

Fox 'News' 'Expert' Says We Must "Start Killing Russians." I Disagree

By [Eric Zuesse](#)

Global Research, March 15, 2015

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#)

On [this video from Fox 'News'](#):

At 3:30, Lou Dobbs asks the Fox Noise military analyst: "What do you expect" in Ukraine?

At 3:35 he answers: "In the Ukraine, the only way that the United States can have any effect in this region and turn the tide is to start killing Russians ... killing so many Russians that even Putin's media can't hide the fact that Russians are returning to the motherland in body bags."

Well, anyway, there is a Republican 'news' operation: "Start killing Russians." They hate Russians so much the hate practically bleeds from them, even in public. Democratic Party 'news' operatives are so much more subtle about it, such as ["A military solution to this problem is not going to be forthcoming."](#) But they too [demonize Russians and portray Putin](#) as the super-demon. [They're just more subtle about their war-mongering and promotion of the international aristocracy.](#) than Republicans are.

What the Fox 'expert' was specifically promoting there, to "Kill Russians," is actually what the regime [that Obama imposed upon Ukraine](#) had been installed [in order to do](#). And, so, immediately when they took power in late February of 2014, they [demonized ethnic Russians and threatened to kill all Russians](#). They then [intensively bombed the ethnic Russian region of Ukraine](#), as a starter to [get rid of Russians and pro-Russians everywhere](#).

However, Fox's 'expert' was suggesting to kill Russian troops inside Ukraine, which wouldn't have been quite as heartless as what the Obama-coup-regime in Ukraine is actually doing (and which Fox and all of U.S. TV ignore): slaughtering the *residents* in the conflict-zone. The only snafu with that idea of killing Russian troops in the conflict-zone is: they aren't there. [The few Russian soldiers that actually had been in the conflict-zone, briefly, back in August of 2014](#), soon left and are no longer there. On 29 January 2015, Ukraine's top general admitted, ["No Russian Troops Are Fighting Against Us,"](#) though mercenaries and/or volunteer fighters from many countries (including from the U.S.) were fighting on both sides (America's were [mercenaries, fighting for the Ukrainian Government](#)). So: Fox's 'information' was six months out-of-date, and had been valid only seven months ago, and for less than a month even then. That's the trash that Fox Noise puts forth, but it's really not much worse than [CNN](#) etc. American national 'news' media are virtually all propaganda-media.

The Fox commentator simply cannot fathom that when the Ukrainian Government started bombing the cities and villages in the region of Ukraine that rejected the coup-government — the Donbass region — many of its men took up arms and became unwilling soldiers in

order to protect their families, friends, and towns and villages, against the bombers and the other invaders. The Donbass defenders are *not Russian soldiers*. They're overwhelmingly the natives there — the ones that are still alive and haven't fled.

Such commentators as this crude and callous man at Fox have no idea, no concept, how much higher is the motivation to fight when what one is fighting against is invaders, and what one is fighting for is the land on which one has lived one's whole life and where one's parents spent their whole lives. Bullies don't think about things from the victim's standpoint. And Fox's commentator viewed things from a bully's perspective.

Yes, Russia provides military advice and training (to the victims' side), just as the U.S. provides military advice and training (to the invading side), but is that a crime when Russia does it? It's a crime when America does it, because America (via its stooge-regime) *is* the invader, and because Ukraine (by virtue of its proximity to Russia) has at least as much strategic importance to Russia today as Cuba did to the United States during the Cuban Missile Crisis in 1962. What's the crime here is America's determination to place nuclear missiles in Ukraine, right on Russia's border — and that's the reason behind that [coup in Ukraine](#) (and the head of Stratfor called it "[the most blatant coup in history](#)," but you didn't read about that coup in places such as *TIME* or *The New York Times*). (Oh, they called it a victory for democracy. That's like 1984 'democracy.')

And Putin should demand that the U.S. get out of Russia's neighborhood. If Russia surrounded the U.S. with its bases, would we stand for it? Why should *they*?

Communism is over. The only reason for what Obama is doing in Ukraine is conquest. It's raw; it is fascist, but in pretty words.

[Many of Europe's leaders don't have the stomach](#) for that disgusting crime which America's President and [Congress](#) are perpetrating against Russia — first, the [coup](#), and then the [genocide against the residents in Donbass](#). So, [there is resistance in the EU](#) (though *not* in the U.S., which shows how [virtually total is the propaganda here](#) — it's [like a dictatorship](#)).

Right now, the man whom Victoria Nuland of Obama's State Department [appointed on 4 February 2014](#) (18 days before the coup), to lead the post-coup Ukrainian government, Arseniy Yatsenyuk, is still [determined to destroy Russia](#). But if this fact, alone, isn't enough to get the decent nations in Europe to abandon the U.S. and even to leave both NATO and the EU and maybe to join with Russia if necessary to do so, then we are all headed for a nuclear war, because the psychopathy reigning at the very top of the U.S. Government is really extreme and has careened out of control. Never before in history has an American President installed an [outright nazi](#) government, [anywhere](#).

What's shown on Fox Noise is merely a more-honest version of that psychopathy, but the psychopathy is just as bad on [the more subtle 'liberal' side](#). (And also see [this](#).)

The only thing that can even possibly restrain it now is Europe's abandonment of it — and of the U.S. And, if that's simply too much to ask from Europe, then there will be curtains soon for all of planet Earth, because Putin won't be able to tolerate much longer the American Government's surrounding Russia with its and NATO's military bases and missiles.

When Obama took over Ukraine, in February 2014, Putin had to respond, and he did. (Russia is being punished for responding, but the U.S. isn't being punished for the coup and ethnic

cleansing that Russia is only responding to. In international affairs, there is no justice.) But if America doesn't reverse itself now and just back off, the entire world will suffer enormous consequences. America won't need to apologize; tyrannical regimes don't do that. What's needed instead is for the tyranny to stop, and only [Europe](#) and [Japan](#) possess the ability to get this country to do that.

The American Presidents from Reagan on, and certainly *after* Reagan, have consistently followed this plan of encircling and choking-off Russia, and have by their actions defined America throughout this time as the supreme rogue nation, and its 'news' media are all just part of that propaganda-operation, for lying this nation into so many invasions, which destroy so many nations. Either the super-rogue will stop, or the world will stop.

Because things have gotten to such a point that either America will be stopped peacefully by its allies abandoning it, or else America will be stopped violently by Russia preemptively nuclear-attacking it, which will destroy the whole world.

The fate of the world is in the hands of America's allies, who must quickly become former allies.

The world's big bully on the block needs to become isolated; he needs to lose his gang. That's what needs to happen, now.

In Gallup's only international poll on the subject (in 2014), which surveyed 66,000 people in 65 nations, the U.S. was overwhelmingly [the most frequently cited nation](#) as being "the greatest threat to peace in the world today." And that was *before* the coup, and before the ethnic cleansing, which have placed the world clearly on the path to nuclear annihilation. Russia wasn't even among the top seven nations that were mentioned in that poll. But now the big bully on the block is going after him. Will the bully's friends join in? Let's hope not. Let's hope they'll abandon him — and quickly.

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of [They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010](#), and of [CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity](#), and of [Feudalism, Fascism, Libertarianism and Economics](#).

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Eric Zuesse](#), Global Research, 2015

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Eric Zuesse](#)

About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of [They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010](#), and of [CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity](#), and of [Feudalism, Fascism, Libertarianism and Economics](#).

CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca