

Geopolitics and The Russian Elections: Putin Wins...

By [Stephen Lendman](#)

Region: [Russia and FSU](#)

Global Research, March 06, 2012

6 March 2012

Putin Wins

by Stephen Lendman

Pre-election polls predicted around a 60% majority. Final results show Putin won 63.6% of the vote. He got a clear third term mandate. In 2004, he won 71%.

Five candidates contended:

United Russia's Vladimir Putin

The Communist Party's Gennady Zyuganov

The Liberal Democratic Party's Vladimir Zhirinovsky

Just Russia's Sergey Mironov

Independent candidate Mikhail Prokhorov (billionaire/Russia's third richest man)

With nearly all votes counted, official results were as follows:

Putin: 63.6%

Zyuganov: 17.2%

Prokhorov: 7.9%

Zhirinovsky: 6.2%

Mironov: 3.9%

Turnout was 63.3%. It exceeded December's parliamentary elections.

Opponents allege fraud. So do major media scoundrels. At issue isn't who won or lost. Nor is it about a fair or fraudulent process. America's electoral system is hopelessly corrupted and broken, yet media giants praise it.

They also cheerlead America's imperial agenda. As a result, they reject Putin's opposition along with China, Venezuela, Cuba, Iran, perhaps Brazil and India, and others fed up with what harms their interests.

Putin's especially outspoken. He accused America of living beyond its means "like a

parasite” harming the global economy. He also called dollar dominance a threat to financial markets. If America’s in “systemic malfunction” it affects everyone, he added.

In 2003, he denounced Washington’s Iraq war, saying:

<blockquote>“The use of force abroad, according to existing international laws, can only be sanctioned by the United Nations. This is the international law. Everything that is done without the UN Security Council’s sanction cannot be recognised as fair or justified.”

“I am being as restrained as I can be when I choose these words,” he added. “There were no international terrorists under Hussein,” nor WMDs.

In 2007, he condemned Washington’s quest for unipolar global dominance “through a system which has nothing to do with democracy.” He added that Western powers are keen to teach Russia about it, but don’t practice it themselves.

He said post-Cold War armed conflicts and casualties exceeded prior eras. He added that we’re “witnessing an almost uncontained hyper-use of military force in international relations.”

America spurns international norms and principles. It initiated a reckless arms race. It “overstepped its national borders in almost all spheres.” As a result, no one’s secure.

In 2011, he condemned US/NATO’s Libya war, saying:

“The Security Council resolution is deficient and flawed. It allows everything, and is reminiscent of a medieval call for a crusade. It effectively allows intervention in a sovereign state.”

It’s becoming a “trend,” he added. “Now it’s Libya’s turn – under the pretext of protecting civilians. Where is the logic and conscience? There is neither. The ongoing events in Libya confirm that Russia is right to strengthen her defense capabilities.”</blockquote>

Now he’s warning against foreign intervention in Syria, saying “Moscow won’t allow anyone to repeat the Libyan scenario....” He also firmly opposes attacking Iran. Last month he accused Washington of “diktat imperialism,” and said Russia will respond accordingly.

He’s rightfully concerned about US bases encircling Russia, as well as encroaching offensive missile defense systems. His outspokenness shows he’s drawn red line opposition to America’s global imperial agenda. As president, he’s also supreme commander-in-chief in charge of military and foreign policy, especially national security matters.

Hollow Fraud Accusations

They falsely raged after last December’s parliamentary elections. Irregularities always occur. At issue is whether results are influenced. Russia’s were minor. Its Central Election Commission (CEC) mentioned inconsequential invisible ink, illegal propaganda, and “merry-go-round” voting incidents.

The invisible ink scheme was uncovered and stopped. “Merry-go-round” fraud was grossly exaggerated. Without evidence, major media scoundrels claimed otherwise.

Independent (CEC-invited) international monitors checked voting in over 30 Russian regions. They concluded the process was calm and orderly. Polish monitor Mateus Piskorski said, “All complaints are about technical issues and not about violations of election law.”

Institute for Democracy and Cooperation director of studies John Laughland called electoral procedures “absolutely excellent.” He added that polling station workers were “extremely competent.”

On March 4, Russia Today headlined, “Foreign observers laud Russian monitoring system as ‘best in the world,’ ” saying:

Thousands of Russian and foreign observers monitored polling stations throughout the voting process. Moreover, an elaborate Webcam system let anyone do it online. In fact, election officials said over two million people registered online to monitor voting live as it occurred.

International monitors said it “exceeded everything they saw in their home countries - or anywhere else in the world.”

They also agreed that “elections were held in a normal mode and without serious violations.” In addition, they praised the Webcam system. Italian independent observer Allesandro Mussolini said:

<blockquote>“Judging by the results of our visits to the polling stations, we can make a statement that the Russian video monitoring system exceeds all international standards. It is wonderful that this complicated election mechanism is working,”

Bulgarian monitor Milan Bozhevich added:

“Everything was, well, transparent and open. Russia has opened a new page in history today - there is a broadcast of the Russian elections all over the world.”</blockquote>

Others made similar comments. Serbia’s Tomislav Nikolich called it a “full level of democracy,” what his country’s elections lack.

One Dagestan polling station was troublesome. Instances of multiple voting occurred. In response, results were nullified. Russia’s Interior Ministry reported no other serious violations.

At 5:30PM Moscow time (17.5 hours after polls opened in Russia’s Far East), 86 complaints were received. In total after voting ended, 460 were registered. According to CEC’s deputy chairman Stanislav Vavilov:

<blockquote>“We have information that hundreds of complaints have been written and will be submitted to the CEC in the near future. These complaints have been written in advance (prior to the March 4 poll).”</blockquote>

Washington’s Manipulative Dirty Hands

National Endowment-funded Golos (a so-called independent NGO election monitor) alleged over 2,000 irregularities, including 900 in Moscow. Executive director Grigory Melkonyants

claimed “massive serious violations.” He’s well paid to say it.

NED, its National Democratic Institute (NDI) arm, the International Republican Institute (IRI), and similar organizations function as destabilizing US foreign policy tools.

They support pro-Western and/or regime change initiatives in over 90 countries. They operate like CIA alter egos, more openly than covertly by funding opposition groups. In Russia, they’re actively anti-Putin.

Claiming it’s a “private, nonprofit foundation dedicated to the growth and strengthening of democratic institutions around the world” masks NED’s true agenda. It actively participated in US orchestrated “color revolutions” in Georgia, Ukraine, and elsewhere. It also manipulated so-called “Arab Spring” rebellions to create illusions of change.

It represents US imperial interests. Taking NED or other foreign funding violates Russian law. Making baseless accusations exacerbates the crime. In America, prosecutions would result. Golos operates freely in Russia.

It’s Official: Putin Wins Overwhelmingly

Late Sunday night, Putin thanked tens of thousands of supporters packing Moscow’s Manezhnaya Square, saying:

“We have won in an open and fair struggle....This was more than just a presidential election. This was a very important test for us - a test for the political maturity of our people and independence. We have demonstrated that nobody can impose anything on us.”

“We have shown that our people are capable of telling the difference between the desire for novelty and progress, and political provocations that press for only one goal: to destroy Russia. Today our people have proven that such scenarios are not going to work in our country.”

Losers cried foul. Zyuganov called results illegitimate, saying “As a candidate, I cannot recognize the poll as honest or fair or decent.” Prokhorov said he’ll sue. Zhirinovskiy also alleged irregularities. Mironov didn’t complain, but said Putin must deliver on campaign promises.

On March 5, Russia Today reported both Putin and opposition supporters will rally Monday, saying:

“Tens of thousands of people from both camps are expected to gather in central Moscow on Monday. Moscow’s population has become visibly more politicized in the run-up to” Sunday’s election.”

Boris Nemtsov said he’ll participate. Dubbed “Mr. anti-corruption,” he’s no paragon of virtue. He’s been involved in various predatory/illegal schemes. He’s got ties to convicted oligarch Mikhail Khodorkovsky and self-exiled billionaire Boris Berezovsky in Britain to avoid prosecution.

Opposition figures Garry Kasparov and blogger/lawyer Alexei Navalny may also attend. Navalny, in fact, showed up, wouldn’t leave with a few dozen others until their demands are met, and got arrested. Both have Western ties. Kasparov’s a board member of the neocon

National Security Advisory Council of Center for Security Policy. It's a "non-profit, non-partisan national security organization that specializes in identifying policies, actions, and resource needs that are vital to American security."

He's also connected to Khodorovsky's partner Leonid Nevzlin. He's avoiding prosecution in Israel on murder-related charges. Navalny has NED ties. His LiveJournal blog admits receiving funding. They and others are well paid to oppose Putin and pro-Western policies. As a result, their fraud claims ring hollow.

Major Media Scoundrels Bash Putin

On March 4, New York Times writers Ellen Barry and Sophia Kishkovsky headlined, "Russian Turnout Includes Thousands of Eager Election Observers," saying:

"Evidence of brazen violations during parliamentary elections in December helped catalyze a series of unusual anti-government protests, and activists on Sunday circulated evidence – much of it by video clips – that they said established a comparable level of foul play in the presidential election."

Fact check

Independent monitors called December parliamentary election open, free and fair. New York Times contributors turn a blind eye and deaf ear.

CEC Chairman Vladimir Churov called alleged videos fakes. On site monitors contradict them. Ahead of voting, Putin accused opposition elements of preparing to discredit the process with false claims of ballot box stuffing.

Russian investigators began checking video clips alleging electoral violations. One showed a voter claiming someone already cast a ballot with his name.

Another involved three Ukrainian women posing as reporters. As they approached ballot boxes in one polling station, they stripped to the waist, revealing the slogan "I steal for Putin" on their chests.

The Times also alleged "carousel voting." The scheme involves voters using absentee ballots in multiple polling places. Video footage revealed none. Even Golos found nothing. It claimed "falsification technology" prevented uncovering it.

Over 45 million Russians voted, around 5% by absentee ballot. Fair or fraudulent, they had no effect on results. Yet opposition figures claim it as their main argument.

Even USAID consultant Glenn Cowan suggested fraud claims affecting results are baseless, saying anecdotal examples prove nothing.

Yet other media scoundrels headline it, including the Washington Post, Wall Street Journal, London Guardian, London Independent, other major broadsheets, the BBC, and US broadcast and cable channels.

A Final Comment

Whatever irregularities occurred were minor. Polls showed Putin heavily favored to win. He

did slightly better than expected.

As a result, Washington, its rogue NATO partners, and complicit media scoundrels have Putin to kick around for the next six years. Expect them to take full advantage.

Putin's outspokenness won't stop. He'll continue saying "nobody can impose anything on us" and opposing Western efforts "to destroy Russia. Today our people have proven that such scenarios are not going to work in our country."

As president, his job is to assure they don't. It's also to serve all Russians responsibly. Hopefully, he's up to the task.

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago and can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net.

Also visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com and listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network Thursdays at 10AM US Central time and Saturdays and Sundays at noon. All programs are archived for easy listening.

<http://www.progressiveradionetwork.com/the-progressive-news-hour/>.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Stephen Lendman](#), Global Research, 2012

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Stephen Lendman](#)

About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html> Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are

acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca