

# Federal Court Rules Obamacare Unconstitutional

By [Stephen Lendman](#)

Global Research, December 17, 2018

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [History](#), [Law and Justice](#)

*On Friday, federal District Judge Reed O'Connor ruled the Obama regime's 2010 Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (Obamacare) unconstitutional over its mandate requiring all Americans buy health insurance, negating their right to opt out.*

O'Conner said the mandate "can no longer be sustained as an exercise of Congress' tax power - calling it "unconstitutional," other Obamacare provisions invalid.

As part of last December's great tax cut for the rich heist, legislation signed by Trump eliminated Obamacare's individual mandate - effective in 2019. The employer mandate to provide healthcare coverage remained in force for companies with 50 or more full-time workers.

The Friday ruling came on the eve of the deadline for Americans to enroll in Obamacare. For now things are unchanged. The ruling will surely be appealed - virtually certain to be decided by the Supreme Court.

The cost of healthcare in America is around double what consumers in other developed countries pay. In cahoots with predatory healthcare providers, Obamacare failed to correct this fundamental flaw.

The program fell way short of promised benefits. Its high cost left millions uninsured, most Americans way underinsured.

Wages of ordinary Americans fail to keep up with rising costs, putting healthcare in the country the way it should be increasingly less affordable annually.

Obamacare made America's healthcare system more dysfunctional. It's a rationing scheme boon to predatory providers, enriching insurers, drug companies, and large hospital chains at the expense of universal affordable coverage - everyone in, no one left out, what healthcare everywhere should be all about.

It's a fundamental human right. Article 22 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights says every "(e)veryone, as a member of society, has a right to social security..." Every nation is obligated to provide it.

Article 25 states

"(e)veryone has the right to a standard of living adequate for the health and well-being of himself and of his family, including food, clothing, housing and medical care and necessary social services, and the right to security in the event of unemployment, sickness, disability, widowhood, old age or other lack of livelihood in circumstances beyond his control."

Article 30 says

“(n)othing in this Declaration may be interpreted as implying for any State, group or person any right to engage in any activity or to perform any act aimed at the destruction of any of the rights and freedoms set forth herein.”

If the Supreme Court upholds lower court rulings at the district and likely appeals court levels, healthcare for millions enrolled under Obamacare will be hugely disrupted.

On June 28, 2012, the Supreme Court upheld Obamacare by a 5 - 4 ruling, Chief Justice Roberts voting with the majority.

While debate focused on congressional power under the Constitution’s Commerce Clause (Article I, Section 8, Clause 3):

“To regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Native American Tribes, majority justices upheld Obamacare as an exercise of US taxing power - despite Obama turning truth on its head denying the law was a tax.

The High Court ruling was pro-business, serving the interests of healthcare giants. Industry lawyers and lobbyists drafted the law to assure its provisions benefitted their clients - what’s behind virtually all US legislation, benefitting privileged interests at the expense of the general welfare.

Twenty-six states sued to overturn Obamacare. The Supreme Court heard the Florida case. It included the others as plaintiffs.

A record pro and con 136 amicus briefs (friends of the court) were filed for court consideration.

Former CIGNA vice president Wendell Potter said Obamacare shifts costs to consumers, offers inadequate or unaffordable access, forces Americans to pay higher deductibles for less coverage, and ends up scamming them.

Following the Supreme Court’s ruling, Physicians for a National Health Program (PNHP) said it left vital healthcare needs “unmet...Our healthcare crisis” continues to fester.

A key inequity is empowering insurers to “siphon off hundreds of billions of health care dollars (annually) for overhead, profit and the paperwork (they) demand from doctors and hospitals.”

Another major flaw is giving drug industry giants unrestrained power to charge exorbitant prices, raising them hugely to maximize profits, making expensive drugs unaffordable for millions, forcing many people to choose between proper healthcare and other essentials to life and well-being.

Friday’s district court ruling put Obamacare in limbo. The Supreme Court will almost surely decide its fate next year.

A Final Comment

Law Professor Abbe Gluck joined with others in filing an amicus brief, supporting Obamacare's legality.

Separately, she slammed Judge O'Connor's ruling, accusing him of flouting established legal doctrine, saying:

He ignored the fact that Congress declined to strike down Obamacare in 2017, ending the individual mandate alone, requiring everyone to have health insurance, stressing the following:

"It's absolutely ludicrous to hold that we do not know whether the 2017 Congress would have wanted the rest of the ACA to exist without an enforceable mandate, because the 2017 Congress did exactly that when it zeroed out the mandate and left the rest of the ACA standing."

Judge O'Connor "effectively repealed the entire Affordable Care Act when the 2017 Congress decided not to do so."

There's no way to know for sure how an appeals court and the Supremes will rule on this issue.

Make no mistake. Obamacare is the law of the land, passed by Congress, enacted when Obama signed the legislation - despite its serious flaws, benefitting healthcare industry giants at the expense of ordinary Americans.

Most likely, O'Connor's ruling won't stand, but there's no way to know for sure until higher courts rule.

\*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

*Award-winning author Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at [lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net](mailto:lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net). He is a Research Associate of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG)*

*His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."*

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html>

Visit his blog site at [sjlendman.blogspot.com](http://sjlendman.blogspot.com).

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Stephen Lendman](#), Global Research, 2018

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

## [Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Stephen Lendman](#)

### About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at [lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net](mailto:lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net). His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html> Visit his blog site at [sjlendman.blogspot.com](http://sjlendman.blogspot.com). Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)