

GOP Will Likely Oppose More Ukraine Aid If Republicans Win House Back: McCarthy

By Zero Hedge Global Research, October 20, 2022 Zero Hedge 19 October 2022 Region: Europe, Russia and FSU, USA Theme: Intelligence, Militarization and WMD In-depth Report: UKRAINE REPORT

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate Website** button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), <u>click here</u>.

Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

House Minority Leader **Kevin McCarthy** (R-CA) has indicated that if Republicans retake control of the House in next month's midterm elections, **the GOP will likely oppose sending more aid to Ukraine** in its war with Russia, the <u>Washington Post</u> reports.

The US has authorized upward of **\$60 billion in aid** to Ukraine, along with over **\$18.2 billion in security assistance** given since January 2021. In May, the Senate voted to finalize over **\$40 billion** in *new* military and humanitarian assistance – **a package which many Republican lawmakers voted against**. On Friday, an additional \$725 million in security assistance was announced – including more ammunition for HIMARS rockets, precision-guided artillery rounds, antitank weapons and Humvees, according to the Pentagon.

"There's the things [the Biden administration] is not doing domestically," McCarthy told <u>Punchbowl</u> News. "Not doing the border, and people begin to weigh that. Ukraine is important, but at the same time, it can't be the only thing they do, and it can't be a blank check."

McCarthys comments come days after the latest <u>NYT/Siena college poll</u> reveals that just **2% of likely voters** think the war in Ukraine is the most important issue facing the country, while **44%** think the economy and inflation are the two two issues. Of the 2% that said Ukraine, 3% voted for Biden in 2020 and 1% voted Trump.

		AGE			GENDER		EDUCATION						REGION													
What do you think is the MOST important problem		AGE							RACE/ETHNICITY		WHITE, WHITE, NO					COMMUNITY			2020 VOTE		PARTY I.D.					
facing the country today?	LIKELY VOTERS	18 TO 29	30 TO 44	45 TO 64	4 65+	MALE	FEMALE	B.A.+	NO B.A.	WHITE	BLACK	HISPANIC	COLLEGE	COLLEGE	MW	NE	S	W	CITY	SUBURB	RURAL	BIDEN	TRUMP	D	R	1
[VOL] The economy (including jobs, stock market)	26%	28%	23%	32%	22%	31%	21%	22%	29%	25%	22%	37%	21%	29%	22%	29%	27%	26%	21%	28%	27%	15%	36%	17%	35%	26%
[VOL] Inflation or the cost of living	18%	18%	23%	16%	15%	19%	17%	15%	20%	19%	17%	12%	16%	21%	20%	19%	19%	14%	13%	20%	20%	16%	22%	17%	23%	14%
[VOL] Abortion	5%	10%	4%	6%	5%	1%	9%	5%	6%	3%	6%	7%	4%	3%	7%	4%	2%	9%	5%	5%	6%	9%	3%	8%	2%	7%
[VOL] Immigration	5%	2%	1%	5%	11%	3%	7%	4%	7%	7%	2%	3%	5%	9%	5%	3%	6%	6%	4%	5%	7%	1%	10%	1%	12%	4%
[VOL] Crime	3%	<.5%	-	3%	5%	1%	4%	1%	3%	3%	2%	3%	1%	4%	2%	4%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	5%	1%
[VOL] Gun policies	1%	4%	1%	1%	1%	<.5%	2%	1%	2%	<.5%	4%	6%	<.5%	<.5%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%		2%
[VOL] health care	1%	1%	3%	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%	2%	-	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	<.5%	2%	1%	2%	<.5%	2%
[VOL] Education	1%	3%	2%	<.5%	<.5%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	<.5%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	<.5%
[VOL] Foreign policy	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	<.5%	1%	1%	1%	1%	<.5%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	<.5%	2%	<.5%	1%	<.5%	1%	1%	-	1%
[VOL] Russia/war in Ukraine	2%	1%	2%	<.5%	4%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%
[VOL] China	<.5%	-	<.5%	-	<.5%	-	1%	1%	<.5%	<.5%	1%	1%	<.5%	-	-	<.5%	1%	<.5%		1%	<.5%		<.5%	<.5%	1%	-
[VOL] Climate change	3%	5%	10%	1%	<.5%	3%	3%	5%	2%	3%	<.5%	2%	4%	2%	2%	3%	1%	7%	3%	4%	2%	5%	<.5%	5%	-	4%
[VOL] Coronavirus	<.5%	-	-	-	1%	<.5%	<.5%	1%	-	<.5%	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	<.5%	1%	1%	-	<.5%	-	1%	-	-
[VOL] The state of democracy	8%	7%	7%	8%	8%	10%	6%	11%	6%	10%	1%	5%	13%	7%	9%	10%	7%	8%	9%	10%	5%	12%	3%	11%	4%	9%
[VOL] Election integrity	1%	-	<.5%	2%	<.5%	<.5%	1%	1%	<.5%	1%	<.5%	-	2%	<.5%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-

Pushback

Notwithstanding GOP war hawks like **Mitch McConnell** (R-KY), McCarthy isn't the only Republican who's publicly opposed more Ukraine aid.

"I do think that we have to get to a point, and this is where we do disagree, we've got to stop the money spigot to Ukraine eventually," said J.D. Vance, the venture capitalist and author who's in a close race for a US Senate seat in Ohio, adding that he wants "the Ukrainians to be successful," but not through more US funding.

"We cannot fund a long-term military conflict that I think ultimately has diminishing returns for our own country," he told *ABC*, adding "**I think we're at the point where we've given enough money in Ukraine, I really do**. ... The Europeans need to step up. And frankly, if the Ukrainians and the Europeans, more importantly, knew that America wasn't going to foot the bill, they might actually step up."

Meanwhile, Arizona Republican Senate nominee **Blake Masters** said in May that the money would be better spent securing the southern border with a wall.

"Under Joe Biden, it's always America last," he said in a video. "Let's be clear about what this means. It means no cease-fire. It means another foreign war where we pay for everything. Many more thousands of people will die. There's no resolution, no end in sight. The risk of course is that a proxy war can escalate into an all-out nuclear war between nuclear powers."

In New Hampshire, Republican Senate candidate Don Bolduc said last week that **more spending is not the answer to improving conditions in Ukraine.**

"We must hold the administration accountable," he told New Hampshire's ABC affiliate. "We just can't print this money. It's money we don't have, and it's equipment that's being thrown at a problem without any strategy, without any policy, and it's not going to get the job done."

These Republicans could join Sen. Rand Paul (R-Ky.), who in May temporarily held up \$40 billion of aid to Ukraine, saying, "**you can't save Ukraine by dooming the U.S. economy.**"

Nevada Republican Senate nominee Adam Laxalt <u>tweeted</u> in May that the \$40 billion U.S. aid to Ukraine was a "shockingly abhorrent proposal." -WaPo

After McCarthy's comments, outgoing never-Trump RINO Adam Kinzinger (R-IL) tweeted "What in the absolute bloody hell is happening to @GOPLeader," while Sen. Chris Murphy (D-CT), who sits on the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, warned that if the GOP wins back the house, Ukraine aid would be in jeopardy.

"I just see a freight train coming, and that is Trump and his operation turning against aid for Ukraine," he told *MSNBC*. "House Republicans, if they were to take the majority, being preternaturally against anything Joe Biden is for — including the war in Ukraine — and there being a real crisis where the House Republican majority would refuse to support additional aid to Ukraine."

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Featured image is from Zero Hedge

The original source of this article is <u>Zero Hedge</u> Copyright © <u>Zero Hedge</u>, <u>Zero Hedge</u>, 2022

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Zero Hedge

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca