

Former CIA Boss Petraeus Demands US Forces Enter the Fight in Ukraine

We are now perilously close to descending into a nuclear abyss.

By [Kurt Nimmo](#)

Global Research, November 03, 2022

Region: [Europe](#), [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Military](#), [WMD](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate Website** button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

*If [this editorial](#) by retired **Col. Douglas Macgregor** doesn't scare the hell out of you, I don't know what will. Macgregor cites an interview with former **Gen. David Petraeus** by France's L'Express weekly. During the interview, Petraeus, the former director of the CIA, said it is time for the USG to directly confront Russia on the ground in Ukraine to prevent the fall of Zelenskyy and his government.*

Macgregor writes:

Admittedly, the whole business seems weird, but Petraeus's suggestion should not be dismissed. Not because Petraeus's military expertise warrants consideration—it doesn't. Rather it merits attention because Petraeus would never make such a recommendation unless he was urged to do so by powerful figures in Washington and on Wall Street. And as [Jeffrey Sachs](#) tells Americans, globalist and neocon elites clearly want a direct armed confrontation with Russia.

Petraeus, according to Macgregor, "rose through the ranks by checking with everyone in a position of authority above him before doing anything," making sure not to offend or challenge his superiors, and thus carving out a path to promotion.

Recall Iraq and Petraeus' "coalition of the willing" that steamrolled over the sanctions-destroyed nation with little trouble. This is the mindset Petraeus is locked in. "Ukraine is not Iraq nor is the Russian Army an Iraqi-like force," Macgregor warns.

As winter begins, it is becoming painfully obvious a broken-down and defection-ridden Ukrainian military will not be capable of fending off the Russians. "The series of Ukrainian counterattacks over the last 60 to 90 days have cost Ukraine tens of thousands of lives,

human capital in uniform that Kiev cannot replace,” Macgregor writes.

According to Macgregor, it is the 11th hour in Ukraine.

“The Russian sledgehammer scheduled to fall on the Zelensky regime in the November or December timeframe, or whenever the ground freezes, will crush whatever remains of Ukrainian forces.”

It is now November and the fields of Ukraine, notoriously muddy during the rain of autumn, will soon freeze over and the Russians will move to put an end to the Zelenskyy regime and its ultranationalist, neo-Nazi regiments, now embedded in the regular Ukrainian armed forces.

Petraeus considers the timeframe crucial. It is now or never to save Zelenskyy and his regime peppered with “patriots” paying tribute to the genocidal mass murderer, Stepan Bandera (who collaborated with real Nazis during WWII and massacred hundreds of thousands of Jews, Poles, Roma, and other “subhumans”).

The usual war hawks in the White House, the Pentagon, the CIA, and on the Hill probably assume that a quiescent American electorate will buy the argument that the commitment of U.S. forces in Ukraine without a declaration of war could facilitate a face-saving deal with Moscow.

Macgregor believes it is “dangerous and stupid to think so, and Americans should reject this notion, but it’s not unreasonable to assume this deluded thinking is prevalent inside the beltway.”

The American public is presently distracted by a number of issues, most dealing with inflation and a deteriorating economy, and while they may feel sympathy for the Ukrainians (largely unknowing of their history and the threat the neo-Nazis pose to ethnic Russians in Ukraine), but direct military intervention is certainly not high on the list of things they want the government to address.

In Washington’s halls of power, the “going in” assumption always presupposes certain conditions: a subservient Congress that will ignore its responsibility to invoke the War Powers Act, unconstrained financial resources for military action, and senior military leaders ready to comply with whatever dumb idea the politicians in charge advocate. For Petraeus and his peers there is also the high probability that some tangible reward is promised in the form of future appointments or financial gain.

In short, a direct confrontation with a nation possessing the largest number of nuclear weapons on the planet is a distinct and growing possibility, that is considering the leadership at the helm of the national security state. “The intellectual and professional caliber of America’s senior military leaders is deplorable,” Macgregor concludes.

Indeed, that has been apparent since the Vietnam War, the first major war lost by the once powerful United States military. It is now a shadow of its former self and replete with self-serving careerists like David Petraeus.

It is not hysterical to warn we are standing on the edge of the abyss as dumbed-down and politicized military leaders, neocons, and no shortage of members of Congress ponder how

to save the Zelenskyy regime, heirs of an illegal coup orchestrated by the USG and mendacious neocons, such as **Victoria Nuland**, in the state department.

The Biden administration is [replete](#) with “humanitarian interventionists” and neocons. If Douglas Macgregor is correct, and his experience should definitely be taken into consideration, we are now perilously close to descending into an abyss of no return. After the missiles leave their berths, there will be no turning back. The possibility of the extinction of life on planet Earth grows by the day.


*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on the author’s blog site, [Kurt Nimmo on Geopolitics](#).

Kurt Nimmo is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from InfoBrics



[“Towards a World War III Scenario: The Dangers of Nuclear War”](#)

by Michel Chossudovsky

Available to order from Global Research!

ISBN Number: 978-0-9737147-5-3

Year: 2012

Pages: 102

PDF Edition: \$6.50 (sent directly to your email account!)

[Michel Chossudovsky](#) is Professor of Economics at the University of Ottawa and Director of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG), which hosts the critically acclaimed website www.globalresearch.ca. He is a contributor to the Encyclopedia Britannica. His writings have been translated into more than 20 languages.

Reviews

“This book is a ‘must’ resource – a richly documented and systematic diagnosis of the supremely pathological geo-strategic planning of US wars since ‘9-11’ against non-nuclear countries to seize their oil fields and resources under cover of ‘freedom and democracy’.”

-John McMurtry, Professor of Philosophy, Guelph University

“In a world where engineered, pre-emptive, or more fashionably “humanitarian” wars of aggression have become the norm, this challenging book may be our final wake-up call.”

-Denis Halliday, Former Assistant Secretary General of the United Nations

Michel Chossudovsky exposes the insanity of our privatized war machine. Iran is being targeted with nuclear weapons as part of a war agenda built on distortions and lies for the purpose of private profit. The real aims are oil, financial hegemony and global control. The price could be nuclear holocaust. When weapons become the hottest export of the world’s only superpower, and diplomats work as salesmen for the defense industry, the whole world is recklessly endangered. If we must have a military, it belongs entirely in the public sector. No one should profit from mass death and destruction.

-Ellen Brown, author of ‘Web of Debt’ and president of the Public Banking Institute

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Kurt Nimmo](#), Global Research, 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Kurt Nimmo](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca