

Washington's Strategy of "Nuclear Primacy": Evidence that U.S. Intends Blitz-Nuclear Strike at The Kremlin

U.S. meta-strategy, of "Nuclear Primacy" — that America will use them so as to win a nuclear war, WW III, against Russia.

By [Eric Zuesse](#)

Global Research, October 30, 2022

Region: [Europe](#), [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Military](#), [Military and WMD](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [Nuclear War](#), [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Alexander Mercouris headlined on October 29th, "[Biden quietly moves world closer towards armageddon. Finland ready to host nukes](#)", and discussed the U.S. plan to trap Russia by positioning its nuclear missiles about 500 miles or 7 minutes of missile-flying distance from The Kremlin, a "checkmate" (a beheading of Russia's central command so that Russia won't have enough time to launch its retaliatory missiles) and demand Russia's surrender (since there is no way that Russia's central command would be able to assess the situation within only 7 minutes and get its missiles launched).

There is a history to this plan, and the U.S. Government decision to do this seems to have been made in or around 2006, when [America's two most prestigious national security academic journals, Foreign Affairs and National Security, both recommended](#) (though ever so tactfully) replacing the idea (actually meta-strategy) of "Mutually Assured Destruction" or "M.A.D." (that nuclear weapons exist only in order to prevent a WW III), by the new U.S. meta-strategy, of "Nuclear Primacy" — that America will use them so as to win a nuclear war, WW III, against Russia.

Positioning those missiles in Ukraine was supposed to be the way to do this, but, since that is looking increasingly unlikely now, it is to be Finland (the second-nearest nation to The Kremlin) which is taking on this function (if Turkiye's President Tayyip Erdogan will allow it into NATO, which will be his most fateful decision ever).

Then, on 1 March 2017, two leading American experts on nuclear-arms control published in

the *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists* saying that a new type of nuclear fuse that was being installed on U.S. missiles [“creates exactly what one would expect to see, if a nuclear-armed state were planning to have the capacity to fight and win a nuclear war by disarming enemies with a surprise first strike.”](#) That strike now is expected to be coming from Finland, instead of from Ukraine.

On October 28th, Russia’s RT News bannered [“Biden backtracks on nuclear pledge: The Pentagon has failed to impose a limit on use of the atomic option despite president’s promise”](#), and reported that President Biden had switched his policy because of advice from The Pentagon, which says that America will need to have an option to start nuclear war with Russia — not *merely* to respond to it *from* Russia. [Russia’s policy](#) on nuclear first-use is very clear — and is NO Russian version of America’s Nuclear-Primacy policy:

There are four scenarios in which Russia reserves the right to use nuclear weapons, that is, to go beyond nuclear deterrence.

1. obtaining reliable information about the launch of ballistic missiles attacking Russia;
2. the use of nuclear weapons and other weapons of mass destruction against Russia;
3. some impact on objects, the failure of which will lead to the disruption of the response of nuclear forces;
4. aggression against Russia with the use of conventional weapons, when the very existence of the state is threatened.

Of course, there is also the possibility that the situation in Ukraine could develop into one in which condition #4 might be the one that would spark a Russian first-strike against America and its allies. But that now seems less likely than a U.S. first-strike from Finland does. (Also: Finland was part of Hitler’s Operation Barbarossa invasion of the Soviet Union — it [allied with Hitler](#). This time, it would be allied with Biden.)

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on [The Duran](#).

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse’s new book, [AMERICA’S EMPIRE OF EVIL: Hitler’s Posthumous Victory, and Why the Social Sciences Need to Change](#), is about how America took over the world after World War II in order to enslave it to U.S.-and-allied billionaires. Their cartels extract the world’s wealth by control of not only their ‘news’ media but the social ‘sciences’ — duping the public. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from The Unz Review

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Eric Zuesse](#), Global Research, 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Eric Zuesse](#)

About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of *They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010*, and of *CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity*.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca