

Globalist Elites Beg for ‘Trust’ Despite an ‘Unending Stream’ of COVID Lies and ‘Massive’ Censorship

By [Patrick Delaney](#)

Global Research, June 13, 2022

[LifeSiteNews](#) 8 June 2022

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on [Instagram](#), [Twitter](#) and [Facebook](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Despite enormous evidence to the contrary and in a bid for totalitarian power, globalist health leaders declare the COVID crisis ‘anything but over’ while ignoring sharp challenges from thousands of competent professionals.

In a May 17 [press conference](#) some have called Orwellian, representatives from globalist institutions insisted that the COVID crisis is “anything but over,” demanded higher levels of “risk management” compliance from every nation, a “seamless” implementation of globalist decisions in health systems, and even more censorship of “conspiracy theories” from Big Tech corporations.

The occasion was a document release from the International Science Council (ISC) titled “Unprecedented and Unfinished: COVID-19 and Implications for National and Global Policy.”

The organization’s president, **Dr. Peter Gluckman**, explained the purpose of the project was to contest the notion that experimental gene-based vaccines in some way would end the COVID crisis allowing nations to “return to some form of normality.” But this “clearly was not going to be the case,” he exclaimed.

Gluckman, who formerly served as Chief Science Advisor to the prime minister of his home nation of New Zealand, lamented the lack of trust afforded to “elites” from citizens with regards to the imposition of COVID response measures over the last two years, including lockdowns, school closures, mask requirements and gene-based “vaccine” mandates as even a condition of employment.

Without defining the term, or providing any examples, he expressed a special concern over the dissemination of “conspiracy theories” during the COVID phenomenon and how such matters were especially problematic in democratic nations.

“I think one of the deep existential threats for all countries, but particularly for

democratic countries, is how is trust sustained between citizens and the elite parts of society,” he said.

“The first defense against conspiracy theories is undoubtedly trust in societies. And trust in societies is a hard thing to build. It requires trust to be built between those who govern and those who are governed,” said the trained pediatrician.

And making a hard distinction between citizens and “elites,” he said, “and I think where we saw vaccine resistance is often related to the fact there was not trust in the elite, even political, scientific, or academic, but it was then reinforced or the excuse that was given was those of conspiracy.”

One question advanced by a journalist was whether or not it would be helpful to have a global “register of conspiracies,” at which Gluckman balked.

“And so, does a register of conspiracy theories help or not? I’m not sure,” he said. “But as the experts on conspiracy theories ... would suggest, just trying to confront conspiracy theory with rationality does not work. And there’s strong evidence of a strong correlation between distrust in the elites of society and a willingness to believe conspiracy theories.”

Trust undermined by an ‘unending stream’ of ‘official lies’ proceeding from govt, international agencies, medical organizations, and media

Whether Gluckman and his colleagues would consider [Dr. Robert Malone](#) a “conspiracy theorist” is unknown as there is no such registry which would naturally have to specifically identify such individuals and theories, and then attempt to exercise rationality in demonstrating why they are erroneous.

But with regard to citizens trusting the “elites,” Malone suggests it would be helpful if there was not such a well-documented track record of those in power issuing so many “lies” over the last couple of years and he provided the following sample of such blatant falsehoods in a May 19 [column](#):

- SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus has a far higher fatality rate than influenza virus by several orders of magnitude.
- Everyone has a significant risk of death from COVID-19.
- No one has immunity, because this virus is new (“novel”) and so expedited vaccine development and deployment is essential.
- Everyone is dangerous and spreads the infection.
- Asymptomatic people are major drivers of the spread of disease.
- Locking down- closing schools and businesses, confining people to their homes, stopping non-COVID medical care, and eliminating travel will stop/eliminate the virus.
- Masks will protect everyone and stop the spread.
- Immune protection can only be obtained with a vaccine.
- Natural immunity conferred by infection and recovery is short lived and inferior to vaccine-induced immunity.

The elites Malone identifies as being responsible for these lies include present and former

U.S. federal COVID-19 Response officials **Drs. Deborah Birx, Anthony Fauci, and Francis Collins.**

In a peer-reviewed *Surgical Neurology International* [paper](#) published April 22, neurosurgeon **Dr. Russel Blaylock** also compiles a generous selection of “official lies” regarding the COVID-19 event which also had the effect of destroying public trust. These lies proceeded “in an unending stream led by government bureaucracies, medical associations, medical boards, the media, and international agencies” such as the ISC and WHO.

In his section titled “Tools of the indoctrination trade” Blaylock provides a description of the “‘fact-check’ scam” listing a sample of 17 propositions which were identified by such outlets as “misinformation” or “conspiracy theories” but which were later proven to be true. Five examples of these include the following:

- Early treatment could have saved the lives of most of the 700,000 who died [in the U.S.].
- The vaccines cannot protect adequately against new variants, such as Delta and Omicron.
- The unvaccinated will be denied employment.
- Hundreds of thousands have been killed by the vaccines and many times more have been permanently damaged.
- The spike protein from the vaccine enters the nucleus of the cell, altering cell DNA repair function.

“Today, extensive evidence has confirmed that each of these so-called ‘myths’ were in fact true,” Blaylock wrote.

Indiana AG shows how govt disinformation was used to violate intrinsic human rights and also shattered trust

To cite another example of lies from “elites” that destroyed public trust, in early May, **Todd Rokita**, Attorney General of the State of Indiana, replied to a public [solicitation](#) from Biden Administration Surgeon General **Dr. Vivek Murthy** requesting “stories and research on #HealthMisinformation.”

With epidemiologists **Jay Bhattacharya**, Ph.D., a professor at Stanford University School of Medicine, and **Martin Kulldorff**, Ph.D., senior research fellow at the [Brownstone Institute](#) and former professor at Harvard University School of Medicine, Rokita issued a [formal response](#) detailing examples of misinformation proceeding from the CDC itself and other official health organizations.

The [letter](#) included the following nine areas of government disinformation, inaccuracy, and harmful policy which the authors assert “have shattered the public’s trust in science and public health and will take decades to repair.”

- Overcounting COVID-19
- Questioning Natural Immunity
- COVID-19 Vaccines Prevent Transmission
- School Closures Were Effective and Costless
- Everyone is equally at risk of hospitalization and death from COVID-19 infection
- There was no reasonable policy alternative to lockdowns

- Mask mandates are effective in reducing the spread of viral infectious diseases
- Mass testing of asymptomatic individuals and contact tracing of positive cases is effective in reducing disease spread
- The eradication of COVID-19 is a feasible goal

Such government misinformation also provided a pretense for authorities to violate intrinsic human rights by mandating injections of experimental gene-based vaccines and the use of “vaccine passports,” not to mention the imposition of disastrous lockdowns, school closures, and other policies that “were themselves harmful,” the authors wrote.

Rokita and his colleagues also accused the public health officials of ignoring obvious data and evidence regarding the transmissibility and lethality of COVID-19 in an effort to precisely impose these harmful public health policies.

‘Elites’ hide behind implicit conspiracy theories to dismiss contrary judgement of thousands of medical experts

Lacking any specifics to support his sweeping assertions, it remains unclear if Gluckman would consider these presentations as part of what he called “the misinformation world,” though they sharply challenge the messages proceeding from his agency, the WHO, and what he refers to as many other “elites.”

It’s also unclear what his assessment would be of the [Global Covid Summit](#) (GCS), a coalition of over 17,000 doctors and scientists who in mid-May released a [statement](#) and hosted a press conference outlining ten foundational principles which generally affirm the critiques above including the following declarations:

- The COVID-19 experimental genetic therapy injections must end.
- Doctors should not be blocked from providing life-saving [early] medical treatment.
- The state of national emergency, which facilitates corruption and extends the pandemic, should be immediately terminated.
- Medical privacy should never again be violated, and all travel and social restrictions must cease.
- Masks are not and have never been effective protection against an airborne respiratory virus in the community setting.
- Funding and research must be established for vaccination damage, death and suffering.
- No opportunity should be denied, including education, career, military service or medical treatment, over unwillingness to take an injection.
- First amendment violations and medical censorship by government, technology and media companies should cease, and the Bill of Rights [in the U.S.] be upheld.
- Pfizer, Moderna, BioNTech, Janssen, Astra Zeneca, and their enablers, withheld and willfully omitted safety and effectiveness information from patients and physicians, and should be immediately indicted for fraud.
- Government and medical agencies must be held accountable.

These thousands of medical and scientific professionals, who claim to represent many more, call the COVID enterprise an “orchestrated catastrophe” with officials “generating an illusion

of scientific consensus by substituting truth with propaganda,” all of which, and more, “constitute nothing less than crimes against humanity.”

Such a presentation, one may assume, Gluckman and his colleagues would include in their condemnation of “the misinformation world.” Yet it would seem for such a charge to be plausible one would have to accept the theory that these thousands of medical professionals are not sincere in their conclusions but are rather colluding (or “conspiring”) against actual scientific truth.

In other words, instead of addressing such arguments directly, or better yet, accepting even a [lucrative million-dollar payment](#) from entrepreneur-turned-journalist Steve Kirsch to demonstrate how these researchers are part of the “misinformation world,” Gluckman and his colleagues themselves ironically and implicitly advance conspiracy theories.

Globalist Orwellian doublespeak: ‘Dialogue’ and ‘social listening’ actually mean censorship

But the Orwellian nature of the ISC presser came into even greater relief when WHO Chief Scientist Dr. Soumya Swaminathan assessed the situation calling for a greater degree of “dialogue,” which, upon her description, really meant censorship.

“I think as scientists we need to think about how we communicate. We have to adapt. We are used to speaking with each other. We don’t often have a conversation with the public at large,” reflected the pediatrician and native of India. “So, I think rather than speaking one way, it has to be a dialogue.

“And one of the things we’ve started at the WHO is something that we call ‘social listening,’” she said. “So, we are monitoring all of these [social media] platforms to see what people are talking about. So that very early on you can pick up maybe the start of a new conspiracy or some misinformation that started circulating.”

“And we are addressing it in two ways: One is working with all these big technology platforms ... to make sure that they have in their algorithms a process whereby they direct people to credible sources of information rather than to conspiracy theory websites,” she said.

“Secondly, we also flag them when we find misinformation so that they can take down those videos. And that’s happened over the last two years. And I think there’s been a very good response from the technology companies. So, I think that’s the first thing: to learn how to communicate and use modern technology to do that,” concluded Swaminathan.

Many representatives of the GCS have been censored by big tech companies over the last two years. Early in the pandemic, the highly credentialed internist and cardiologist [Dr. Peter McCullough](#) had a video describing his peer-reviewed paper on treatments of COVID-19 [pulled down](#) from YouTube. **Dr. Richard Urso** with America’s Frontline Doctors also had their viral July 2020 press conference [removed](#), and **Dr. Robert Malone** was [banned](#) from Twitter late last year.

For these reasons, the thousands of physicians and medical scientists of GCS declared point eight above, that “first amendment violations and medical censorship by government,

technology and media companies should cease, and the Bill of Rights be upheld.”

Speaking on their behalf, physician-scientist and neuroendocrinologist Dr. Fady Hannah-Shmouni, MD, FRCPC of Canada, condemned ([44:33](#)) the “medical censorship” which was inflicted upon medical professionals “that wanted to speak the truth about various medical information and research pertaining to the pandemic.” He called this a violation of medical “ethical standards” which remains a disservice to patients and communities as it undermines their ability to exercise genuine informed consent.

Yet the ISC globalist panel appears committed to advocating for much more censorship. A seemingly exasperated Gluckman lamented the “huge challenge” of “the increasingly digitally embraced world where the ability to spread disinformation is so easy.” Confronting this challenge requires “massive work,” he said, between “scientists and the policy community.”

WHO aims for ‘seamless’ authority over global health systems, civil society, nations

In focusing on their work to expand globalist power, Swaminathan advocated for authorities to “reform the whole architecture, the global architecture” of “the multilateral system.” Looking ahead toward an upcoming session of the World Health Assembly (WHA) which happened on May 22-28, she said “it’s important that the multilateral system continues to be strong, that the WHO is further strengthened and given the power, as well as the accountability and the financing to do its job properly.”

The WHA with its 194 member states is the governing body of the WHO. On their agenda last month were amendments offered by the Biden Administration which, in the [words](#) of journalist James Roguski, would “take away limitation on [the powers of the] WHO.” Such an arrangement would turn their Director-General into a “Dictator-General” with the legal power to declare a global state of emergency merely on his own authority, with regional directors being able to do the same in their seven respective regions around the world.

Such designations could trigger impositions of any or all of the draconian measures imposed on societies over the last two years, including lockdowns, masking, testing the uninfected, social distancing, use of the inaccurate PCR test, contact tracing, etc., which have been shown to be of little or no use in preventing spread of the virus while causing immeasurable damage to populations worldwide.

Along these lines, Swaminathan appeared to advocate for the WHO becoming the legal decision-maker with regard to pandemic response for health systems across the world as well. Utilizing delicate language, she said the health industry’s emergency response should be “seamlessly coordinated at the national level, but also at the regional and global level” while such “coordination” naturally renders national and regional levels subservient to the higher-order global organization when it comes to so-called mitigation efforts.

Mami Mizutori, another panelist at the presser, from the UN Office for Disaster Risk Reduction, expanded this concept touting the necessity of global authority to direct this “comprehensive risk management where we break down silos of government sectors, and we all work together.” And not just national and local governments, “but also the civil society, the private sector, the media and ultimately each individual that we work together to tackle risk.”

Vice president of the ISC, Salim Abdool Karim, echoed this sentiment as well declaring that every single nation, without exception, must “as a global society ... stand together. There is no scenario in this world that sees a few countries or some countries mitigating and suppressing the virus while it is spreading rampantly in others. We have to be able to come together in ... supporting each other in a common goal of suppressing, mitigating and ultimately controlling this virus.”

‘Dynamic silence’ utilized to suppress massive evidence and experts, victory at the WHA

As Blaylock wrote, this messaging in favor of such global totalitarian impositions remains unchanged by these agencies regardless of any level of evidence exposing their futility against the virus and their catastrophic consequences on populations. The neurosurgeon [wrote](#):

Some states ignored these draconian orders and had either the same or fewer cases, as well as deaths, as the states with the most strictly enforced measures. Again, no amount of evidence or obvious demonstration along these lines had any effect on ending these socially destructive measures. Even when entire countries, such as Sweden, which avoided all these measures, demonstrated equal rates of infections and hospitalization as nations with the strictest, very draconian measures, no policy change by the controlling institutions occurred. No amount of evidence changed anything.

The strategy of these global and otherwise governmental health and media organizations is evidently one of “[dynamic silence](#)”: simply pretending massive evidence against their narrative doesn’t exist, nor do the voices of the [tens of thousands](#) of competent medical and scientific [professionals](#) who publicly repudiate their agenda as damaging, massively deadly, and as thus, “crimes against humanity.”

The other aspect of this strategy is to suppress and censor these voices in public discourse, or within institutions, even through intimidation in the medical systems themselves and by threatening, firing, or suspending the medical licenses of doctors who present compelling evidence contradicting the authoritative narrative.

Yet, former high-tech entrepreneur turned journalist [Steve Kirsch](#) has attempted to propose [several solutions](#) to the impasse between the globalist health authorities and the many groups of thousands of other physicians and scientists who have presented enormous amounts of evidence which sharply contradicts the position of the public health establishment.

On numerous occasions Kirsch has even [offered](#) to [pay](#) qualified individuals of standing, including the likes of Gluckman, Swaminathan, Karim, Mizutori, Fauci, Birx and Collins [millions of dollars](#) to come and explain to a [team](#) of experts, including Malone, McCullough, and former Pfizer vice president, [Dr. Michael Yeadon](#), why their understanding of the data on these several subjects is erroneous.

Needless to say, thus far “they [have] all refused,” Kirsch [wrote](#) in January. “It’s so hard to give away a million dollars nowadays.”

In correspondence with an ISC spokeswoman, LifeSiteNews (LSN) asked if Dr. Gluckman would be willing to put together a group of experts to correct and / or debate such a team,

including GCS members, assembled by Kirsch. LSN also asked if the ISC would go on record alleging any of these individuals challenging their narrative, mentioned above, to be “conspiracy theorists” or spreaders of “misinformation.”

Despite Gluckman’s stated insistence on prioritizing “plurality to input” when “discussing a crisis like this,” and his touting the “extraordinarily inclusive process” which brought about the newly released document of his organization, a response was not received from the ISC by the deadline for publication.

If and when a response is received, we will provide an update here.

In the meantime, due to the initial intervention of the landlocked nation of Botswana in Southern Africa, followed by several other nations, the Biden Administration’s proposed amendments offered at the WHA late last month were [defeated](#) by several countries, including Brazil, Brunei, Namibia, Bangladesh, Russia, India, China, South Africa, and Iran, with Brazil stating they would exit the WHO altogether rather than allow its citizens to be subjected to the hostile new powers of such a “dictator-general.”

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, Twitter and Facebook. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Featured image: Dr. Peter Gluckman of ISC (Source: World Climate Research Programme/YouTube)

The original source of this article is [LifeSiteNews](#)

Copyright © [Patrick Delaney](#), [LifeSiteNews](#), 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Patrick Delaney](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca