

French Court of Appeal Delays Again Decision on Hassan Diab's Case

By [Hassan Diab Support Committee](#)

Global Research, November 07, 2018

Hassan Diab Support Committee

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#)

*On Friday October 26, 2018, **Dr. Hassan Diab**'s decade-long ordeal was prolonged yet further, as the French Court of Appeal delayed once again a decision on the prosecution's appeal in Hassan's case. Instead of upholding the decision of the French investigating judges who found powerful evidence of Hassan's innocence and dismissed all allegations against him, the Court of Appeal ordered a review of the handwriting analysis report that led to Hassan's wrongful extradition in 2014.*

Initially, in 2008, French authorities submitted two handwriting analysis reports to get Hassan extradited. After the defense provided evidence to the court in Canada showing that these reports were based on documents that were not even written by Hassan, and as the extradition case was collapsing, lawyers from Canada's Department of Justice [wrote to French authorities](#) urging them to produce another handwriting analysis report.

Several months later, the lawyers from Canada's Department of Justice announced that they were withdrawing the previous two handwriting analysis reports against Hassan and filing a third, replacement report. Five world-renowned handwriting experts from Britain, Canada, Switzerland and the United States testified that this third handwriting analysis report is biased, totally flawed, and utterly unreliable, and that an objective analysis points away from Hassan. The Canadian extradition judge described the report as "illogical", "problematic" "confusing", and "suspect". However, given the low threshold of evidence in extradition, the judge [decided to commit](#) Hassan to extradition based almost entirely on this discredited report.

Now, seven years later, the French Court of Appeal has decided to order another review of the third handwriting analysis report. In the meantime, Hassan and his family continue to face uncertainty even though the French investigative judges found that there is overwhelming and consistent evidence of Hassan's innocence, including multiple fingerprint and palm print analyses that exclude him.

Reacting to the delay, Hassan remarked,

"They don't want to admit they made a mistake. And I have this feeling they want to keep going... Eleven years and still, with all the evidence and everything, it's just a way of saying, 'We don't want to admit our mistake.' That's the message."

Don Bayne, Hassan's lawyer in Canada, said,

“There are pressures in France, obviously some of them political, to keep this case going to satisfy some of the public outrage that has been generated.”

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Featured image is from The Canadian Press.

The original source of this article is Hassan Diab Support Committee
Copyright © [Hassan Diab Support Committee](#), Hassan Diab Support Committee, 2018

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Hassan Diab Support Committee](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca