

Even More US-Made Anti-Tank Weapons Are Turning Up in ISIS Hands

By Jared Keller Global Research, July 11, 2018 Task & Purpose 24 August 2017 Region: <u>Middle East & North Africa</u> Theme: <u>Media Disinformation</u>, <u>Militarization</u> <u>and WMD</u>, <u>US NATO War Agenda</u> In-depth Report: <u>IRAQ REPORT</u>, <u>SYRIA</u>

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above

Featured image: Raw footage posted to YouTube by Iraqi television station Al-Mawsleya appears to show an FGM-148 Javelin anti-tank missile and launcher among a cache of weapons recovered just outside Tal Afar, Iraq. (Source: Al-Mawsleya TV/YouTube)

With the heart of ISIS's self-proclaimed "caliphate" in Mosul in ruins and **Secretary of Defense James Mattis** in Baghdad to assess the U.S.-led campaign against the terror group, Iraqi security forces are <u>working overtime</u> to expunge more than 2,000 militants from the strategically crucial city of Tal Afar. The offensive could signal "the end of ISIS's military presence" in the country's northern region, <u>according</u> to a spokesman for the U.S. coalition, but the ISF and their Western military partners have run into a familiar obstacle: Americanmade anti-tank weapons.

Raw footage posted to YouTube by Iraqi television station Al-Mawsleya appears to show an FGM-148 Javelin anti-tank missile and launcher among a cache of weapons recovered just outside Tal Afar. The Javelin has a range of up to 2.7 miles with an 18-pound tandem warhead (two shaped charges, one to pierce reactive armor the other to wreak havoc) and designed to penetrate even the toughest armor — including the skin of the Pentagon's beloved M1 Abrams tank.

The discovery of the Javelin is disturbing. Despite ISIS's reliance on unconventional weaponry like their beloved vehicle-borne IEDs, this isn't the first time militants have wielded heavier American-made weapons against the very troops meant to carry them. An ISIS propaganda video released in June 2015, after the capture of the Syrian city of Palmyra, revealed militants targeting Syrian government forces with U.S.-made BGM-71 TOW anti-tank missiles. One year later, the same missiles, allegedly fired by U.S.-backed Syrian rebels, were used to down a Russian Mi-25 assault helicopter.

It's likely ISIS fighters came upon the Javelin in the same way it acquires most of its other conventional weapons: by looting Syrian and Iraqi military weapons caches. A 2003 Government Accountability Office report published after the invasion of Iraq found that at least 36 Javelin missile command launch-units had gone missing in the country as a result of lax chain-of-custody standards at U.S. weapons depots. If more are in enemy hands, those launchers would be added to the tons of armored vehicles, Humvees, artillery, surface-to-air missiles, and Turkish variants of the U.S.-made M72 LAW anti-tank weapons and Russian

<u>RPGs</u> that are confirmed to be in ISIS's arsenal. Most of those arms were simply abandoned by the Iraqi Army and left for militants to pick up.

But the anti-tank weapons like the Javelin and TOW didn't just turn up in Iraq and Syria amid the chaos of the 2003 invasion: they were sent there more recently by the U.S.-led coalition in Syria. Under <u>Timber Sycamore</u>, the covert CIA program established during the Obama administration to arm Syrian rebels locked in a protracted civil war against the Bashar al-Assad regime, at least 500 TOW missiles were reportedly transferred through Saudi Arabia to the Free Syrian Army in late 2015. And in February 2016 Washington Post reporter and Marine veteran **Thomas Gibbons-Neff** identified a Javelin in the hands of Kurdish YPG forces at work in northern Syria. (The Pentagon and State Department <u>both denied</u> sending any anti-tank weapons to regional forces fighting ISIS in Syria.)

In July, **President Donald Trump** moved to end Timber Sycamore, <u>telling</u> the Wall Street Journal,

"It turns out it's — a lot of al-Qaeda we're giving these weapons to."

He's not totally wrong: the complex mosaic of rebel forces operating in a theater defined by complicated and shifting allegiances makes weapons transfers an even riskier proposition than arming the Afghan security forces in Kabul. Indeed, the Pentagon <u>announced</u> on July 27 that it would for the first time end of military support for a Syrian rebel group for pursuing objectives outside of OIR's strict anti-ISIS mandate, namely going AWOL from the At Tanf garrison that saw escalating <u>clashes</u> and <u>tensions</u> between OIR and pro-regime forces this summer.

But despite all that, the Trump administration has continued to pursue weapons transfers to the Syrian Democratic Forces, as if the new program is without the problems that made Timber Sycamore a goldmine for American "allies" in Syria. As we've noted before, the Pentagon is shit at monitoring weapons transfers: A 2016 <u>analysis</u> revealed that DoD could barely account for half of the 1.5 million weapons provided to Afghan and Iraqi security forces since the start of the invasions there, while, while a previous 2014 <u>report</u> found 43% of the weapons the ANSF received simply vanished. All of these weapons flow freely between ISIS forces across the Middle East.

Perhaps the appearance of the Javelin in an ISIS cache will induce the administration to reconsider its arms transfers to the SDF. If a Taliban fighter can <u>wave around</u> a fully accessorized SOCOM 7.62mm assault rifle, what makes the DoD think he can't get his hand on a U.S. anti-tank missile? In July, Gibbons-Neff received a <u>flaccid answer</u> to that question from OIR spokesman **Col. Ryan S. Dillon**:

"Whenever we sign up for something, you know, we go through every serial number."

Fat fucking chance.

*

Jared Keller is a senior editor at Task & Purpose and contributing editor at Pacific Standard. Follow Jared Keller on Twitter <u>@JaredBKeller</u>

The original source of this article is <u>Task & Purpose</u> Copyright © <u>Jared Keller</u>, <u>Task & Purpose</u>, 2018

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Jared Keller

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca