

Genetically-Engineered Meat Isn't Tested for Human Safety

Because It's Treated as an "Animal Drug"

By Washington's Blog

Global Research, February 16, 2013

Washington's Blog

Region: USA

Theme: Biotechnology and GMO, Science

and Medicine

Eater Beware

USA Today reported last October that the FDA <u>doesn't even test the safety of genetically</u> <u>engineered food</u>:

Q: Does the FDA test these foods before they're allowed on the market?

A: No. Instead there is a **voluntary consultation** process. Genetically engineered foods are overseen by the FDA, but **there is no approval process**. Foods are presumed to be safe unless the FDA has evidence to the contrary, Jaffe says. The FDA "has to show that there may be a problem with the food, as opposed to the company needing to prove it's safe to FDA's satisfaction before it can get on the market," he says.

Now we know why.

Bruce Friedrich reports:

The problems begin with FDA's bizarre decision to consider GE meat using its "New Animal Drug Approval" (NADA) process, a process designed for evaluation of new animal drugs (hence the name), not genetically engineered animals. The GE salmon themselves [which increase allergies in people eating them ... but are still being approved for human consumption] are, according to this analysis, the animal drug. As food blogger Ari LeVaux explains on Civil Eats, "the drug per se is AquaBounty's patented genetic construct... Inserted at the animal's one-cell stage, the gene sequence exists in every cell of the adult fish's body."

Of course, NADA was not designed to analyze the human health or environmental consequences of new animal drugs, and because the animals are the drugs in this process, their welfare is also ignored. In all three areas, there is ample reason for concern.

Since they aren't consumed by humans, new animal drugs are not evaluated for their human health impact, so perhaps it's unsurprising that FDA's analysis in this area has been almost nonexistent. Health and consumer rights advocates have raised alarms, noting among other concerns, that: 1) these animals will require massive doses of antibiotics to keep them alive in dirty, crowded aquaculture conditions, and we don't know these antibiotics' effect on human health; 2) the limited testing that has been conducted was carried out by or for AquaBounty and included shockingly small sample sizes;

and 3) what studies have been done indicated increased allergic potential and increased levels of the hormone IGF-1, which is linked to various cancers — an outcome ignored in FDA's approval according to the Consumers Union, Food & Water Watch, and the Center for Food Safety.



This is food fraud, and classic corruption by the FDA.

It is the same type of collusion between government and big business which has caused <u>the financial crisis</u>, the <u>Fukushima nuclear meltdown</u>, the <u>Gulf oil spill</u> and <u>other major disasters</u> (and see this; and number 9).

As we noted last year:

Given that genetically engineered foods have been linked to <u>obesity</u>, <u>cancer</u>, <u>liver failure</u>, <u>infertility</u> and all sorts of other diseases (brief videos <u>here</u> and <u>here</u>), the burden should be on the ... gmo producers to prove it's safe.

But – if the FDA is treating genetically modified fish and meat as an "animal drug" – no one will test it for human safety.

The original source of this article is <u>Washington's Blog</u> Copyright © <u>Washington's Blog</u>, <u>Washington's Blog</u>, 2013

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Washington's

Blog

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca