

Fabricating Lies, Promoting Imperial Wars, Decimating Countries, Impoverishing Millions: Megaphone for Mass Murder

The Financial Times Narrative

By Prof. James Petras

Global Research, August 29, 2016

Theme: Media Disinformation, US NATO
War Agenda

The <u>Financial Times</u> editorial page carries a logo that proclaims: "**Without fear and without favour**". Indeed the editors have shown <u>no fear</u> when it comes to. . . fabricating lies, promoting imperial wars decimating countries and impoverishing millions, whether in Libya, Syria, Iraq, Afghanistan, Yemen and now Venezuela. The fearless "<u>Lies of Our Times</u>" have been at the forefront forging pretexts for inciting imperial armies to crush independent governments.

Despite its pretentious scribblers and prestigious claims, the <u>FT</u> is seen by the Anglo-American financial class as a belligerent <u>purveyor</u> of militarist policies designed for the most retrograde sectors of the ruling elite.



What is most striking about the <u>FT</u> fearless fabrications on behalf of imperial militarism is how often their political and economic prognostications have been incompetent and flat out wrong.

Professor James Petras (right)

For the past ten years, the <u>FT</u> editorial pages have described China in economic crisis and heading for a fall, while in reality, the Chinese economy has grown at between eight and six percent a year.

For over a decade and a half, the <u>FT</u> editors claimed Russia under President Vladimir Putin presented an international existential threat to 'the West'. In fact, it was the 'Western'

armies of NATO, which expanded military operations to the borders of Russia, the US, which financed a neo-fascist coup in Kiev and the US-EU which promoted an Islamist uprising in Syria designed to totally undermine Russia's influence and relations in the Middle East.

The <u>FT</u>'s economic gurus and its leading columnists prescribed the very catastrophic deregulatory formulas which precipitated the financial crash of 2008-09, after which they played the clownish role of "*Mickey the Dunce*" – blaming others for the failed policies.

The fearless <u>FT</u> scribes are currently leading a virulent propaganda campaign to promote the violent overthrow of the democratically elected Venezuelan government of President Nicolas Maduro.

This essay will identify the <u>FT's</u> latest pack of fearless lies and fabrications and then conclude by analyzing the political consequences for Venezuela and other independent regimes.

The Financial Times and Venezuela: From War in the Suites to Terror in the Streets

In covering the crisis in Venezuela, the <u>FT</u> has systematically ignored the ongoing campaign of assaults and assassinations against elected officials, security officers, military and police who have been murdered by the <u>FT</u>'s favored 'opposition'.

The <u>FT</u> did not cover the horrific murders of an elected Chavista congresswoman and her two young children, who were executed (shot in the head) in broad daylight by opposition-paid hitmen.

These ongoing opposition terror campaigns against the elected government and the general public are systematically<u>ignored</u> in the <u>FT</u>s 'reports' and on its editorial pages, which focus more on the shortages of consumer items.

The <u>FT</u> cover-up of rightwing terror extended to <u>inventing</u> a 'possible' army or National Guard plan to open fire on opposition demonstrators. In this case, the <u>FT</u> anticipated rightwing violence by laying the blame on the government in advance.

The <u>FT covers-up</u> the opposition business elite's campaign of hoarding essential goods to create artificial shortages and panic buying. They deny the ongoing price gouging and pin the blame for shortages and long consumer lines exclusively on 'regime mismanagement'.

The <u>FT</u> conveniently omits to mention that the decline in world oil prices has affected not only the economy of Venezuela but <u>all</u> countries dependent on commodity exports, including the <u>Financial Times</u> favorite neo-liberal regimes in Brazil and Argentina.

The <u>Financial Times</u> cites bogus 'opinion' polls, which wildly exaggerate the government's *declining popularity*: In the recent elections Maduro's supporters secured 40% of the popular vote while the <u>FT</u> claims his support to be 7%!

US client regimes (Mexico, Peru, and Colombia) are the largest producers of illegal drugs and US banks are the largest launderers for narco-money. Yet the <u>FT</u> reports on "Venezuela's role as a conduit for illegal drugs smuggled north to the US and east into Brazil, Africa and thence to Europe". Drug enforcement experts all agree that

<u>Colombia</u>,home to seven US military bases and with a regime closely linked to paramilitarynarco gangs, is the source of drugs smuggled through Venezuela. That Venezuela has become a victim of the violent Colombian narco-trade is never acknowledged by the elegant City of London pen-prostitutes.

The <u>FT</u> blames the re-emergence of 'malaria and other possible diseases' on the leftist Maduro government. In fact the recent 'malaria outbreak' (also cited by the <u>New York Times</u>propagandists) is based on a single illegal gold miner.

The <u>FT</u> ignores how the US- backed neo-liberal regimes in Argentina and Brazil, which rule by presidential decree, have slashed public health programs setting the stage for much greater public health crises.

The Financial Times: Big Lies for Mass Murder

The <u>Financial Times</u> is waging an all-out propaganda war with one goal: To incite the violent seizure of power in Venezuela by US political clients.

In line with the Obama-Clinton 'regime-change by any means' policies, the <u>FT</u> paints a deceptive picture of Venezuela facing 'multiple crises', representing a 'destabilizing' threat to the hemisphere, and on the brink of a global 'humanitarian crisis'.

Armed with these deadly clichés, the <u>FT</u> editorial pages<u>demand</u> "a <u>new</u> government soon and certainly <u>before</u> the 2018 elections".

Recently, the <u>FT</u> proposed a phony legal gimmick – a recall referendum. However, since the opposition cannot initiate the vote in time to oust the elected President Maduro, the <u>FT</u> calls for "events which precipitate changes sooner" – a violent coup!

<u>FT</u>'s scenarios aim to precipitate a violent rightwing "march", eventually provoking civil bloodshed in early September of this year.

The <u>FT</u> expects that "blood in Caracas will require an active Latin America response" (sic). In other words, the <u>FT</u>hopes that a US-backed military invasion from neighboring Colombia would help eliminate the Chavistas and install a rightist regime.

The <u>Financial Times</u>, which actively promoted the NATO-led destruction of the government in Libya, now calls for a US-led invasion of Venezuela. Never ones to re-assess their promotion of 'regime change', the FT now calls for a violent coup in Venezuela, which will exceed that of Libya in terms of the loss of thousands of Venezuelan lives and the brutal reversal of a decade of significant socio-economic progress.

"Without fear and without favor", the <u>FT</u> speaks for imperial wars everywhere.

Conclusion

The US presidential elections take place just as the Obama-Clinton regime prepares to intervene in Venezuela. Using bogus 'humanitarian' reports of widespread hunger, disease, violence and instability, the Obama will still need Venezuelan thugs to provoke enough violent street violence to trigger an' invitation' for Washington's Latin American military partners to 'intervene' under the auspices of the UN or OAS.

If 'successful', a rapid overthrow of the elected government in Caracas could be presented as a victory for Hilary Clinton's campaign, and an example of her policy of 'humanitarian-military interventions' around the world.

However, if Obama's allied invasion does <u>not</u> produce a quick and easy victory, if the Venezuelan people and armed forces mount a prolonged and courageous defense of their government and if US lives are lost in what could turn into a popular war of resistance, then Washington's intervention could ultimately discredit the Clinton campaign and her 'muscular' foreign policy. The American electorate might finally decide against four more years of losing wars and losing lives. No thanks to the 'fearless' <u>Financial Times</u>.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Prof. James Petras, Global Research, 2016

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **Prof. James**

Petras

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca