

The Covid-19 Vaccine: How Can They Explain Any of this Data?

Here's the first example right now. I will be adding more and more examples over time.

By [Steve Kirsch](#)

Theme: [Science and Medicine](#)

Global Research, March 20, 2022

[Steve Kirsch's Newsletter](#) 19 March 2022

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@globalresearch_crg](#) and Twitter at [@crglobalization](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

I have over 50 pieces of data that is simply impossible to explain if the vaccines are safe and effective. I'll be adding them to this article over time, so check back for the latest. I'll post the most recent additions at the top to make this easy.

I'm starting with just one item right now which is the only item you need to know to stop the vaccine mandates. It is so important, I wanted to push it out now.

Why doctors say nothing

Because the medical boards threaten to take away their license if they say anything publicly or privately against the vaccine.

In California, doctors used to write exemptions for the vaccine and mask wearing. The medical boards got a list of those doctors and contacted them.

After that, no more exemptions got written.

To test this someone called up hundreds of physicians saying their child had a severe anaphylactic reaction after the first shot and nearly died and they wanted to see if the doctor would write an exemption for the child. They all said no.

UK government data shows the vaccines make things worse. We were misled.

This is data from an unimpeachable source: the UK government in its [week 32 to 35 report for 2021](#). Look at the rates per 100,000 for doubly vaxxed vs. unvaccinated people for age ranges 40 to 80. Yup, you are more likely to be infected if you are vaccinated in each sub-range within 40 to 80. So there is no age confounding on this data. It's simply impossible to

explain. It shows why vaccine mandates are making you more susceptible to infection for people 40 to 80, not better.

Table 4. COVID-19 cases by vaccination status between week 32 and week 35 2021

Cases reported by week of specimen date between week 32 and week 35 2021	Total	Unlinked*	Not vaccinated	Received one dose (1-20 days before specimen date)	Received one dose, ≥21 days before specimen date	Second dose ≥14 days before specimen date	Rates among persons vaccinated with 2 doses (per 100,000)	Rates among persons not vaccinated (per 100,000)
Under 18	167,832	15,901	141,676	8,132	1,366	757	476.0	1,192.9
18-29	176,392	19,529	53,187	4,598	66,545	32,533	711.1	1,520.8
30-39	113,373	12,452	33,986	1,497	22,434	43,004	782.2	1,143.9
40-49	97,881	8,930	15,106	496	6,000	67,349	1,116.2	880.4
50-59	84,488	6,868	7,552	168	2,248	67,652	962.0	729.7
60-69	45,252	3,657	2,650	54	772	38,119	672.3	487.5
70-79	25,499	2,034	910	12	273	22,270	480.5	367.5
80+	12,011	1,124	545	9	246	10,087	391.1	427.4

*individuals whose NHS numbers were unavailable to link to the NIMS

Show this chart to your blue pill friends and ask them to explain why the vaccine should be mandated. Here's what one reader wrote:

I actually shared a similar report with my cardiologist yesterday. When he just looked at me, saying nothing, I said, "Well, at least the NHS in the UK is being honest about the vaccines." People will do what they're going to do, I just pray that most will wake up!

Here's [the most recent data \(March 17, 2022 from page 45\)](#) and it is much worse:

Table 13. Unadjusted rates of COVID-19 infection, hospitalisation and c

Please note that the following table should be read in conjunction with page:

	Cases reported by specimen date between week 7 2022 (w/e 20 February 2022) and week 10 2022 (w/e 13 March 2022)	
	Unadjusted rates among persons vaccinated with at least 3 doses (per 100,000)	Unadjusted rates among persons not vaccinated (per 100,000) ^{1,2}
Under 18	949.6	1,110.7
18 to 29	2,191.7	701.9
30 to 39	2,780.4	747.8
40 to 49	2,481.6	651.7
50 to 59	1,964.8	520.2
60 to 69	1,622.2	382.2
70 to 79	1,214.3	386.1
80 or over	1,223.9	556.3

There is simply no way to explain this data if the vaccine works as is claimed. In the

footnote, they use what is known as a handwaving argument which is speculation based on zero data. For example, they say there could be more cases for vaccinated people because they test more often (really? whereas the data to back that up?).

They can hand-wave all they want and it doesn't change the facts.

For example, in Santa Clara County they did a freedom of information act request and found that vaccinated and unvaccinated first responders had effectively the same rate of infections. In other words, it wasn't protecting people where I live either.

What is most telling are the huge population studies, for example, in 145 countries showing that almost without exception, the more you vaccinate, the higher the cases and deaths. Funny, the UK government never cites any studies at all that challenge the narrative. There are plenty and over a dozen are listed in [Incriminating Evidence](#).

We have all been victims of a massive fraud.

But the whole point of mandates was that it would protect you from getting sick so you wouldn't infect others. Clearly, the mandates make you more likely to get sick. This is opposite what you were told. Everyone should be outraged at this.

The same UK report claims a death benefit, but that's only if you ignore all the deaths caused by the vaccine. If you include those, it's negative as well.

In South Korea, COVID cases are through the roof but nearly everyone is vaccinated

Here's a quote from [Alex Berenson's article](#):

On Thursday, South Korea reported 600,000 new Covid infections - the equivalent of more than 4 million in the United States. In a single day.

Since they are all vaccinated, how is that possible?


The mRNA shots have *negative* efficacy against Omicron infection within months - meaning that vaccinated people are more likely to become infected. Data from Canada, Britain, Scotland, the United States, and other countries all agree on this point. I'm not sure anyone serious even argues it anymore.

In New Zealand, for example, unvaccinated people now have even lower infection rates than those who have received boosters:

Vaccination status of new cases per 100,000 of population segment

Seven day rolling average

Replay



Source: Ministry of Health vaccination and case vaccination statistics • Population based on StatsNZ population estimate.

Definitions: Ineligible: The Ministry of Health is classing all children under 12 as

Why the deception?

One reader asked “why would our authorities mislead us?” The answer is simple: everyone believed Tony Fauci when he chose to focus on the vaccine and completely discredit early treatment. At that point, it was too big to fail since every world leader supported the vaccine. When the data showed the vaccine was unsafe and ineffective (the data showed it killed over 100,000 Americans), they had to cover up their mistake or nobody would ever trust them again. The medical community isn’t speaking up because they will have their licenses revoked. The press won’t say anything because America will never trust them again. Same for Congress, the NIH, CDC, and FDA. The FDA and CDC looked the other way at the safety signals because the vaccine was too big to fail: it HAD to work in order to end the pandemic.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram,

@globalresearch_crg and Twitter at @crglobalization. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Featured image is from Notes from the Twilight Zone

The original source of this article is [Steve Kirsch's Newsletter](#)
Copyright © [Steve Kirsch](#), [Steve Kirsch's Newsletter](#), 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Steve Kirsch](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca