

# F-16 Fighter Jets Yet to Arrive. Kiev Regime is Desperate. Ukraine Air Force in Shambles

By [Drago Bosnic](#)

Global Research, November 24, 2023

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Militarization and WMD](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

*After over 20 months of talk about various "[game-changing NATO weapons](#)" that would "drive Russia behind the Urals", [particularly the much-touted F-16 fighter jets](#), the Kiev regime doesn't seem to be so enthusiastic anymore. Namely, the Neo-Nazi junta is now whining that the F-16s they're supposed to be getting simply won't make the cut against advanced Russian fighter jets. Thus, they're already complaining about the need to modernize the US-made aircraft so it could stand a chance against Moscow's platforms. The Kiev regime is particularly unhappy with the radar systems these F-16s will come with and is demanding they be upgraded before delivery, because they can't even match the existing MiG-29s they're supposed to replace ([to say nothing of the higher-end Su-27s](#)).*

According to Oleksandra Ustinova, [a prominent lobbyist for NATO arms deliveries](#) and a member of the Verkhovna Rada (Parliament), discussions are ongoing regarding the modernization of F-16s that are slated for delivery to the Neo-Nazi junta. [In an interview with the European Pravda](#), she emphasized the need for a better radar and more advanced missiles, as the ones that are supposed to be delivered are not up to the task. Ustinova confirmed that talks about applying the necessary upgrades are in progress, but refused to give more details. She complained about the acquisition process, calling it challenging due to communication issues with the Kiev regime's NATO backers, particularly Washington DC, which, according to her, keeps 83% of the "aid" funds in the US.

"In addition, when we talk about the supply of weapons, 83% of the money allocated for weapons for Ukraine actually remains in America, because the state purchases these weapons from American manufacturers," [Ustinova said, later also adding](#): "After all, giving us aircraft with a radar range of 60 kilometers like a MiG does not make sense."

While the exact type of F-16 fighter jets that the Neo-Nazi junta will get remains a secret,

considering these claims, as well as the fact that [one of the main donors is Norway which operated the F-16AM/BM Block 15 MLU](#) (Mid-Life Upgrade), all of which were retired in 2022 in favor of [the deeply troubled F-35](#), it's safe to assume what sort of aircraft the Kiev regime will get. However, some of its over-enthusiastic military sources are claiming that the modernized aircraft would get the advanced AN/APG-83 Scalable Agile Beam Radar (SABR). This is an advanced AESA (active electronically scanned array) radar based on the F-22's AN/APG-77 and the F-35's AN/APG-81. They believe that this advanced AESA radar is capable of fulfilling their requirements to [face Russian jets](#).

The Kiev regime is hoping that the AN/APG-83 can even be paired with old F-16s that some of their military sources erroneously labeled as the Block 20 MLU. Still, only the less advanced F-16 Block 15 were outfitted with the MLU upgrade. As for the AN/APG-83, [the financial aspect of such an advanced \(and expensive\) upgrade](#) should certainly be taken into account. The US Air Force (USAF) is currently undergoing [a major modernization program for over 600 of its F-16C/D Block 40/42 and 50/52 variants](#). How likely is the Pentagon to give the go-ahead for transferring such advanced radars to the Kiev regime when its own jets are yet to get them? Not very, I'd argue. However, even if it does happen, the Neo-Nazi junta surely won't be happy to learn that this would further delay the delivery of F-16s.

The process of training Ukrainian pilots for some of the most basic variants of the US-made jet is already taking too long.

Retraining them for a modernized version would take even longer and that's without taking into account the time and resources needed to upgrade the existing F-16s slated for the Kiev regime forces. The acquisition process has been pushed back several times already and current estimates predict that the jets [won't be available before mid to late 2024](#). What's more, there's also the question of training the ground crews that are supposed to conduct maintenance, repairs and other logistics, [further exacerbating the issue](#). However, the real pushback from the US might have the least to do with money, resources, deadlines or even having to undercut its own fleet for Kiev's sake.

Namely, the real risk for the Pentagon comes from the possibility of Russia getting the chance to examine these advanced radars. With Russian jets such as the MiG-31BM, [Su-35S](#) and [Su-57](#) in the skies over Ukraine and [now even deadlier advanced SAM \(surface-to-air missile\) systems](#), the possibility of [any other aircraft in the world surviving in such an environment is effectively zero](#). Moscow's specialists could then locate any well-preserved wreckage and study its components, including the AN/APG-83. This would make it possible for [Russia to design advanced countermeasures](#) for its own jets and air defense systems, [rendering these radars obsolete virtually overnight](#) and making the USAF's entire modernization effort a completely pointless waste of enormous resources.

This is without even considering [the risk of a possible defection](#), as evidenced by a recent case with one Ukrainian pilot.

There's also the ever-present reputational damage for the US, [as massive combat losses](#) could easily disrupt or even prevent foreign sales. And the danger is not only in the sky, but on the ground as well. Long-range precision strikes with air-launched cruise missiles launched by strategic bombers/missile carriers such as the Tu-95MS and Tu-160 or ground-based ones such as the now legendary "Iskander" could easily destroy entire squadrons parked on runways. Other platforms, such as [the MiG-31K/I](#) and even [Su-34M strike fighters](#)

could fire the 9-A-7660 “Kinzhal” hypersonic missiles and obliterate entire [airbases with F-16s, their pilots and ground crews](#).

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

*This article was originally published on [InfoBrics](#).*

*[Drago Bosnic](#) is an independent geopolitical and military analyst. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.*

*Featured image is from InfoBrics*

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Drago Bosnic](#), Global Research, 2023

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Drago Bosnic](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)