

Extrajudicial Killings. Assassinating Awlaki: Obama Can Kill Anyone He Wants To

By Robert Dreyfuss

Global Research, October 01, 2011

The Nation 1 October 2011

Theme: <u>Crimes against Humanity</u>, <u>Law and</u> <u>Justice</u>, <u>Terrorism</u>

Now we know what embattled Yemeni President Saleh meant when he cryptically told reporters from the Washington Post and Time yesterday: "We are fighting the al-Qaeda organization in Abyan [in Yemen] in coordination with the Americans and Saudis." The defiant Saleh, who's long promoted himself as an asset in America's seemingly nonstop Long War on Terrorism (LWT), apparently knows what he's talking about. Hours later, Yemen's military announced that a missile strike had killed Anwar al-Awlaki, the bombastic, American-born Islamist who's been linked to Al Qaeda and to recent terrorist attempts against the United States.

He's not exactly Osama bin Laden, whose takedown in Pakistan in April helped spark the current US-Pakistan confrontation. But Awlaki's assassination, and that's what it was, is a signal that the Obama administration intends to pursue the LWT to the ends of the earth, regardless of the consequences, even if it means an extrajudicial killing of an American citizen.

Not that killing noncitizens is kosher, but killing an American isn't. Still, rules are rules, and American citizens are supposed to have legal and civil rights that protect them from political or prosecutorial assassinations, even if they're bad guys. Apparently, no longer. Still, Awlaki's killing comes as no surprise, since the Obama administration long ago deemed him kill-worthy. As the Wall Street Journal points out, the CIA tried to kill Awlaki recently: "The U.S. narrowly missed Mr. Awlaki in a failed assassination attempt back in May. U.S. drones fired on a vehicle in the southern Yemen province of Shebwa that the cleric had been driving in earlier the same day."

Since then, the <u>United States has vastly expanded its Predator and Reaper drone capability</u> far beyond Afghanistan and Pakistan, setting up bases on Indian Ocean islands and targeting Yemen, Somalia and other countries.

The killings were first announced by the Yemen defense ministry and its military, ironic in that the entire country of Yemen is perched at the brink of a civil war in which its establishment, including its military command, has divided loyalties. Not only Awlaki, but another American citizen was killed in the US-orchestrated attack, too:

"Yemen's Defense Ministry said another American militant was killed in the same strike alongside al-Awlaki—Samir Khan, a U.S. citizen of Pakistani heritage who produced 'Inspire,' an English-language al-Qaida Web magazine that spread the word on ways to carry out attacks inside the United States."

Awlaki was born in New Mexico, and he was linked to the Fort Hood shootings at a military base in Texas and to the attempted Times Square bombing, though his exact role in those and other cases is unclear, that is, whether he masterminded or organized them or simply served as a kind of spiritual mentor to people who were planning acts of violence anyway. The point is, no judicial case has been made against Awlaki, he hasn't been formally accused in those events or others, the charges against him have never been proved in court. He was deemed guilty by the CIA and the US national security apparatus, and the sentence of death was carried out.

Speaking to the *Wall Street Journal*, a senior US official <u>said</u>: "His death takes a committed terrorist, intent on attacking the United States, off the battlefield. Awlaki and AQAP [Al Qaeda in the Arabian Peninsula] are also responsible for numerous terrorist attacks in Yemen and throughout the region, which have killed scores of Muslims." Of course, whether Awlaki and AQAP have killed scores of Muslims or not isn't the point: unless the Obama administration truly wants to arrogate to itself the role of world policeman, it shouldn't be in the business of executing, extrajudicially, anyone it wants to, whether they're guilty of killing Muslims, Hindus, Jews or Christians.

The original source of this article is <u>The Nation</u> Copyright © <u>Robert Dreyfuss</u>, <u>The Nation</u>, 2011

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Robert Dreyfuss

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca