

Facebook Users in Ukraine Can Now Post ‘Death to Putin’ After Meta Relaxes Its Rules on Hate Speech Against Russia

By [Eamon Barrett](#)

Global Research, March 11, 2022
[Fortune](#)

Region: [Europe](#), [Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@globalresearch_crg](#) and Twitter at [@crglobalization](#).

*Meta relaxed its policies on hate speech to permit [Facebook](#) and Instagram users in certain countries to call for violence against Russia and its military on Thursday, as **President Vladimir Putin** continues the country’s war against Ukraine.*

In a memo sent to employees, and seen by [Reuters](#), Meta said it would also permit some posts that call for the death of Putin or Belarusian **President Alexander Lukashenko**—one of Putin’s closest foreign allies, who has aided in Russia’s war in Ukraine.

“As a result of the Russian invasion of Ukraine we have temporarily made allowances for forms of political expression that would normally violate our rules like violent speech such as ‘death to the Russian invaders.’ We still won’t allow credible calls for violence against Russian civilians,” a Meta spokesperson told *Reuters* in a statement.

Reuters reports Meta will still block posts calling for the death of Putin or Lukashenko if the messages include two indicators of credibility, such as detail on how or where to kill them. Meta didn’t respond to *Fortune*’s request for comment.

The countries Meta now allows to call for Putin’s death are mostly Russia’s neighbors. The permitted list covers Armenia, Azerbaijan, Estonia, Georgia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Romania, Russia, Slovakia, and Ukraine.

According to the [Intercept](#), Meta is also temporarily permitting users to post messages in support of the Azov Battalion, a Ukrainian neo-Nazi paramilitary group, so long as the posts explicitly praise the far-right militia for resisting Russia’s invasion.

Meta’s move to increase its tolerance for hate speech—which comes after [Russia blocked Facebook](#) access in retaliation for the platform allegedly censoring Russian state media—might be a first for the social media platform, which has previously been accused of

too rigidly imposing its policies on marginalized groups.

During the Black Lives Matter protests that swept the U.S. in 2020, for instance, activists claimed Facebook's policies censored posts calling out racism and white supremacy. Facebook [said](#) any instances of such censorship were "mistakes, and they were certainly not intentional."

Conversely, Facebook has at other times failed to protect marginalized groups by not censoring or containing hate speech enough.

Last year, a treasure trove of internal documents leaked by a whistleblower and dubbed the [Facebook Papers](#) showed how hate speech ran rampant on [Facebook in India](#)—particularly when it targeted the nation's Muslim minorities. According to the documents, some of Facebook's staff were [concerned](#) that the company wasn't doing more to censor calls for violence against Indian muslims.

In 2018, after the Burmese military led a genocide against the country's Muslim minority, Facebook [admitted](#) it had failed to prevent [hate speech circulating in Myanmar](#). Facebook's failure led to the platform being used to "foment division and incite offline violence" against the local Rohingya minority population, the company said.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @globalresearch_crg and Twitter at @crglobalization. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums, etc.

The original source of this article is [Fortune](#)
Copyright © [Eamon Barrett](#), [Fortune](#), 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Eamon Barrett](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca