

Evidence - Novichok Delivery System Patented in the US

By [True Publica](#)

Global Research, April 22, 2018

[TruePublica](#)

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Media Disinformation](#),
[US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [FAKE INTELLIGENCE](#)

Featured image: Main Image - Patent Powder Dispersal Device - filed 2013, patent pending for the delivery of nerve agents, specifically, Novichok and sarin: Examples of nerve agents may include G series such as Tabun (GA), Sarin (GB), Soman (GD), Cyclosarin (GF), GV series such as Novichok agents, GV (nerve agent), V series such as VE, VG, VM, and the like. (Source: [Powder Dispersal Device and method](#))

We wrote back on 9th April that: "The Skripal Novichok poison affair has fooled almost no-one with a slightly questioning mind and an internet connection. The entire story would make an epic egg-on-face Inspector Clouseau classic worthy of Peter Sellers at his best. The plot includes a delusional Russian despot attempting to assassinate an ageing retired ex-spy in Britain where the end result was an international scandal, a dead cat, two starved guinea pigs and a lot of unemployed diplomats."

The Porton Down scientific findings only went as far as to say: "*Blood samples from **Sergei Skripal** and **Yulia Skripal** were analysed and the findings indicated exposure to a nerve agent or related compound. The samples tested positive for the presence of a Novichok class nerve agent OR CLOSELY RELATED AGENT.*

Theresa May, Boris Johnson, politicians from all tribes along with the false mouthpiece of the establishment - the mainstream media - unquestioningly supported the case for dragging the UK into the centre of a global crisis, just as they did when **Tony Blair** blatantly lied to the world and supported the Americans in creating the human hellhole that much of the Middle East now represents.

The evidence provided to a more critically minded public was little more than dubious accusations supported by ambiguous expressions such as "highly likely," and "suspected" - forcing the government into the highest propaganda mode we have seen in over a decade. The lessons that should have been learned from the Chilcot Enquiry, that actual evidence is needed prior to acting - completely ignored by all.

Journalists such as The Guardian's [Jonathan Freedland](#) is a perfect example: He refers to those who critically ask questions about the famed White Helmets, the chemical weapons attacks in Syria or the Salisbury Novichok attack with a rushed asthmatic certainty that everyone is a conspiracy theorist without even as much showing any evidence to the contrary:

"That claim, which has been repeatedly debunked, was instantly applauded

and spread by the same crowd of pro-Russia voices on the far left and far right who have served so dutifully as Assad's online cheerleaders. To them, Waters was a hero for daring to speak an unpopular truth. For everyone else, a once admired musician had joined the ranks of conspiracist cranks and apologists for a murderous dictator."

People like Friedland have completely ignored the actual evidence provided by **Robert Fisk**, the Independent newspaper journalist with 40 years mid-east experience that there was no chemical weapons attack in Douma. He has ignored ex-ambassadors such as **Peter Ford** and **Craig Murray**, with huge experience in these regions.

Freedland [wrote](#) in 2003 –

"that war (Iraq) is needed to topple a cruel tyrant who has drowned his people in misery. In this view, the coming conflict is a war of liberation which will cost some Iraqi lives at first, to be sure, but which will save many more. It will be a moral war to remove an immoral regime. To oppose it is to keep Saddam in power."

A million innocents lost their lives, millions more displaced and Iraq has since sided with Syria, Iran and Russia because of this type of absolute slavish devotion to those who turned out to be mass genocidal murderers.

As ever though, deliberately overlooked or more likely ignored was that Russia had submitted [evidence](#) that the Novichok nerve agent was produced and patented in the United States as a chemical weapon in 2015, Russia's Permanent Representative at the Organization for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons (OPCW) **Alexander Shulgin** said this some two weeks ago. That information just washed over the heads of his counterparts and the media while they looked the other way.

We were not satisfied with Russia's story on the basis that they would, of course, defend themselves regardless of the truth, so unlike everyone else we looked. Half an hour later we found over 80 patents of the 140 that Russia said were applied for. **The ones we found revealed the word Novichok in 81 patents as part of the patent document filing in the United States.**

This doesn't mean that all patents actually involved the nerve agent itself, but it does suggest that this deadly substance is at least available, particularly as none of these patents go back further than 2002 and some were applied for as recently as 2016 and one we found was granted as late as last November.

Without delving that deeply, due to time constraints, we found several patents of particular interest. The first is entitled:

Biological active bullets, systems, and methods

The abstract or introduction to the patent which was filed in April 2013 reads as follows:

"A novel biological active bullet able to be discharged from a firearm, the ammunition essentially comprising a bullet in a cartridge, the bullet associated with/containing at least one biological active substance, along with a method of use of delivering with this bullet at least one biological active substance

having at least one biological effect in the target upon impact.”

The patent documentation is lengthy but includes the broad description of: *“including, but not limited to, chemically active substances, biologically active substances, radioactive substances, thermodynamically active substances, and pharmaceutically active ingredient substances, and any combinations of active substances thereof; and capable of delivering this at least one active substance to/within a target, including, but not limited to, a mammal, such as a human.”*

This document states the patented bullet-like projectile - may or may not cause a wound depending on its material manufacture and can deliver all manner of poisons, viruses and substances that can kill or maim.

“The projectile as set forth in claim 1 wherein at least one active substance is selected from nerve agents, including, but not limited to, organophosphates , such as G-agents, including tabun (GA) , sarin (GB) , soman (GD) , cyclosarin (GF) , and GV, V-agents, including EA- 3148, VE, VG, VM, VR, and VX, Novichok agents, and any combinations thereof.”

The assumptions one can take from this patent is that A) Novichok can be manufactured in the United States and B) there are a number of delivery methods, one of which is specifically designed to hit a single desired target. Not forgetting this is an invention of the USA.

Other methods of delivery where the Novichok nerve agent are specifically mentioned as part of the patent documentation is a [Powder Dispersion Device](#) and [Reactive Absorbent Materials](#) filed in May 2016.

Examples include, ***“without limitation (list shortened), Agent 15 (BZ), ammonia, arsenic pentafluoride, boron tribromide, boron trichloride, hydrogen cyanide, nitrogen mustard (HN-1, HN-2, HN-3), and a Novichok agent.”***

Contrary to what we have been told about the deadly nature of Novichoks, there is a [Nerve Agent Antidote](#) patent filed in 2013 that was granted 14th November 2017. Another is for methods of detection and another for protection against the neurotoxin Novichok.

Why would so many patents be filed in the first place (in America) if there had never been any intention of their use?

There is more to come on this story - for sure.

The original source of this article is [TruePublica](#)
Copyright © [True Publica](#), [TruePublica](#), 2018

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca