

# Gullible Americans Duped into Believing Palestinians Occupy Israeli Land Rather than the Reverse

By [Grant F. Smith](#)

Global Research, March 27, 2016

[AntiWar.com](#) 24 March 2016

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#)

In-depth Report: [PALESTINE](#)

*According to an IRmep poll fielded by Google Consumer Surveys the [majority of Americans](#) (49.2 percent) believe that Palestinians occupy Israeli land rather than the reverse. The statistically-significant survey was fielded on March 9 in four nations and had a margin of error of 1.7-4.3 percent.*

The U.S. adult internet population is alone in North America believing that Israelis are under a Palestinian occupation. A simultaneous [survey of Canadians](#) reveals that 51.4 percent correctly believe Israelis occupy Palestinian land, while [54.6 percent of Mexicans](#) also believe Israel occupies Palestinian territory.

Adults living in the United Kingdom were the most convinced among the four countries surveyed with [57.7 percent](#) believing “Israelis occupy Palestinian land.” The issue has taken on new relevance with the EU’s efforts to [clearly label the origin of goods](#) produced in Israeli West Bank settlements. The organization tasked with lobbying congress on behalf of many Israel affinity organizations in the US, the American Israel Public Affairs Committee or AIPAC, deems the EU labeling measure an “[attack](#)” on Israel and has sought to legitimize products from “[Israeli-controlled territories](#)” in US trade legislation. AIPAC has sought to “[blur](#)” the issue by [promoting the Israeli government formulation](#) of the lands as “disputed” rather than “occupied.”




Figure 1: IRmep Google Consumer Research polls

However, understanding the situation on the ground is important for Americans. Though not widely reported, 9/11 Commission testimony revealed that Israel’s ongoing occupation and humiliation of Palestinians and unconditional US support for Israel and repressive regimes in the Middle East were major motivators of terrorism against the United States.

The United Nations Security Council began using the term ‘territories occupied’ following the Six-Day War precipitated by Israel’s sneak attack on Egypt in 1967. UN Resolution 242 called for withdrawal of Israeli armed forces from territories Israel occupied in order to establish peace in the region. Instead, Israel annexed East Jerusalem in 1980 and the Golan Heights in 1981. Neither move has been recognized by the international community. Israel’s annexation of Jerusalem was declared “null and void” by UN Security Council Resolution 478, while UN resolution 497 declared the same over Israel’s annexation of the Golan. Israel withdrew military forces from Gaza in September 2005, but retained control over Gaza’s frequency spectrum, tax revenue, airspace and coastline. Israel continues to be designated as an occupying power by the UN.

In the United States, [politicians](#) seeking support from Israel affinity organizations are discouraged from using the term “Israeli occupied territories.” Many prefer to follow AIPAC’s and the Israeli government’s formulation that the West Bank, East Jerusalem and Golan are “disputed territories” in order to avoid upsetting large pro-Israel campaign contributors.

Although there are no major establishment news organizations reporting on or even alleging any Palestinian occupation of Israeli territories, the media’s overwhelmingly negative coverage of Palestinians – including its bids for statehood, the nonviolent BDS movement, and resistance to Israeli attacks – all likely contribute to Americans’ fundamental failure to grasp essential facts about the situation in the Middle East.

[IRmep](#) is a Washington, DC-based nonprofit researching US Middle East policy formulation.

**Grant F. Smith** is the author of [America’s Defense Line: The Justice Department’s Battle to](#)

[Register the Israel Lobby as Agents of a Foreign Government](#). He currently serves as director of research at the Institute for Research: Middle Eastern Policy in Washington (IRmep), D.C. [Read other articles by Grant](#), or [visit Grant's website](#).

The original source of this article is [AntiWar.com](#)  
Copyright © [Grant F. Smith](#), [AntiWar.com](#), 2016

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Grant F. Smith](#)**

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)