

# Hillary or Bernie? Unions Fight It Out. Sharp Divide in America's Progressive Movement

Clinton Endorsements from Higher-ups Rile Sanders-Supporting Members

By [Boen Wang](#)

Global Research, March 01, 2016

[Who What Why](#) 29 February 2016

Region: [USA](#)

In-depth Report: [U.S. Elections](#)

This article was first published by [Who What Why](#)

*The Democratic Party primary has revealed a sharp divide in the nation's progressive movement.*

*The national leaders of a wide range of unions and activist organizations have endorsed Hillary Clinton while state and local chapters, and many rank-and-file members, support Bernie Sanders.*

The 1.6 million-member American Federation of Teachers (AFT) became the first national union to weigh in on the Democratic primary last July when it endorsed Clinton. The endorsement was met with a [petition](#) calling for a retraction and thousands of Facebook [comments](#) supporting Sanders.

AFT Vice President Jerry Jordan told *WhoWhatWhy* that the endorsement was based on interviews the union conducted with Clinton, Sanders, and Martin O'Malley. (O'Malley has since dropped out of the race.) Republican candidates were invited, but none responded. Additionally, a nationwide [survey](#) was sent out to AFT members in June, and among the 1,150 respondents, 67% said they supported Clinton.

Clinton's endorsements came from "organizations where the leaders decide," while "every major union or progressive organization that let its members have a vote endorsed Bernie Sanders."

It's worth noting, however, that Clinton officially announced her campaign in April, just two months before the survey, while Sanders announced the following month in May, when he lacked the name recognition he currently enjoys.

Concerning the timing of the AFT's endorsement, Jordan said that "we all knew the track record of the three candidates," and that the AFT had long working relationships with all three. "When we interviewed them," Jordan said, "Clinton really shined that afternoon and spent time talking about all the issues."

Perhaps unsurprisingly, the Vermont state chapter of the AFT endorsed Sanders in January. Four years ago the same state chapter declined to second the national endorsement of Barack Obama, president Ben Johnson told *WhoWhatWhy*, but "2016 is different because

Bernie Sanders is from Vermont, man.”

There’s no question Brooklyn-born Sanders, Vermont’s junior senator, enjoys a significant home-field advantage in New England: the Vermont chapter of the National Education Association and the New Hampshire chapter of the Service Employees International Union endorsed Sanders, while the national leadership of both unions endorsed Clinton.

But it’s not just upper New England. When the League of Conservation Voters, an environmental advocacy group, [backed Clinton](#) last November, the reaction closely mirrored that following the AFT’s endorsement. There was a deluge of complaints on Facebook and criticism from the rank-and-file, many of whom pointed out that Sanders did better on the group’s “[scorecard](#)” than [Clinton did](#).

The timing of the endorsement also drew criticism: an [op-ed](#) written the following month claimed that “it’s far too early in this primary for the nation’s most powerful environmental political organization to endorse.”

According to [In These Times](#), over 40 local unions have endorsed Sanders, often diverging from the national unions’ endorsements of Clinton. [The Intercept](#) observed that all of Clinton’s endorsements came from “organizations where the leaders decide,” while “every major union or progressive organization that let its members have a vote endorsed Bernie Sanders.”

It seems clear that the fight over endorsements is an extension of the familiar media narrative of Clinton versus Sanders: the pragmatist versus the idealist, the frontrunner versus the underdog, and the well-connected insider versus the perennial outsider.

“I think there’s a difference in how people view presidential races depending on their vantage point,” said Johnson, the VT AFT president. “The vantage point of a lot of grassroots activists has to do with broad questions about what kind of society they want to live in and which candidate is telling the story best about the society they want to envision.” From this perspective, Johnson said, Sanders appears to be the more attractive candidate.

On the other hand, voters who are a bit higher up in political organizations are more concerned with “who’s going to be able to put something through Congress and try and get things done,” Johnson said. “Through that lens, Hillary Clinton looks a lot more likely than Bernie Sanders.”

In the end, an undemocratic endorsement process rewards the connected insider and stacks the deck against “insurgent” campaigns like the one Sanders is running. While that might benefit the establishment candidate in the short run, it also ends up alienating the base — and the Republican primary shows that taking such a path can lead to chaos.

The original source of this article is [Who What Why](#)  
Copyright © [Boen Wang](#), [Who What Why](#), 2016

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **Boen Wang**

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)