

British Government Adviser Who Warned of 200,000 COVID Cases a Day Faces Scrutiny after Dramatic Fall in UK Infections

Ferguson got it wrong again.

By [Paul Joseph Watson](#)

Global Research, July 28, 2021

[Summit News](#) 26 July 2021

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@crg_globalresearch](#).

What this article fails to acknowledge is that Neil Ferguson’s “Mathematical Model” was generously funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

The unspoken objective was to spearhead the fear campaign as well as provide a pretext and a justification to impose the March 2020 lockdown which resulted in the near closing down of the national economies of 190 member states of the United Nations, creating economic, social and political chaos Worldwide.

This was “fake science” in support of powerful financial interests. To put it mildly, the scrutiny should also apply to the sponsors of the lockdown

Michel Chossudovsky, Global Research. July 28, 2021

Professor Neil Ferguson, the controversial epidemiologist who predicted there would be as many as 200,000 COVID cases a day in the UK if restrictions were lifted, is facing scrutiny after infections continued to drop for the 6th day in a row.

The day before so-called ‘freedom day’ in England, where most mask mandates and social distancing restrictions were lifted, Ferguson was asked by the BBC’s Andrew Marr where the country was heading as a result.

“It’s very difficult to say for certain, but I think 100,000 cases a day is almost inevitable,” said Ferguson, adding, “The real question is do we get to double that or higher? We could get to 200,000 cases a day.”

Neil Ferguson, July 18: "100,000 cases a day is almost inevitable." pic.twitter.com/kYw3jhHcl1 <https://t.co/9dgimVEGks>

— Michael P Senger (@MichaelPSenger) [July 26, 2021](#)

The professor went on to warn of “major disruption” to the NHS and the interruption of elective surgeries.

Ferguson is being proven wrong by the statistics once again, which today showed there were 24,950 new coronavirus cases, the sixth consecutive daily fall.

Epic graph. pic.twitter.com/a9r1JxRST2

— SecondOpinion (@DaFeid) [July 26, 2021](#)

“Lockdown zealots will attribute this decline to the vaccines, but that begs the question of why they weren’t confident the vaccines would prevent cases from surging when they predicted armageddon last Monday?” [asks](#) Toby Young.

As Christopher Snowden highlights, the scientists who claimed England’s unlocking represented “a threat to world” are also being proven spectacularly wrong. SAGE government advisers who claimed that relaxing restrictions was “a dangerous and unethical experiment” also face embarrassment.

In a superb touch, the graph under that quote in the Guardian article headlined 'England’s Covid unlocking is threat to world, say 1,200 scientists' automatically updates once a day. <https://t.co/eRXqyBDxja>
pic.twitter.com/ByHhFCDVer

— Christopher Snowden (@cjsnowdon) [July 26, 2021](#)

The issue once again begs the question; Why does the government continue to follow advice given by arch-lockdown advocates who have got it wrong time and time again?

Don’t forget that it was Ferguson who infamously [warned](#) that half a million Brits would die without a draconian lockdown, despite the fact that countries like Sweden which didn’t impose lockdown had similar waves and infection rates.

Not only has Ferguson repeatedly proven himself to be totally unreliable (after having already disgraced himself during the 2001 foot and mouth outbreak), but he infamously betrayed what he really thought about the severity of the pandemic via his own behavior.

During the first lockdown, when Ferguson himself was predicting up to half a million deaths, the professor took the threat of the virus so seriously, he allowed his mistress to [violate the rules](#) by traveling back and forth across London to continue the pair’s sordid affair.

“It’s OK when we do it!”

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram,

@crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Featured image is from Wikimedia Commons

The original source of this article is [Summit News](#)
Copyright © [Paul Joseph Watson](#), [Summit News](#), 2021

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Paul Joseph Watson](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca