

Every 11th Has Its 13th: Time to Dismantle Monroe Doctrine Politics

By Michelle Ellner

Global Research, April 12, 2023

Region: <u>Latin America & Caribbean</u>, <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>, <u>Law and Justice</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate Website** button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

On April 11, 2002, there was an attempted coup against **President Hugo Chavez**'s democratically elected government in Venezuela. Chavez had prioritized programs to improve living conditions for those who were previously unrepresented, and established an independent foreign policy in favor of the nation's interests.

Chavez's stance conflicted with the Monroe Doctrine of 1823, which laid the groundwork for the use of U.S. military force and other forms of intervention to oppose any government, be it foreign or regional, that jeopardized U.S. interests. The Monroe Doctrine became the ideological basis for US hegemony in the region, justifying the violation of the rights of nations of self-determination, as was the case for Venezuela in 2002 and since then.

With Washington's backing, Venezuela's pro-Washington elite, high-ranking military officials, leaders of the traditional labor organizations, the Catholic Church hierarchy, and the nation's chamber of commerce had embarked on ousting a popular government. The Chávez administration had redefined the rules of democracy by drafting a new Constitution, one that was voted on by the people, and that allowed for greater popular participation. The Chavez government was also reasserting its sovereignty over its vast oil wealth by ending the process of privatization of PDVSA, the state oil company. In September 2000, It organized a summit meeting in Caracas of OPEC oil-producing countries to stabilize prices at higher levels to increase the country's main source of income.

Washington's main opposition to Chávez's foreign policy came when he met with OPEC leaders considered to be U.S. adversaries, including the governments of Libya, Iraq and Iran in preparation for the 2000 OPEC summit. He met again with **Saddam Hussein** and **Muhammar Ghaddafi** the following year, and spoke out against the U.S. invasion of Afghanistan as a reaction to 9/11, saying "You can't combat terror with more terror".

,	. +9		* .		*			
			,	8. *				
	Venezuela	a:	Conditions	s Ripening for Cou	ıp Attempt 🔃	E.O. 13526	, section 1.4(c)	
			Dissident n	nilitary factions, in	cluding some di	is <mark>gruntled</mark> senior	officers	
	and a group of radical junior officers, are stepping up efforts to							
		•		coup against Presi	dent Chavez, po	ssibly as early as	this	4
EO	12526	- 1 4(-)(1)	month,	The level	of detail in the r	eported plans—o	ne report	
E.O.	13526, sectio	on 1.4(c)(d)	targets Cha	vez and 10 other se			•	
			-	ation, but military as				
				dy to lead a success	sful coup and ma	ay bungle the atte	empt by	
		191	moving too	quickly.				
		* +	Civ	vilian groups oppose	ed to Chavez's n	olicies, including	the .	
				tholic Church, busin	2			
				m efforts to involve				
				ng tainted by an ext				
			atte	empt could strength	en Chavez's nar	idE.O	. 13526, section 1.	4(c)
			Prospects for	or a successful cou	p at this point a	re limited. The p	lotters still	
				itical cover to stage		• •		
			•	uelans remains inta			name to the	
				any extraconstitution to the plotters.	onal moves to o	ust Chavez proba	bly have	
			•				i i	
		0	Cha	avez is monitoring of				
	E.O. 13526	section 1.40	c)(d)	tify clamping down		g opposition and		3
				grip on the country			6, section 1.4(c)	
	:				_			
			•	military action, the				
				ro m oppositi on den ikes at the state-ow	and the same of th	and the second of the second of		
			0.0	gan striking on Thu				. *
			of an escala	ting protest against	Chavez's effort	s to politicize PD	VSA.	
			Den	tracted strikes, parti	ioularly if they h	ove the cumport of	of the blue	
. **				ar oil workers' unio		• • •		
							E.O. 13526, section	1.4(c)
	E	.O. 13526, sect	ion 1.4(c)					
54	¥		*		é.		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
				×				
		,			*			
	*				×			
						s '		
						g ic		
		TO	PSECRET	E.O. 13526	section 1.4(c)			
		10	Larent	7. E.O. 13320,	3.4(c)		6 April 2002	

An intelligence brief dated April 6, 2002 — a mere five days before the coup plot would be carried out — explicitly states that a coup was set to take place.

Under previous Venezuelan governments, neoliberal reforms had increased poverty and the police and military had used violent repression, but the U.S. still perceived Venezuela as a flourishing democracy. Nevertheless, upon Chavez's ascension, the fundamental premise of respecting an elected leader's mandate was swiftly ignored by the United States. A State Department cable leaked right before the coup revealed the dissident military factions' intentions to detain and overthrow Chavez, exhibiting advanced knowledge and direct involvement with the conspiracy.

On April 10, a day before the coup, U.S. **Ambassador Charles Shapiro** spoke to the press after meeting the Mayor of Caracas and when asked if the <u>U.S. supported President Chavez</u>, his reply was: "We support democracy and the constitutional framework" and he advised U.S. citizens in Venezuela to "be careful". The Caracas Mayor, by his side, said: "If he

doesn't rule like a democrat. Chavez will leave office sooner than later."

What came after was a wave of violence and repression that led to the arrest of Chavez, the killing of 19 people and injuring of over hundred, and a business leader swearing himself in as President, followed by a visit from Ambassador Shapiro. All according to regular Monroe Doctrine protocol, thus far.

Yet the one factor not taken into consideration: the will of the Venezuelan people.

On April 13th, the people of Venezuela made history and made a dent on the Monroe Doctrine's record. Community leaders and organizers, despite facing police repression and a corporate media blackout, took the streets to demand that Chavez was brought back to office. Military officers and troops, loyal to the Venezuelan Constitution that the people had given themselves, rose up against commanding officers and demanded that Chavez be reinstated as the legitimate President. This joint civilian and military popular rebellion to save Venezuelan democracy made history and overturned the Monroe Doctrine formula that had successfully overthrown other independent Latin American leaders in the past, such as Jacobo Arbenz, Salvador Allende, Joao Goulart, Juan Bosch and Jean-Bertrand Aristide.



Hugo Chavez being returned to power on 13 April 2002 after a right-wing coup briefly overthrew him (AVN / archive)

The question we must ask on an anniversary like this is why the United States continues to insist on a 200-year-old doctrine that has its back turned to the aspirations of the peoples of Latin America and the Caribbean? Why does the U.S. government continue to promote violence, human rights violations, and undemocratic governance that we would not tolerate on our own soil? Why do we continue to make people suffer in places like Venezuela by sanctioning the entire country for standing up for their self-determination? Wouldn't we, as

a people, expect solidarity and respect for standing up for our own democratic ideals?

In the end, the Monroe Doctrine is condemned to failure because people's determination to be free will always prevail. Why not turn, instead, to a policy of mutual cooperation, of respect for Latin American and Caribbean internal affairs? Why not convince rather than coerce, collaborate rather than take advantage? Why do we still not understand that the instability, violence and exploitation we promote in our region backfires and leads to the migration challenges we face today in our own country?

In Venezuela now, there's a popular saying that refers to the day of the 2002 coup and the day-two days later-that Chavez was reinstated: Every 11th has its 13th. It is a significant sign of the new Latin America and Caribbean that has emerged in the 21st Century, a region that wants to bury 200-year-old interventionism. For every Monroe Doctrine intervention, there will be an April 13th rebellion for sovereignty and dignity.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Michelle Eliner is a Latin America campaign coordinator of CODEPINK. She was born in Venezuela and holds a bachelor's degree in languages and international affairs from the University La Sorbonne Paris IV, in Paris. After graduating, she worked for an international scholarship program out of offices in Caracas and Paris and was sent to Haiti, Cuba, The Gambia, and other countries for the purpose of evaluating and selecting applicants. Subsequently, she worked with community based programs designed to promote productive endeavors in Venezuela and then served as an analyst of U.S.-Venezuela relations.

Featured image is from CADTM

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Michelle Ellner</u>, Global Research, 2023

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Michelle Ellner

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted

material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca