

How Iran's Soviet Era Air Defense System Shot Down America's Global Hawk UAV over Strait of Hormuz

By [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#)
Global Research, July 16, 2019

Region: [Middle East & North Africa, Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [Military and WMD, US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [IRAN: THE NEXT WAR?](#)




On the night of June 19-20, Iran shot down a US Global Hawk reconnaissance UAV over the Strait of Hormuz.

President Trump responded by calling for retaliatory air strikes against Iran.




*In response to the President and Commander in Chief's instructions, US Central Command (CENTCOM), [confirmed the deployment](#) of US Air Force F-22 stealth fighters at CENTCOM's Middle East forward headquarters at the **al-Udeid airbase in Qatar**, with a mandate to "defend American forces and interests" in the region against Iran. (See Michael Welch, [Persian Peril](#), Global Research, June 30, 2019).*

And then **Commander in Chief Donald Trump** decided spontaneously to retract his decision to bomb Iran, while intimating in his tweet that:

"any attack by Iran on anything American will be met with great and overwhelming force. In some areas overwhelming will mean obliteration"

 **Donald J. Trump**  @realDonaldTrump · Jun 25 
Iran leadership doesn't understand the words "nice" or "compassion," they never have. Sadly, the thing they do understand is Strength and Power, and the USA is by far the most powerful Military Force in the world, with 1.5 Trillion Dollars invested over the last two years alone..

 19K  25K  113K 

 **Donald J. Trump**  @realDonaldTrump · Jun 25 
....The wonderful Iranian people are suffering, and for no reason at all. Their leadership spends all of its money on Terror, and little on anything else. The U.S. has not forgotten Iran's use of IED's & EFP's (bombs), which killed 2000 Americans, and wounded many more...

 4.7K  17K  79K 

 **Donald J. Trump**   
@realDonaldTrump

....Iran's very ignorant and insulting statement, put out today, only shows that they do not understand reality. Any attack by Iran on anything American will be met with great and overwhelming force. In some areas, overwhelming will mean obliteration. No more John Kerry & Obama!

3:42 AM - 25 Jun 2019

According to the [Washington Post](#)

Early in the day, the president said he called off the attack at the last minute because it would have killed 150 people in retaliation for the downing of the drone. "We were cocked & loaded to retaliate last night on 3 different sights when I asked, how many will die," he tweeted.

But administration officials said Trump was told earlier Thursday how many casualties could occur if a strike on Iran were carried out and that he had given the green light that morning to prepare the operation.

The confusion reinforced concerns about the Trump administration's credibility at a time of military crisis.

Strikes on Iran Approved by Trump, Then Abruptly Pulled Back

Trump's concern for casualties was a smokescreen. What the Pentagon was concerned with was not only Iran's ability to defend itself in the case of a US attack, but also **its potential to strike back**, targeting US military facilities in the Middle East.

In a bitter irony, the "high tech" Global Hawk AUV ("with state-of-the-art electronic protection") was shot down by the "low tech" **Raad anti-aircraft missile system**, "which bears a striking resemblance to the outdated **Soviet Kub (Cube) system**".

"That does not look good". Visibly there was also an issue of self-esteem, face saving on the part of Donald Trump and failure of US advanced weapons systems.

While Iran possesses Russia's S-300 air defense system (and will soon be acquiring Russia's state of the art S-400), Tehran chose not to deploy its most advanced air defense system in the Strait of Hormuz:

The Raad has a modified homing head, and Iran may have received the homing head technology from Russia. This may explain why the US drone outfitted with state-of-the-art electronic protection failed to escape the attack of the Iranian missile." (Dmitriy Sudakov, [Pravda Report](#), July 15, 2019)

Sudakov's analysis published by Pravda provides important details regarding the June 19-20 incident, focussing on Iran's air defense capabilities as well as **the vulnerability of the US in the case of an air attack**.

It just so happens that the **15-ton giant drone worth \$220 million with a wingspan of 40 meters** failed to escape from an Iranian missile. Iran has ceased to reckon with the United States. Amir-Ali Hajizade, the head of the Revolutionary Guard's aerospace division, said that there was **a P-8 Poseidon military aircraft flying next to the US UAV**. The P-8 Poseidon was carrying 35 people on board. **The military aircraft, the official said, invaded Iranian air space too, but Iran chose not to shoot the airplane down. Instead, Iran shot down the drone.**

While the drone was brought down with an upgraded version of an outdated Soviet era technology, Iran is in possession (since 2015) of the S-300 Air defense system, which is considered to be more advanced than the US Patriot system:

In 2016, Iran bought four divisions of S-300 Favorit anti-aircraft missile systems from Russia. Each division includes 12 launchers. The Favorit ("Favorite") range reaches 200 km; the system can easily eliminate all aircraft, including medium-range missiles.

It is worthy of note that Russia readies to launch a new generation of **air defense systems known as S-500 Prometei (Prometheus)**, while the United States has not been able to design anything that could be superior to Russia's S-300 missile complex. The THAAD system has a different purpose - to strike trans-atmospheric ballistic missiles. **The Patriot system clearly lags behind the S-300.** (Dmitriy Sudakov, [Pravda Report](#), July 15, 2019)

According to Sudakov, “Iran can launch a total of about 400 S-300 missiles to distances up to 200 kilometres and 1,500 missiles - up to 40 kilometers.” What this suggests is that Iran has the ability to trigger extensive damage to US military installations in The Persian Gulf (including US military bases located in Kuwait, Bahrain and Saudi Arabia).

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Featured image is from Mil.ru

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#), Global Research, 2019

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#)

About the author:

Michel Chossudovsky is an award-winning author, Professor of Economics (emeritus) at the University of Ottawa, Founder and Director of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG), Montreal, Editor of Global Research. He has undertaken field research in Latin America, Asia, the Middle East, sub-Saharan Africa and the Pacific and has written extensively on the economies of developing countries with a focus on poverty and social inequality. He has also undertaken research in Health Economics (UN Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), UNFPA, CIDA, WHO, Government of Venezuela, John Hopkins International Journal of Health Services (1979, 1983) He is the author of 13 books including The Globalization of Poverty and The New World Order (2003), America’s “War on Terrorism” (2005), The Globalization of War, America’s Long War against Humanity (2015). He is a contributor to the Encyclopaedia Britannica. His writings have been published in more than twenty languages. In 2014, he was awarded the Gold Medal for Merit of the Republic of Serbia for his writings on NATO’s war of aggression against Yugoslavia. He can be reached at

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca