

## German Court Rules that COVID-19 Lockdowns Are Unconstitutional

By Great Game India

Global Research, January 25, 2021

GreatGameIndia

Region: Europe

Theme: Law and Justice, Science and

Medicine

A <u>German court in a landmark ruling has declared that COVID-19 lockdowns</u> imposed by the government are unconstitutional. Thuringia's spring lockdown was a "catastrophically wrong political decision with dramatic consequences for almost all areas of people's lives," the court said, justifying its decision.

A German <u>district court has declared</u> that strict lockdown imposed by the government of the central state of Thuringia last spring are unconstitutional, as it acquitted a person accused of violating it.

The case was regarding a man violating strict German lockdown rules by celebrating a birthday with his friends.



Source: GreatGameIndia

The district court in the city of Weimar did not just acquit the defendant but also stated that the authorities themselves breached Germany's basic law.

Thuringia's spring lockdown was a "catastrophically wrong political decision with dramatic consequences for almost all areas of people's lives," the court said, justifying its decision.

It was this regulation that a local man violated by hosting a party attended by his seven friends.

However, the judge said that the regional government itself violated the "inviolably guaranteed human dignity" secured by Article 1 of the German basic law in the first place by imposing such restrictions.

According to the court, the government lacked sufficient legal grounds to impose the restrictions since there was no "epidemic situation of national importance" at that time and the health system was at no risk of collapsing as the Robert Koch Institute reported that the Covid-19 reproduction number had fallen below 1.

The judge also ruled that the regional government had no right to introduce such farreaching measures at all since it was up to lawmakers to do so.

The lockdown imposed in Thuringia represented "the most comprehensive and far-reaching restrictions on fundamental rights in the history of the Federal Republic," the court said while calling the measures an attack on the "foundations of our society" that was "disproportionate."

Earlier, an American federal judge ruled <u>coronavirus restrictions in Pennsylvania as</u> unconstitutional.

Pennsylvania **Governor Tom Wolf's** pandemic restrictions that required people to stay at home, placed size limits on gatherings and ordered "non-life-sustaining" businesses to shut down are unconstitutional, U.S. District Judge William Stickman IV ruled.

Last year as <u>GreatGameIndia</u> reported, a Portuguese appeals court had ruled that <u>PCR tests</u> <u>are unreliable and that it is unlawful</u> to quarantine people based solely on a PCR test.

And only recently, the World Health Organization (WHO) changed its PCR test ctiteria to cover-up false positives and cautioned experts not to rely solely on the results of a PCR test to detect the coronavirus.

\*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

The original source of this article is <u>GreatGameIndia</u> Copyright © <u>Great Game India</u>, <u>GreatGameIndia</u>, 2021

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: **Great Game** 

India

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>