

# How Boris Johnson is turning Britain into a Global Pariah

The prime minister's master strategist Lynton Crosby excels at using 'wedge issues' to gain political advantage: sending asylum seekers to Rwanda fits the bill

By [Peter Osborne](#)

Global Research, June 20, 2022

[Middle East Eye](#) 16 June 2022

*It's July 2001, and Australian Prime Minister John Howard is [on course for humiliation](#) at the hands of the opposition Labor Party in the looming general election.*

*Then, a ship carrying a [cargo of 433](#) desperate Afghan and Iraqi asylum seekers tries to enter Australian waters. International law says Australia [has a duty](#) to let them in. Howard sends in troops, takes control of the ship, and despatches the distressed asylum seekers to [detention camps](#) on the tiny island state of Nauru.*

He is the revolutionary leader of a far-right government determined to repudiate Britain's long history as a liberal democracy

Howard [announces](#): **"We will decide who comes to this country."** The Labor opposition is outraged, as are international lawyers. Howard surges to a general [election triumph](#).

The mastermind of this brutal but clear-sighted plan was then-unknown political strategist Lynton Crosby, who would ultimately [mastermind four](#) consecutive Howard election triumphs.

Fast-forward to the scene in Downing Street earlier this month, as an alarmed Prime Minister [Boris Johnson](#) receives news that [Tory MPs](#) have launched a mutiny.

With his leadership in peril, Johnson summons his trusted advisers. By far the most powerful and respected is legendary political strategist Crosby – the magician who won Howard four victories, then [twice commanded](#) the strategy team that swept Johnson to power in the London mayoral elections. Most recently, he [helped propel](#) the prime minister to victory over Labour in the 2019 general election.

## Divisive tactics

Crosby's techniques are effective, but ugly. He is a master of so-called wedge issues that divide national opinion. These issues are designed to galvanise working-class voters who traditionally vote for leftwing parties, while paralysing the leaderships of those same leftwing parties.

The most divisive wedge issue is asylum seekers, as Crosby cleverly spotted in Australia two

decades ago.

At that panicky Downing Street meeting earlier this month, there's little doubt that Crosby would have advised Johnson to change the conversation from the debilitating daily disclosures about prime ministerial [sleaze and deceit](#), and to focus on one of the "wedge issues" that have worked so well for his clients in the past.

So it wasn't a coincidence that a few days after their meeting, Home Secretary Priti Patel's floundering plan to [export asylum seekers to Rwanda](#) was revived with a vengeance. [According to the Spectator](#): "Johnson's deputy chief of staff David Canzini, looking ahead to the next general election, has heralded the Rwanda plan as an ideal wedge issue. Aides have been ordered to find more policies in their departments that divide the opposition."

Canzini, who has a reputation for briefing newspapers more than is perhaps wise or necessary, is Crosby's point man in Downing Street.

The chartered Boeing 767 booked to take asylum seekers to Rwanda was a fiasco. It didn't take off, thanks to a [last-minute intervention](#) from the European Court of Human Rights.

That wouldn't have bothered Crosby. His speciality is political campaigning, not responsible government. And no problem as far as Crosby's client, Johnson, is concerned; the prime minister wins either way.

If the asylum seekers had made it to Rwanda, Johnson would have claimed a stunning policy success in the fight against "illegal immigration". But failure worked well, too, because now Johnson can cheerfully blame the international court that blocked the flight.

## **Controversial replay**

Lord Chancellor Dominic Raab, whose sworn duty is to uphold the law, is now reportedly examining ways of enabling ministers to [ignore rulings](#) from the European court.

Better still from Johnson's point of view, all this has placed Labour leader [Keir Starmer](#) in an excruciating situation. Starmer - as Johnson mercilessly likes to remind him - is a former human rights lawyer. The British prime minister is determined to paint him as an ally of the "activist lawyers" aiming to meddle with British sovereignty.

Pleasingly for the prime minister, experts suggest that Crosby's strategy might be working. Pollster James Johnson [noted on Twitter](#) on Wednesday: "If there is one lesson from British public opinion over the last decade, it is that people don't like it when others tell Britain what it can and can't do."

This means that in political terms, we are seeing a replay of the controversy that established Crosby's reputation two decades ago. Such tactics are cruel, immoral and unscrupulous - but it may well work.

There are differences. The Afghan and Iraqi asylum seekers blocked by Howard in 2001 were hundreds of miles from Australia. The asylum seekers trying to come to Britain simply have to cross the channel. Johnson can't send in the special forces, as Howard did. Instead, he's declared war on the rule of law. Expect more international treaties to be ripped up.

There's now talk that Johnson [may withdraw](#) from the European Convention on Human

Rights. This treaty was inspired by Sir Winston Churchill, drafted in large measure by the Tory politician [David Maxwell Fyfe](#) and ratified by Britain in March 1951. We were the first nation to do so.

Among the great ideas embodied by the convention are freedom under law, restraint on the power of the state, and a deep understanding of the link between individual liberty and private property.

These are all Conservative ideas. That won't bother Johnson. It is by now obvious that he is the revolutionary leader of a far-right government determined to repudiate Britain's long history as a liberal democracy. In the process, he is turning Britain into an international pariah - a British version of Trump's [United States](#).

The original source of this article is [Middle East Eye](#)  
Copyright © [Peter Osborne](#), [Middle East Eye](#), 2022

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Peter Osborne](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.  
For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)