

EU: Number of Infections and COVID Deaths Hugely Manipulated

By [Free West Media](#)

Global Research, January 05, 2022

[Free West Media](#) 28 December 2021

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@globalresearch_crg](#).

*Mathematician and statistician **Pavlos Kolias** of the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki in Greece has checked EU data on Corona for anomalies. He did so on the basis of Benford’s laws, which expose anomalies in the distribution of the figures.*

Kolias [noted](#) that the number of “infections” and deaths has been largely misrepresented throughout the EU.

Deviations from Benford’s distribution are a preliminary step for obtaining evidence for data manipulation. Interestingly, Kolias’ study did not receive any funding.

Dutch health sciences professor [Sam Brokken](#) spoke of a “statistical bomb”. Notably Belgium, the Netherlands and France scored poorly with a significant and highly significant probability value in terms of “infections” and a very high probability of deviation in the number of registered deaths.

Especially in countries with high vaccination coverage, the deviations were greater. “In short, yet more proof that the figures that reach us every day are not correct,” concluded Brokken. Overall, Denmark, Greece and Ireland showed the greatest deviation from a normal distribution.

Countries with a high vaccination coverage showed more data distortion than countries with a low vaccination coverage, he added. “So it becomes clear that numbers are driven to sell the policy.”

Fired for speaking out against Corona policy

Brokken was fired earlier this year by the PXL University of Applied Sciences in Hasselt because as a scientist he questioned the government’s Corona approach. “I was simply informed over the phone that I must stop my activities with immediate effect,” he said.

Not only has he been fired, but he is also being censored by social media companies such as LinkedIn. “It is impossible for me to share any analysis with you. Even a simple link to my website is blocked,” he said.

“What I say is what tens of thousands of virologists and experts worldwide say.” The researcher was nevertheless fired after a televised debate with vaccinologist Pierre Van Damme on 14 February on the Belgian talkshow *De Zevende Dag*.

“I have been dismissed as an antivaxxer by many journalists, but I am not at all,” Brokken said. “During the debate on *De Zevende Dag*, I spoke for exactly 1 minute and 49 seconds. I said that I had ethical reflections when administering a vaccine when you know that the general population only shows mild symptoms when they become infected with the virus. We are now at a mortality rate of 0,05 percent for people under the age of 70. Is it then justifiable that we are going to vaccinate an entire population? There is actually no need for that. The same goes for a flu vaccine. That too is only useful for people with a condition or the elderly.”

Sam Brokken pointed out that many experts had agreed with his view. “A month after that televised broadcast, an open letter was published and was signed by 41 000 virologists, immunologists and other experts proposing exactly what I said. Among the signatories are world authorities and professors from the largest universities. Even former Nobel laureate Michael Levitt is included. Why not consider an alternative approach?”

Dutch health authority prefers fear mongering

The Dutch Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM) warned in the House of Representatives barely two weeks ago that the Omicron variant could potentially lead to 600 hospital admissions and more than 100 intensive care admissions per day, twice the level at which the last wave peaked. “It’s not all reassuring,” said RIVM boss Jaap van Dissel. He based his prediction on the number of hospital admissions expected in Britain.

As a result of these predictions, the government decided to institute a hard lockdown. [Nobel laureate Michael Levitt](#) expressed his astonishment, saying that the Netherlands has set the record for worst approach to Corona ever.

Levitt noted on December 19 that “the Netherlands peaked 18 days ago, as predicted”.

“The RIVM’s fear models again prove worthless and the government’s policy is completely disproportionate and very harmful. Can the cabinet at least admit its blunder and lift the panic lockdown immediately?” noted Member of Parliament Wybren van Haga.

Lawsuit to stop lockdown

On December 28, [summary proceedings against lockdowns will take place](#). Lawyer Bart Maes has demanded the immediate lifting of the lockdown that is currently in force. “The judge is required to give a ruling immediately because of the urgent interest. After all, every day that this lockdown lasts longer, the material and immaterial damage increases,” said the lawyer.

“The fear surrounding Omicron is therefore purely based on models from the RIVM and, as with the Delta variant, they are miles away from reality, as is already apparent from

information from countries such as South Africa.”

The lawyer further highlighted that as a result of the lockdown, many more life years have been lost than life years are gained. Professor Ira Helsloot and economist Barbara Baarsma have also [calculated](#) that the corona policy has extended the lifespan of Corona patients by an average of two weeks. However, all other people sacrificed an average of five weeks of their lifespan for this. The cost of this policy was 100 billion euros, according to them.

A report has also emerged that was drawn up by the Ministry of Economic Affairs and Climate, which showed that an additional 520 000 life years would be [destroyed](#) if a lockdown was imposed. The government [ignored the report](#).

Remember the photo of coffins from Bergamo, Italy, in March 2020, which terrified the world and contributed to the lockdown frenzy? It was also fake: pic.twitter.com/0tP5aQ4rQ2

— Dr. Eli David (@DrEliDavid) [December 27, 2021](#)

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Featured image is a stock photo from Pexels

The original source of this article is [Free West Media](#)
Copyright © [Free West Media](#), [Free West Media](#), 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Free West Media](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long as the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca