVID-19 vaccine, developed by Pfizer and BioNTech in just nine months.

Now Pfizer is leveraging a similar approach to mRNA beyond COVID through a roadmap that aims to reduce bureaucracy and increase collaboration.

According to Pfizer's VP of mRNA Commercial Strategy & Innovation and Global Pandemic Security Lead Jane True ... mRNA-based vaccines have the ability to replace current technologies in the long-term.”

Moderna also launched an mRNA flu jab trial in the fall of 2022.¹¹ We now know the COVID shot doesn't protect you against SARS-CoV-2 infection or transmission, so why would anyone believe the flu shot will be any different? Are they tweaking it somehow to block infection? Or will it be a repeat of COVID — all risk and no benefit?

There's cause for additional concern when it comes to mRNA flu shots, because they've already admitted that the viral strains targeted can and will be updated on the fly in the middle of the flu season, should it turn out that the flu strains selected in February are a mismatch to the circulating strains that following winter.¹²

The industry wants you to believe that changing the antigen has no bearing on the potential side effects, but they have no evidence to support that assertion. Whenever you change the antigen, you run the risk of new side effects, because not all viral antigens affect your immune system the same way.

New mRNA Shots Are Being Fast-Tracked

In addition to mRNA-based flu shots, a number of other ones are also in the works, and several are being fast-tracked. For example, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration is [fast-tracking Moderna's mRNA shot for respiratory syncytial virus \(RSV\)](#), which is based on the same platform as the COVID jab.

At present, there's no approved vaccine available for RSV, and the reason for that is because none were ever able to pass trials. As with coronavirus, previous efforts to develop an RSV vaccine met with failure as test subjects had a pesky tendency to die or become seriously ill when exposed to the wild virus, thanks to paradoxical immune enhancement (PIE), also known as antibody dependent enhancement (ADE).

By fast-tracking Moderna's mRNA RSV shot, the FDA is completely ignoring the possibility that they may be creating an avalanche of ADE-related illness from the COVID shot. Adding another injection for a respiratory virus that has historically been associated with ADE could

be extremely risky, yet they're moving full steam ahead.

Moderna's personalized cancer shot is also being fast-tracked, both in the U.S.¹³ and the European Union.¹⁴ mRNA shots for shingles and genital herpes are also in the works.¹⁵ Overall, the entire industry, and governments around the world, seem hell-bent on transitioning to mRNA-based gene therapy for just about everything.

Putting Patients in the Driver's Seat

On a final side note, I recently interviewed Laura Bartlett and Greta Crawford, founder of protocolkills.com, about how to put patients back in the driver's seat when they're admitted to the hospital. I hope to run their interview May 7; you won't want to miss it.

A key "weapon" in your arsenal to put yourself squarely in the driver's seat is a novel informed consent document that specifies the treatments that you do NOT consent to, such as mRNA injections and other vaccines, remdesivir and other deadly COVID-19 drugs, and mechanical ventilation for COVID-19. It also specifies the COVID treatments you DO request and consent to, such as oxygen, nutrition, hydration, ivermectin and other remedies.

It's basically a document that lists your current consent wishes, and it needs to be written, signed and notarized BEFORE you go to the hospital. It must also be entered into your medical record, so that everyone involved in your care has access to it and know what your wishes are.

Bartlett and Crawford have developed a template for this document that you can use and amend as you wish. This strategy is also being promoted by Dr. Mary Talley Bowden. You can find the [template here](#). I will be offering a revised template that is modified to make sure you don't get disease-producing food while in the hospital loaded with LA (linoleic acid).

This form is basically one of the most effective ways to protect yourself against harmful treatments in the hospital that can kill or permanently disable you.

Hospital staff are REQUIRED to follow your written directives. So, having this notarized document entered into your electronic medical record, sent to the hospital CEO and given to any doctor that treats you could literally save your life and prevent you from being taken "hostage" if you're ever hospitalized. The KEY is to have this document ready BEFORE you go into the hospital.

Vaccine makers like Pfizer are using every possible means to ensure their products are forced on the population, but patients still do have rights. We must exercise those rights to the fullest, and this is the most effective way I have ever encountered to do that.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Notes

¹ [Fierce Pharma April 21, 2023](#)

² [Lee Fang Substack April 24, 2023](#)

³ [Document Cloud Pfizer 2021 Funding Report](#)

⁴ [ABC News July 26, 2021](#)

⁵ [Pfizer Political Partnership](#)

⁶ [WHIO TV April 24, 2023](#)

⁷ [CDC April 19, 2023](#)

⁸ [NCDHHS April 20, 2023](#)

⁹ [WHO March 28, 2023](#)

¹⁰ [BioProcess International April 20, 2023](#)

^{11, 12} [Time September 14, 2022](#)

¹³ [The Guardian April 8, 2023](#)

¹⁴ [Zacks.com April 10, 2023](#)

¹⁵ [Newsmax Health February 18, 2022](#)

Featured image is from The Expose

The Worldwide Corona Crisis, Global Coup d'Etat Against Humanity

by Michel Chossudovsky

Michel Chossudovsky reviews in detail how this insidious project “destroys people’s lives”. He provides a comprehensive analysis of everything you need to know about the “pandemic” — from the medical dimensions to the economic and social repercussions, political underpinnings, and mental and psychological impacts.

“My objective as an author is to inform people worldwide and refute the official narrative which has been used as a justification to destabilize the economic and social fabric of entire countries, followed by the imposition of the “deadly” COVID-19 “vaccine”. This crisis affects humanity in its entirety: almost 8 billion people. We stand in solidarity with our fellow human beings and our children worldwide. Truth is a powerful instrument.”

ISBN: 978-0-9879389-3-0, **Year:** 2022, PDF Ebook, **Pages:** 164, 15 Chapters

Price: ~~\$11.50~~ Get yours for FREE! [Click here to download.](#)

We encourage you to support the eBook project by making a donation through Global Research's [DonorBox](#), [“Worldwide Corona Crisis” Campaign Page.](#)

The [original source](#) of this article is [Mercola](#)
Copyright © [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#), [Mercola](#), 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Dr. Joseph Mercola](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are

acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca