

## EU/NATO Now Threatening Foreign Leaders with 'Robert Fico's Fate'

By <u>Drago Bosnic</u> Global Research, May 28, 2024 Region: <u>Europe</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), <u>click here</u>.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

**Global Research Wants to Hear From You!** 

\*\*\*

As the political West <u>keeps sinking into utter insanity</u>, its already <u>atrocious diplomatic</u> <u>"etiquette"</u> (in the form of <u>arm-twisting and threats of sanctions</u>) is now being replaced by <u>assassinations of foreign leaders</u> and <u>terrorist attacks</u> on global <u>military superpowers</u>. And yet, to make matters worse, it seems that EU/NATO's top-ranking officials are so <u>detached</u> <u>from reality</u> that they're now openly threatening foreign leaders (even nominally "allied" ones).

Namely, Georgian Prime Minister Irakli Kobakhidze stated that he was recently warned by an EU commissioner that if he goes ahead with the so-called "foreign agent" law, he should be "very careful", as Slovak Prime Minister Robert Fico learned "the hard way" in a recent assassination attempt.

Image: Georgian Prime Minister Irakli Kobakhidze (Licensed under CC BY 4.0)



Honoring the aforementioned diplomatic etiquette that exists in <u>all civilized societies</u> (which automatically excludes <u>the EU/NATO "garden"</u>), Kobakhidze refused to name the EU commissioner who threatened him. However, on May 23, the said official revealed himself as Oliver Varhelyi, the EU Enlargement Commissioner. Varhelyi admitted that he spoke with Kobakhidze by phone, but insists that the Georgian prime minister <u>allegedly "took his</u> <u>comments out of context"</u>. As the commissioner was talking about the possible sanctions and measures the EU would take against Georgia if its government doesn't repeal the aforementioned "foreign agent" law, he also made a clear reference to Fico's NATOsponsored assassination attempt.

"While listing these measures, [Varhelyi] mentioned, 'You've seen what happened to Fico and you should be very careful,'" <u>Kobakhidze stated</u>.

We can theorize that this was perhaps a "friendly warning", as Varhelyi comes from the ranks of Fidesz, a party led by Hungarian Prime Minister Viktor Orban. On the other hand, Brussels has a way of creating "loyalty" (mostly through blackmail and coercion) among its top-ranking officials. Orban himself surely wouldn't have allowed something like this, particularly not when referring to the terrorist attack on Fico, as the Slovak PM is perhaps the only other independently-minded leader of an EU/NATO member state. It makes no sense for Orban to allow one of his people to threaten anyone like that, particularly not by using the assassination attempt on his ally as a reference. Thus, Varhelyi most likely acted on his own during the controversial call.

However, it doesn't matter whether the EU commissioner was threatening because of his loyalty to Brussels or sending a "friendly warning". What really matters is the fact that Varhelyi effectively admitted who's behind the attack on Fico. It clearly shows that <u>EU/NATO</u> has zero tolerance for independence of any kind. After over 20 years of having CIA-installed governments in power, Georgia is a wreck, to say the least. The political West pushed the unfortunate country into a war with its historical ally Russia, after which it lost around 20% of its territory. All the while, the ancient Christian country is being heavily exposed to <u>EU/NATO's moral decay and societal degeneracy</u>, with the latter even trying to push Georgia into yet another direct confrontation with Russia.

Fully aware that it would have no hope of winning in any conceivable way, <u>Tbilisi wisely</u> avoided this, refusing to even join the sanctions against Moscow, let alone send weapons to the Neo-Nazi junta, as EU/NATO initially demanded. Brainwashed into a mindless hatred toward Russia, <u>many Georgians went to Ukraine and joined the Kiev regime forces</u>, even committing heinous war crimes against Russian POWs. However, most people in the country fully understand the futility of going against the Kremlin, which is why they elected the current government, far more independent than its <u>foolishly Russophobic</u> predecessors. One of the ways to make sure this realpolitik approach survives is to adopt a more proactive strategy for fighting foreign meddling.

As part of their aggression on former Eastern Bloc nations, the United States, the European Union and NATO finance numerous NGOs, the purpose of which is to project their so-called "soft power". Thus, in reality, these "non-governmental organizations" are governmentfinanced, just not by domestic governments. NGOs are usually dangerous CIA/MI6 fronts that can cause very real damage to targeted countries, particularly by causing unrest at times when US/EU/NATO needs it in order to bring a nation to its knees. This approach is central to the political West's aggression against the world, as evidenced by the utter chaos left not just in Eastern Europe/former Soviet Union, but also in the Middle East, where the so-called "Arab Spring" was orchestrated.

These carefully coordinated operations are then followed by military action, either by NATO itself or through its <u>numerous terrorist proxies</u>. Sometimes even both, if the political West is determined to destroy a nation or an entire region, as seen in the Middle East in the last several decades. However, Georgia is particularly important, as it's one of the countries <u>directly bordering Russia</u>. As part of its <u>crawling siege of the Eurasian giant</u>, NATO is trying to <u>turn all of its neighbors into enemies</u>. Whether it's Belarus (attempts to depose President Alexander Lukashenko in recent years), Kazakhstan (January 2022 coup attempt), Ukraine, etc. the political West will keep trying to push Russia into new wars in order to <u>derail its resurgence</u> as a geopolitical and military superpower.

Sovereigntists in Georgia are anything but pro-Russian. However, they surely understand that Moscow isn't going anywhere. Thus, it's far better for Georgia to keep building some sort of a working relationship with Russia, particularly in terms of economic cooperation. The EU is too far and too busy with its numerous problems. It can't really help Tbilisi even if it wanted to, while NATO has proven time and again that it can't protect the small Caucasus country. Thus, their support for continued enmity between Georgia and the Kremlin exposes the latter's role as a distraction, perhaps even a sacrificial lamb in the political West's war on Russia. Obviously, anyone remotely sane would do anything to avoid being given such an unflattering and <u>completely suicidal role</u>.

Kobakhidze also mentioned that "several high-ranking foreign politicians are not hesitating to use open blackmail against the Georgian people and their elected government". And indeed, the EU and the US have essentially ganged up on Tbilisi to ensure the sovereigntist law is repealed. They even had the <u>French-born Georgian President Salome Zourabichvili</u> <u>veto the bill</u>. Obviously, this isn't the first time the political West is supporting dictatorships in order to sustain its power projection in targeted countries. The only difference between Washington DC's and Brussels' approach is that the former is still ready to give some "carrots" instead of just threatening with the "stick". Namely, <u>the US would grant Georgia</u> <u>some (albeit laughable) concessions if it repeals the law</u>.

On the other hand, the EU just dropped the "carrot" from the equation entirely, threatening

Tbilisi with <u>the end of its "European future" if the bill is passed</u>. However, while the "stick" was previously used only to sanction non-compliant vassals and satellite states, it seems it now includes direct attacks on the political leadership. This signals <u>the political West's</u> <u>desperation</u>, as countries simply want to avoid being involved in direct confrontation between superpowers. Kobakhidze himself said something along those lines, stating that the threats of "Fico's fate" are there to "remind us that the Global War Party is an extremely dangerous force willing to do anything to bring chaos to Georgia". This clearly shows why Kobakhidze or any other sovereigntist is a target for EU/NATO.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on InfoBrics.

<u>Drago Bosnic</u> is an independent geopolitical and military analyst. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from InfoBrics

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Drago Bosnic</u>, Global Research, 2024

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Drago Bosnic

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

<u>www.globalresearch.ca</u> contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca