

England Falsifies COVID Data: More than A Hundred Thousand Coronavirus Tests were “Double-counted”

By [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#)
Global Research, May 22, 2020

Region: [Europe](#)
Theme: [Science and Medicine](#)

According to [The Daily Telegraph \(May 21, 2020\)](#): “samples taken from the same patient are being recorded as two separate tests in the Government’s official figures”.

“Tens of thousands of [Covid-19 tests](#) have been double-counted in the Government’s official tally, public health officials have admitted.”

“Diagnostic tests which involve taking saliva and nasal samples from the same patient are being counted as two tests, not one.

The Department of Health and Social Care and Public Health England each confirmed the double-counting. This inflates the daily reported diagnostic test numbers by over 20 per cent, with that proportion being much higher earlier on in the crisis before home test kits were added to the daily totals.”

This is not a “mistake” or “incompetence”.

It is a deliberate intent to falsify the data and inflate the number of positive cases with a view to sustaining the corona fear campaign.

It was much more than “tens of thousands” as reported by the British media. These are the figures: “Almost 350,000 more tests have been reported in Government data than people tested since the start of the pandemic.”

“Discrepancy” or Outright Fraud?

“The discrepancy is in large part explained by the practice of counting saliva and nasal samples for the same individual twice.”(Telegraph)

When did this start? And why did public health staff not speak out? Or were they instructed to shut up?

And who was in charge of instructing health staff “to double count”. Will there be an inquiry?

Boris Johnson Government Caught Massaging the Data

Bear in mind that the “double counting” numbers are being used by the Boris Johnson government to maintain the lockdown and delay the reopening of the British economy. Not surprisingly, the UK has the largest number of so-called “confirmed cases” in Europe.

And now, England health officials are [promoting “home test kits”](#) which are said to be “reliable” and “accurate”. (And these are also included in the “confirmed cases”.

It is not the first time the Government has been caught massaging the testing data. It was accused last month of including [thousands of home tests which had been posted but not completed in a bid to reach its target of 100,000 tests.](#)”

Government's 'incredible' 100,000 Covid-19 target attracts controversy over 'mailed out' test kits

More than 40,000 of the total tests include swab kits sent to private homes and satellite testing sites not yet delivered to a laboratory

By Henry Bodkin, HEALTH AND SCIENCE CORRESPONDENT and Harry Yorke, POLITICAL CORRESPONDENT
1 May 2020 · 9:03pm

Déjà Vu: The H1N1 Swine Flu Epidemic

During the H1N1 swine flu epidemic in 2009. the same health authorities in England processed data for so-called “suspected cases” without conducting a lab test whereas in Scotland, the data was based on “confirmed cases” (lab testing), For the same time period, the were 43 confirmed cases in Scotland versus 3906 in England a ratio of more than 1 to 9. I should mention that these fake (England) data provided the green light for mass vaccination in the UK by Glaxo Smith Kline which resulted in brain damages to children.

Brain-Damaged UK Victims of Swine Flu Vaccine to Get £60 Million Compensation



By Tom Porter

March 2, 2014 14:40 GMT



Lets not let it happen again. Say NO to the vaccine.

England is not alone in falsifying COVID data.

The United States

CDC routinely reports “presumed cases” as “confirmed cases”.

And health clinics receive a substantial amount of money from Medicare for each COVID-19 admission.

Double-counting is also practiced in the U.S. A [recent report confirms that the State of Virginia is](#)

“to begin double-counting multiple positive coronavirus cases. [the same person tested several times]”

“The new policy may serve to sharply drive up case numbers, which may in turn significantly delay the re-opening of the state.” (emphasis added)

A single individual can be counted “positive” more than once, which also means that the re-opening of the Virginia economy will be delayed as a result of the introduction of a timely “fake” data methodology which was initiated in early May.

Cui bono.

More people unemployed. More companies on the verge of bankruptcy.

It is essential that physicians, epidemiologists and health workers Worldwide speak out and refute the statements of their governments which are acting on behalf of Big Pharma.

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Featured image is from OffGuardian

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#), Global Research, 2020

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#)

About the author:

Michel Chossudovsky is an award-winning author, Professor of Economics (emeritus) at the University of Ottawa, Founder and Director of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG), Montreal, Editor of Global Research. He has taught as visiting professor in Western Europe, Southeast Asia, the Pacific and Latin America. He has served as economic adviser to governments of developing countries and has acted as

a consultant for several international organizations. He is the author of 13 books. He is a contributor to the Encyclopaedia Britannica. His writings have been published in more than twenty languages. In 2014, he was awarded the Gold Medal for Merit of the Republic of Serbia for his writings on NATO's war of aggression against Yugoslavia. He can be reached at crgeditor@yahoo.com

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca