

Genocide of Seniors Continues as FDA and CDC Recommend 3rd Booster Shot to Age Group with Highest Amount of Deaths following COVID-19 Shots

By [Brian Shilhavy](#)

Global Research, September 28, 2021

[Health Impact News](#) 24 September 2021

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@crg_globalresearch](#).

*Last Friday an FDA vaccine advisory committee voted 16 to 2 **against** authorizing a 3rd Pfizer COVID-19 “booster” shot. And yet inexplicably, they later took a second vote among the same committee members to authorize a 3rd Pfizer booster shot for people over the age of 65, which was passed unanimously.*

Why?

Beliefs that vaccines actually help prevent disease, and that therefore those “most vulnerable” need them most, seemed to prevail here among the vaccine cultists, even though most people do not even know that Pfizer has never claimed their COVID-19 shots stop transmission of COVID-19. They allegedly only “lessen the effects.”

This religious-like belief which has no basis in anything that can remotely be considered “science,” is seen in its absurdity among their believers when a fully vaccinated individual dies after receiving these shots, and their loved ones exclaim: “Thank goodness they were fully vaccinated! Otherwise they would have suffered much more before they died.” See: [Belief in COVID “Vaccines” Now Reaching Religious Fervor: The Shot “Reduces Suffering” Before Death](#)

As a result of this belief system, seniors are always targeted more than the general population to get as many vaccines as possible, and the version of the flu shot people over the age of 65 get is usually four times as strong (toxic) as flu shots for the general population, based on this belief. See this article we published back in 2013: [23 Seniors Died After Receiving Flu Shot Sold by Pharmacies](#)




It is no surprise then that the vast majority of recorded deaths in VAERS following the COVID-19 injections is among people over the age of 65. Here is the breakdown by age according to statistics in the VAERS government database, which was just updated today

(9/24/21).

From the 9/17/2021 release of VAERS data:

Found 15,386 cases where Vaccine targets COVID-19 (COVID19) and Patient Died

Table

 Age	 Count	 Percent
< 3 Years	3	0.02%
9-12 Years	1	0.01%
12-17 Years	21	0.14%
17-44 Years	527	3.43%
44-65 Years	1,708	11.1%
65-75 Years	2,130	13.84%
75+ Years	5,226	33.97%
Unknown	5,770	37.5%
TOTAL	15,386	100%

[Source.](#)

As one can clearly see, people over the age of 65 die the most following COVID-19 shots.

If we separate the “Unknown” age category, we see that about 77% of all deaths following COVID-19 shots are among people over the age of 65. Applying that same percentage to the “Unknown,” we can conclude that about 4,414 out of the 5,770 deaths were probably also people over the age of 65.

That brings the total amount of deaths in people over the age of 65 following a COVID-19 shot to about 11,770 deaths.

Throughout this week we have published [whistleblower testimonies](#) stating that tremendous pressure is put on healthcare workers to NOT report adverse reactions to VAERS, and we also now have [Dr. Jessica Rose’s very detailed analysis on the underreporting of adverse reactions in VAERS](#) where she concludes that a safe estimate would be to multiply adverse events in VAERS by a factor of 41X.

That would mean then that a conservative estimate of deaths in people over the age of 65 following a COVID-19 shot for the past 9 months is about 482,570 senior deaths represented by the U.S. VAERS. (While the majority of these are in the U.S., there are also some from outside the U.S.)

Did you think I was exaggerating when I chose to use the word “genocide” for this age group in the headline to this article?

By way of comparison, I searched the government VAERS database for deaths in this age group following ALL vaccines for the past 30 years, since VAERS was started, and it returned a result of 1,311 deaths in people above the age of 65 following ALL non-COVID vaccines for

the past 30 years. ([Source](#))

So we have seen almost ten times more deaths in this age group following COVID-19 shots during the past 9 months than the past 30 years following all other vaccines COMBINED.

And for those people in this age group who survived the first two doses of the Pfizer COVID-19 shot, the FDA and the CDC just authorized a third shot to be given to this age group with the highest recorded deaths already following COVID-19 shots.

THIS IS MASS MURDER!!

This is also the largest age group where medical kidnapping happens, as about 1.3 million seniors have court-appointed guardians where their custody is removed from their families, even if someone in their family has power of attorney, and turned over to the State. ([Source.](#))

State appointed guardianship of seniors is a \$273 BILLION industry. ([Source.](#))

And the criminal truth to all these tens of thousands of deaths among seniors following COVID-19 shots is that not a single senior needed to die from an experimental “vaccine”!!

There are effective, non-vaccine treatments!

Just as I was writing this article today, a person emailed me a study published in Spain earlier this year, that saw 84 seniors from two different nursing homes all diagnosed with COVID-19 have a complete recovery from simply using antihistamines and an antibiotic.

[Antihistamines and azithromycin as a treatment for COVID-19 on primary health care - A retrospective observational study in elderly patients](#)

The results:

None of the patients treated progressed to severe disease, required hospital referral, or had adverse effects related to treatment. All residents recovered in a few days after beginning treatment, and were confirmed later as COVID-19 cases by seroconversion by rapid test when available. ([Source.](#))

Euthanizing our seniors through vaccination is mass murder, and these mass murderers allowing this to happen need to be executed over this.

Does Pfizer Now Rule the World?



Albert Bourla, CEO of Pfizer.

In the article we published last weekend about the controversy in the FDA regarding authorizing a 3rd Pfizer booster shot, I speculated that there could be two reasons why there was infighting at the FDA regarding these 3rd Pfizer booster shots:

First, this could be a “drug war,” where Pfizer’s competitors are upset that Pfizer is getting so much of the market share on the COVID-19 vaccines, and they are applying pressure through their contacts at the FDA to start discrediting Pfizer and slow down their rapid race to dominate this market.

Or, secondly, people are starting to abandon ship on the Biden Administration as the current COVID-19 vaccine narrative is now falling apart very quickly, as hundreds of thousands of people are now screaming to have their voices heard regarding their negative experiences with the COVID-19 shots, and the FDA and others are trying to cover their butts in the event that there is a regime change and people start getting arrested for crimes against humanity.

I hope for the second scenario, but fear it is probably the first one. ([Source](#).)

I think this question was answered this week, as the CDC ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON IMMUNIZATION PRACTICES (ACIP) met to discuss the Pfizer booster shots. Here are some comments about this meeting published by Politico:

Experts are worried about confusing the public

Members of the CDC’s vaccine advisory committee expressed concerns Wednesday about green-lighting boosters from one brand over others with authorized Covid vaccines available to Americans, noting the potential for public perception and logistical issues. The panel is tasked with recommending to the CDC how the FDA’s vaccine policy should be implemented in real-world settings.

Long suggested that the group wait for more information on so-called mix-and-match doses — the ability to vaccinate someone with one brand’s primary series with the option for a different manufacturer’s booster later — before signing off on just the Pfizer

booster, asking “whether we’re willing to panic half the recipients of Moderna.”

“I don’t want to jeopardize anyone,” she said of delaying a booster decision. “At the same time, it’ll be very, very difficult to have a little less than half of the population who would be eligible to receive” a booster if people can only get the brand that matches their initial series.

Moderna has asked FDA to authorize its booster shot, and Johnson & Johnson has begun submitting booster data to the agency with an eye to filing an application.

Amanda Cohn of the CDC urged committee members to consider the recommendations they’re making now as “interim policies” that will change as more data surfaces. The National Institutes of Health is conducting a study on mixing vaccine doses, with results expected later this year.

“This is a rapidly moving target,” she said. ([Source.](#))

Like the FDA advisory committee, this CDC panel voted 9-6 **not** to recommend boosters, but did recommend them for people above the age of 65.

It seems like Moderna and J&J wanted to get in on this lucrative market and restrict Pfizer to only the senior population.

But the FDA, headed by Janet Woodcock, and the CDC, headed by Rochelle Walensky, both went against their respective committee recommendations, and included ALL adults over the age of 18 for the 3rd Pfizer booster shots.

Hours after the panel voted 9-6 not to recommend boosters for those groups, Walensky overruled them.

“As CDC Director, it is my job to recognize where our actions can have the greatest impact,” Walensky said in a statement late Thursday, according to [The Associated Press](#).

“At CDC, we are tasked with analyzing complex, often imperfect data to make concrete recommendations that optimize health.”

Walensky noted her recommendation aligned with the [Food and Drug Administration](#), which recommended on Wednesday that adults “in an occupational or institutional setting” that increases their risk of getting COVID-19 also be eligible for the shot. ([Source.](#))

Moderna and J&J lost. Pfizer won. Pfizer obviously has a firm control over both the CDC and FDA.

Can anyone stop Pfizer now? They are 100% in control of their laboratory country, Israel, where it has been revealed that no other pharmaceutical company can provide COVID-19 shots to Israel, as the Israeli people are Pfizer’s personal lab rats. See: [The Holocaust in Israel Exposed in Leaked Zoom Call with Pfizer Scientist Admitting that Israelis are Laboratory for Pfizer COVID Shot](#)

Here is a video report from whistleblowers who have seen firsthand the mass murder of our

seniors via COVID-19 shots. This is on our [Rumble channel](#), and will also be on our [Bitchute channel](#).

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Featured image is from HIN

The original source of this article is [Health Impact News](#)
Copyright © [Brian Shilhavy](#), [Health Impact News](#), 2021

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Brian Shilhavy](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca