

From Bolton to Khalilzad? Bush administration's new UN ambassador will continue the war

By [Larry Chin](#)

Global Research, December 07, 2006

Online Journal 7 December 2006

Theme: [United Nations](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

UN ambassador John Bolton, a key figure driving the Bush administration's war in Central Asia and the Middle East, has resigned.

In keeping with the Bush administration's standard modus operandi, and in line with the likely confirmation of former CIA Director Robert Gates as Secretary of Defense, Bolton's replacement will be a Washington "consensus" choice: an equally dangerous war criminal, but one who is not as openly reviled by neoliberal Democrats, and less known to the general public.

Architects of Afghanistan and Iraq war agenda

At the top of the list of names being floated is Zalmay Khalilzad, the current US ambassador to Iraq, former proconsul in Afghanistan, and former National Security Council (NSC) official specializing in regional operations including the Persian Gulf and Southwest Asia. Khalilzad is a former [CIA operative](#), and undersecretary of defense in the George H.W. Bush administration who was a consultant for Unocal during the Clinton administration.

It was Khalilzad who drew up risk analysis for the trans-Afghan pipeline in the late 1990s. (See [Afghanistan, the Taliban and the Bush Oil Team](#).) The trans-Afghan pipeline was one of the primary agendas [behind 9/11 and the invasion of Afghanistan](#). Khalilzad negotiated for and with the Taliban. Khalilzad played a major role in the Bush administration's Iraq strategy.

According to the *Washington Post*, Khalilzad is "generally well liked by Democrats."

Another name being mentioned is Undersecretary of State Paula Dobriensky. Dobriensky is a fervent [neocon and member of the Project for a New American Century \(PNAC\)](#).

Whoever is tapped for this critical UN post, it will be someone considered to be more politically adept, better at manipulation and high-level deal making, than the openly caustic Bolton.

Rather than celebrate the exits of Rumsfeld, Bolton, and other signs of the collapsing Bush administration credibility, greater attention must be focused on the new "war on terrorism" consensus being shaped in Washington and [NATO](#) — and the fact that no real "changes of course" is imminent.

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Larry Chin](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca