

The Fraud Formally Known as the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU)

By Emanuel Pastreich

Global Research, March 31, 2024

Region: <u>USA</u>

Theme: Police State & Civil Rights

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.


Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Global Research Fundraising: Stop the Pentagon's Ides of March

I was quite intrigued by this advertisement from the **American Civil Liberties Union** that I saw on the internet yesterday. I wanted to know what issues the American Civil Liberties Union is concerned about, and whether they wanted to know what we the citizens are worried about—whether they want to hear our voices.

You see the American Civil Liberties Union, which occasionally plays an important role, has become a corrupt lapdog of the elite, granted it aims for the charity donations market of the progressive-flavor elite who oppress working people through their stock holdings, but show a walrus-style concern for the poor[1].

In any case, when I clicked, I discovered, much as I expected, that the ACLU is not interested in learning what issues we citizens are concerned with, but has already decided for us what issues are critical for us. Let us take a look at what choices they gave us, and did not give us.



ACLU initiatives. Please tell us what issue areas are most important to you. (Check all that apply)	
	Defending voting rights by challenging voter suppression and gerrymandering.
	Fighting for systemic change to achieve racial equality.
	Protecting free speech by ensuring a free press, the right to protest, and protection for whistleblowers.
	Protecting reproductive rights so individuals can make important personal decisions without political interference.
	Defending the constitutionally protected right to due process for immigrants and asylum seekers.
	Ensuring LGBTQ people have equal rights and access to employment, housing, restrooms, businesses, and healthcare.

Allow me to make a few general comments about each point, and invite others with different perspectives, to add their opinions.

Defend Voting Rights

Voting rights are a major issue in the United States, and must be defended. It has been consistantly true, however, that the ACLU has jumped at Republican attempts to suppress the votes of African Americans, which is a real issue, but has ignored efforts of Democrats to alter or erase votes for Republicans. The most classic case is the embrace by the ACLU of the victory of Biden in the 2020 election. We do not have any idea who won in 2020, but that massive voter fraud took place on the Democratic, and Republican, sides is an easily proven fact. The fact that Donald Trump, for all his weaknesses, was placed under house arrest on bogus charges of suffering from the mythical disease COVID-19 during the campaign was an illegal action that has never been addressed by a single Democrat, or progressive, that I know of—and I am sure the ACLU is not interested in that case.

Trump lost because the Democrats, backed by global finance, could buy off even more people. It is as simple as that.

Think what you want about Donald Trump, but the ACLU should have been all over the Democrats, not supporting and legitimizing their criminal rule.

Fight for Systematic Change to Achieve Racial Equality

The terms employed here are ambiguous, but it is clear that the ACLU is not considering the cause of the tremendous divisions between working Americans of different ethnities:

campaigns funded by the power elite that use the term "race" to stir up hostility that is totally unnecessary. Of course there is real racial discrimination in the United States, but to assume that our goal should be to create racial equality, and only racial equality, without concern for who owns what, leaves us barking up the wrong tree.

The primary struggle in the United States is a class struggle and an enormous number of Americans who are classified as caucasians are the victims. In fact many Americans who are white have considerable African American DNA, and vice versa. To focus exclusively race in an artificial process without addressing class issues has led to serious abuses and poisoned the civil rights movement. The result is justified hostility among working-class people.

The ACLU decision to focus on race issues, without concern for economic and social issues, is is precisely what the multinational corporations want because such rhetoric does not threaten them but divides the public.

Protect Free Speech by Ensuring a Free Press, the Right to Protest, and Protection for Whistleblowers

Protecting free speech is essential. But what has the ACLU done for the whistleblowers who took on the 9.11 incident, Federal Reserve fraud, or the use of bogus vaccines and lockdowns (martial law) under the COVID-19 regime? Nothing. The ACLU has ignored, or even justified, this reign of terror. So free speech is only an issue when the progressive-flavored elites say it should be.

I know for a fact that friends of mine, and myself as well, have reached out to ACLU about the manner in which we suffered explicit political persecution and without exception, we were ignored, often not even having our phone calls returned. You see ACLU collects money from you, but there really is no way to go to them about your case and they have decided, precisely because they want to raise big money, that they will be silent about state crimes.

Protect Reproductive Rights

Abortion is such a divisive issue for Americans, and there are clear ethical ambiguities that may never be fully resolved, that I hesitate to make a catagoricial statement on the abortion until I have had a chance to speak to a broad range of citizens.

Addressing the conflicts, often stirred up by interest groups, surrounding abortion will require us to look at related serious contradictions in American society.

I would say, however, that the Alabama ruling on fertilized cells as humans is profoundly unscientific and also undemocratic. I would also say that although I think that access to abortion must be defended in this current environment. And only when women are protected, and guaranteed certain rights, can we talk about the most difficult aspects of abortion. We must also consider how transhumanism is employing the debate on abortion for its own dangerous goals.

I think that the ACLU needs to be clear about what it means here, but basically I support reproductive rights as defined by the ACLU.

Defend Constitutionally Protected Right to Due Process for

Immigrants and Asylum Seekers

I most certainly support the constitution and due process for immigrants and asylum seekers. I think the statements by many politicians suggesting that we face an immigration crisis that demands an end to constitutional protections is extremely dangerous.

At the same time, we must recognize that immigrants, and especially illegal immigrants, are being used by the power elite to destroy the economy of the United States, to undermine unions, to reduce pay, and to create chaos. The violence perpetuated by these illegal immmigrants is a real threat and that those who are only concerned with the constitutional rights of immigrants, without considering this state of war between multinational corporations and citizens, alienate citizens and fail to understand the real reasons for hostility towards immigrants.

As long as the ACLU refuses to address the true story about how immigration is weaponized, it will serve primarily the agenda of the elite. Both sides of the story must be told.

Ensuring That LGBTQ People Have Equal Rights and Access to Employment, Housing, Restrooms, Businesses, and Healthcare

The term LGBTQ has no scientific basis and it has suddenly appeared in our vocabulary, forced on us by the Department of Education and other institutions without any scientific or legal justification as a way of asserting power.

I have found responding to questions about my position on LGBTQ (lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer) to be extremely difficult.

On the one hand, I think that the government does not have a role in dictating what citizens do in the privacy of their homes.

On the other hand, it is clear that the entire culture of transgender has been radically expanded NOT as a civil rights movement to defend the rights of an oppressed minority, but as a Homeland Security operation designed to sow division and confusion among citizens, and to use sexuality, especially in the case of young people, as a tool to create uncertainity, and also induce narcissistic behavior that is intended to break up families and communities, cause unnecessary conflicts and culture wars.

The point of such Homeland Security operations is to keep citizens from forming organizations that can resist the take over of society by multinational banks and corporations. Those operations are paid for by those banks.

Allowing men who "identify" as women to enter into women's restrooms, or play on women's sports teams is a strategy intended to create chaos and sow devision between citizens—not to mention violence and trauma for women. It must be opposed. If the ACLU is actually protecting transgender use of restrooms, it is betraying its basic principles.

At the same time, we must recognize that there are Americans who are influenced by this culture and who accept all sorts of definitions of sexuality. We can we can argue that their identities were created intentionally to confuse and divide, but for them as individuals the identity issues are very real. We should be understanding of the confusion and uncertainty young people suffer through, by empathetic, and never be harsh and cruel to these people.

Nonetheless, we must recognize that this is a political strategy, not the product of a real "radical left" but rather of organized corporate operations meant to destroy civil society.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on Fear No Evil.

Emanuel Pastreich served as the president of the Asia Institute, a think tank with offices in Washington DC, Seoul, Tokyo and Hanoi. Pastreich also serves as director general of the Institute for Future Urban Environments. Pastreich declared his candidacy for president of the United States as an independent in February, 2020.

He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Note

[1] "I like the Walrus best,' said Alice: `because you see he was a little sorry for the poor oysters.'

`He ate more than the Carpenter, though,' said Tweedledee. `You see he held his handkerchief in front, so that the Carpenter couldn't count how many he took: contrariwise.'

`That was mean!' Alice said indignantly. `Then I like the Carpenter best-if he didn't eat so many as the Walrus.'

`But he ate as many as he could get,' said Tweedledum.

This was a puzzler. After a pause, Alice began, `Well! They were both very unpleasant characters-"

(Lewis Carroll, Through the Looking-Glass and What Alice Found There)

Featured image source

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Emanuel Pastreich, Global Research, 2024

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **Emanuel**

Pastreich

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants

permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca