

FOIA Docs Reveal Pfizer Shot Caused Avalanche of Miscarriages, Stillborn Babies

By [Celeste McGovern](#)

Global Research, May 07, 2022

[LifeSiteNews](#) 23 December 2021

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@globalresearch_crg](#).

First published on January 19, 2022

Among the first reports handed over by Pfizer was a ‘Cumulative Analysis of Post-authorization Adverse Event Reports’ describing events reported to Pfizer up until February 2021.

Netflix reality TV star Maya Vander told her fans last week of her devastating grief after she delivered a stillborn baby at 38 weeks of pregnancy December 9.

“Yesterday was the hardest day of my life,” Vander, 39, [posted on Instagram](#), with a picture of new baby clothes in a memory box she was taking home from hospital instead of her baby boy. “I always heard of it but never imagined I’ll be part of the statistics.”



themayavander · Follow

themayavander Yesterday was the hardest day of my life. I had a still birth at 38 weeks. I always heard of it but never imagined I'll be part of the statistics. Instead of delivering a baby, I get to go home with a memory box... I do not wish this on anyone. What was a regular weekly checkup turned into a nightmare that I never imagine will happen to me. Given I share my pregnancies in the show I knew I'll have to post about this and avoid the "when is your due date" question. You will always be in our heart baby Mason ❤️💙

1w

423,797 likes

DECEMBER 10

Log in to like or comment.

Vander, a Beverly Hills real estate agent who stars on the show "Selling Sunset," had posted a [photo](#) of herself in November from a pregnancy shoot and looked the picture of health. Described as "[fully vaccinated,](#)" by *US* magazine, Vander has two other children: Aiden, two, and daughter Elle, one.

After her loss, she [wrote](#) in *Insider* magazine that she had felt less movement from the baby a few days before she learned her baby had died and also that her husband and two children were COVID-positive, although she had tested negative. She said the baby, who was "perfect" and weighed seven pounds and four ounces, would be autopsied.

There was a flurry of sympathetic news coverage about Vander's loss, but not one article dared to ask burning questions: did COVID shots during her pregnancy have something to do with this baby's death? Or did COVID have something to do with it, and the COVID shots failed?

Data Pfizer didn't want you to see

When a group called Public Health and Medical Professionals for Transparency asked Pfizer to share the raw data from their COVID vaccine trials and post-marketing surveillance that was used to license the injection, the pharma giant linked up with the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to refuse the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests.

In fact, the FDA (meant to serve and protect public health) hired Justice Department lawyers and [went to court](#) to shield the pharmaceutical giant from having to reveal its data - for 55 years. That's right. The FDA and Pfizer did not want anyone to see the numbers behind their COVID vaccine until 2076.

Fortunately, a judge ruled that the FDA and Pfizer would have to answer their FOIA requests. Among the first reports handed over by Pfizer was a "[Cumulative Analysis of Post-authorization Adverse Event Reports](#)" describing events reported to Pfizer up until February 2021.

It reveals that the drug behemoth received more than [150,000 serious adverse event reports](#) within three months of rolling out its COVID shot, but here we will focus on Table 6 of the data on pregnant and lactating women who received the shots in the first few months of the rollout, which began December 11. Most of these women would have been healthcare workers because that was who the first rounds of shots went to. As the clinical trials preceding the rollout excluded pregnant women, these would have been the first pregnant and lactating women to have ever received the vaccines.

Table 6 states that of 270 “unique pregnancies” that were exposed to the vaccine, “no outcome was provided for 238 pregnancies.”

This leaves 32 pregnancies with known outcomes.

Pfizer’s report states that there were 23 spontaneous abortions (miscarriages), two premature births with neonatal death, two spontaneous abortions with intrauterine death, one spontaneous abortion with neonatal death, and one pregnancy with “normal outcome.” That means that of 32 pregnancies with known outcome, 28 resulted in fetal death.

Pfizer’s report states that there were five pregnancies with “outcome pending” as well as the 238 with “no outcome provided.” But 32 minus 28 equals four, not five.

Because of this confusion, I called Pfizer and emailed questions to their media rep. Were 28 of 32 known pregnancy outcomes actually fatal in the first 10 weeks that the vaccine became available, as their report suggests? That’s an 87.5% pregnancy loss rate? And only one pregnancy outcome was “normal”? Please correct me if I’m wrong about this.

No reply.

The FDA would have had these data in their hands by the end of April. Maybe this is why they wanted to hide it for 55 years?

Ordinarily, when a new drug or medical device is put into action, the onus is, and should be, on the drug maker to prove that any unexpected events that occur afterwards are *not* related to the product. “All spontaneous reports have an implied causal relationship as per regulatory guidance, regardless of the reporter’s assessment,” according to [adverse event reporting guidelines](#). But Pfizer and the FDA ignored events with temporal association and [plausible cause for injury](#) and have blithely declared the vaccine “safe and effective” for pregnant women.

Allowed it to be mandated, even.

Canadian stillbirth reports

In Canada, there have been whistleblower reports claiming spiking stillborn death rates after COVID injections. A retired doctor in British Columbia, Dr. Mel Bruchet, claimed in November that he was told by doulas that there had been 13 stillbirths in a 24-hour period at the Lion’s Gate Hospital in Vancouver. A grandmother whose grandbaby was stillborn at the hospital tweeted November 21: “My daughter got that damned poison vaccine one month ago because she couldn’t go to a restaurant, and people were freaking out because that she was unvaxxed. I want to sue the government.” The message was scrubbed from Twitter.



Dr. Daniel Nagase, an Alberta doctor who was [ordered to leave](#) his Alberta hospital for treating three COVID patients (all of whom who went home from hospital alive) with [ivermectin](#), told a reporter that he had been informed of 86 stillbirths in Waterloo, Ontario between January and July.

“Normally, it’s only five or six stillbirths every year. So, about one stillbirth every two months is the usual rate,” he said. “So, to suddenly get to 86 stillbirths in six months, that’s highly unusual. But, the most important confirmation that we have from the Waterloo, Ontario report was that all of the [mothers of the] 86 stillbirths were fully vaccinated.”



Fuzzy fact-checks

Media and hospitals immediately decried the claims as misinformation, but their “fact-checks” did not actually refute them. They provided data from the “last fiscal year,” or from [April to August](#).

“Data specifically from Lions Gate Hospital could not be disclosed due to privacy reasons,” said Global News. They gave not-so-reassuring statements from doctor like, “There is a growing body of evidence that the vaccination is safe.” The “growing body of evidence” is clearly coming from the pregnant women and their babies themselves who *are* the clinical trial.

Factcheck quoted the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention website which confirms this, stating that, according to the [CDC](#), “the benefits of receiving a COVID-19 vaccine outweigh any *known or potential risks* of vaccination during pregnancy” (emphasis added).

That's cover-your-butt language. We are not responsible until we *know* there is a problem or until we see a *potential risk* realized.

 kitchener.citynews.ca 

"This year, we have had 982 deliveries in Cambridge and four still-births. That is a still-birth rate of 0.41 per cent, which is our average," said Kristin Wadsworth, Chief of Obstetrician and Gynecology at Cambridge Memorial Hospital.

Dr. Peter Potts, Joint Chief of Staff at Grand River Hospital and St. Mary's Hospital said the misleading information on twitter suggested 86 still-births occurred in the region. In reality 12 had occurred in the last fiscal year between both GRH and CMH.

'You will die in ICU'

When independent MP Rick Nicholls [raised a question](#) about stillbirths in the Ontario legislature, the minister of health only replied that the CDC and Food and Drug Administration are recommending the jabs.

"She didn't even answer properly, just repeated what all the other puppets always say, 'it's safe,'" commented one mother, Chané Neveling. "This makes me so mad. I just had my baby girl in July [and] the amount of pressure I felt from my doctors to get the [vaccine] while pregnant almost made me go against my morals and I almost got it. My OBGYN's exact words to me were 'you are stupid for not getting it. You will die in ICU.'"

If doctors are fearmongering like that to patients, is it unreasonable to think there is at least a problem of under-reporting of adverse events following vaccination? What doctor who is so dogmatic about his latest pharma cocktail is going to consider (let alone admit) there could be a problem with it?

There are 3,604 reports of spontaneous abortions, miscarriages, stillbirths, and neonate deaths on the U.S. Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) through December 10, 2021. These include thousands of miscarriages and early pregnancy losses shortly after

injection of experimental gene-modifying mRNA COVID vaccines; reports of babies that abruptly stop growing, or experience a [stroke in utero](#); of [malformed babies](#); a baby dying from an [inflamed placenta](#); and a baby [born fatally bleeding from its mouth, nose, and lungs](#). A surprising number of these reports note that there was no autopsy done and admit “[no further information](#).” It’s as if public health doesn’t want to know what caused these babies to die – even if there are plenty of [reasonable theories to explain](#) why these events might be occurring.

VigiBase data

Given the high numbers of doses given, the number of adverse events continues to climb. VigiBase, the database of the World Health Organization, reports pregnancy complications including:

- 3,952 spontaneous abortions
- 353 foetal deaths
- 189 missed abortions
- 166 premature labours
- 160 premature babies
- 154 abortions
- 150 slow movement of unborn baby
- 146 hemorrhages in pregnancy
- 132 premature deliveries
- 123 fetal growth restriction
- 120 stillbirths
- 105 ectopic pregnancies
- 90 pre-eclampsia

Problematic studies

Public health agencies justify these dangers by claiming that women (or their babies) are more likely to experience them with exposure to the virus than to the vaccine – but they provide no evidence for this. The [study](#) they refer to most comes from the CDC itself. A comparison of stillbirth rates in 1,249,634 deliveries at 736 hospitals during March 2020–September 2021 among women with and without COVID infection, it establishes that there was indeed a surge in stillbirths – but not at the height of the first deadly wave of the virus, only “during the period of Delta variant predominance,” i.e., after pregnant women were being pressured into vaccines. CDC wouldn’t consider that the experimental, “novel platform” mRNA injections could be the reason that stillbirth affected only 0.98% of COVID-19-affected deliveries pre-Delta compared to 2.70% after the vaccines were introduced.

“Vaccination status was unable to be assessed in this analysis,” the CDC wrote. This is the agency that is calling for vaccine mandates and introducing QR codes across the country. It can demand to know if you are vaccinated or not if you want to go to your local restaurant, or gym, or football game, but for a national study of its “most critical,” supposedly lifesaving intervention during a supposedly unprecedented global pandemic, it’s just not possible for the most powerful health agency in the world to determine vaccination status? Everyone knows that every pregnant woman entering a hospital for the past 18 months gets a COVID test. The CDC knows which women were vaccinated and which weren’t in this, it just doesn’t want to tell us.

COVID vaccine science is like their abortion ‘science’

Instead, the CDC “experts” resort to platitudes. “However, because COVID-19 vaccines are *highly effective*, and COVID-19 vaccination coverage among pregnant women was *approximately 30%* as of July 2021, *most* women with COVID-19 at delivery were *likely unvaccinated*” (emphasis added). Why does that sound so unscientific? Good science isn’t usually an assumption based on a slogan added to a guesstimate. Haven’t we seen this kind of science before? When they told us that women don’t have complications after abortion – and the CDC did their magical disappearing act of all the sepsis and the bleed outs, the perforated wombs and the post-abortion psychological sequelae? They just pay the right scientists to manipulate the data and whitewash the unwanted numbers until they vanish. Nothing to see. Vaccine science is just like abortion science. Now, they’re literally fusing.

82% pregnancy loss?

Another [study](#) that’s being heavily relied upon by the “experts” is from the New England Journal of Medicine. However, its authors at the CDC were forced to issue a major correction when analysts recognized their data calculations actually showed the possibility of an 82% miscarriage rate in early pregnancy, while it concluded that COVID shots were safe and effective.

Initially the study was published with Table 4 showing “Spontaneous Abortion” after vaccination. The authors claimed that 104 pregnancy losses divided by 827 pregnancies resulted in 12.6% pregnancy loss rate, which is within a normal range. However, as Deanna McLeod, a professional cancer data analyst from Kaleidoscope Strategic Inc. in Toronto, and her colleagues pointed out in a [letter to the NEJM](#), in the tiny print below the table was a statement that a “total of 700 participants received their first eligible dose in the third trimester.” Since the definition of spontaneous abortion pertains to pregnancy loss under 20 weeks gestation, that meant 700 women didn’t belong in the denominator because when they were vaccinated, they were already past the point of being able to *have* a spontaneous abortion. So, properly read, the fraction changed from 104/827 to 104/127 (81.9%). Hence, an 82% pregnancy loss rate for the first trimester pregnancies.

Table 4. Pregnancy Loss and Neonatal Outcomes in Published Studies and V-safe Pregnancy Registry Participants.

Participant-Reported Outcome	Published Incidence* %	V-safe Pregnancy Registry† no./total no. (%)
Pregnancy loss among participants with a completed pregnancy		
Spontaneous abortion <20 wk ¹⁵⁻¹⁷	10–26	104/≤127 (≥82%) 827(12.6) ‡
Stillbirth: ≥20 wk ¹⁸⁻²⁰	<1	1/725 (0.1)§
Neonatal outcome among live-born infants		
Preterm birth: <37 wk ^{21,22}	8–15	60/636 (9.4)¶
Small size for gestational age ^{23,24}	3.5	23/724 (3.2)
Congenital anomalies ^{25**}	3	16/724 (2.2)
Neonatal death ^{26††}	<1	0/724

The CDC experts wrote a [correction](#) but the New England Journal of Medicine actually just erased the faulty denominator from the original publication and kept all the same

conclusions.

The 82% figure has been bandied about quite a bit, and McLeod told LifeSite that it is likely an overestimate, but the true pregnancy outcome is still not available and in fact other scientists have looked at the data and calculated a [91.2% early pregnancy loss rate](#). These figures fit with Pfizer's hidden data.

Researchers published a [follow-up to the study](#) but that was equally flawed. "First, they start with the absurd premise that 'there is no compelling biological reason to expect that mRNA COVID-19 vaccination (either preconception or during pregnancy) presents a risk to pregnancy'" says [Jeremy Hammond](#), an independent journalist and political analyst who has [analyzed flu shot data in pregnancy](#). "That's a bald-faced lie, of course, [since maternal immune activation](#) in and of itself is a compelling biological mechanism known to be associated with fetal harm."

Next, Hammond says, "they confounded their analysis of the risk of vaccination during pregnancy by including women who were vaccinated up to 30 days before conception but offered no reason for this." Then, the defined spontaneous abortion as pregnancy loss between six and 20 weeks, thereby excluding all losses in the first five weeks (when [90% of spontaneous abortions occur](#)).

"This means that if a woman got vaccinated, then 3 weeks later got pregnant, then made it through 6 weeks of gestation without a miscarriage, she was included;" says Hammond, "whereas if a woman got vaccinated, then 3 weeks later got pregnant, then 5 weeks later had a miscarriage, she was excluded. This obviously biases their data in favor of finding no increased risk of miscarriage."

What all of this tells us is that we have public health agencies and scientists willing to manipulate data to protect pharmaceutical interests rather than the women and babies they exist to serve. At least some of the stories that are percolating around us of stillborn babies, hemorrhages, and miscarriages are linked to the experimental new injections - perhaps many more than we think. But it will be a long time - and many more babies' lives will be lost - before we learn the whole truth.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Featured image is from LifeSiteNews

The original source of this article is [LifeSiteNews](#)
Copyright © [Celeste McGovern](#), [LifeSiteNews](#), 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Celeste McGovern](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca