

The Modern-Day Cult of Corona: The Imposition of a “Multilayer Control Grid”. The “Lock Step” Future of Humanity?

Part II.

By [Helen Buyniski](#)

Global Research, May 23, 2020

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [Police State & Civil Rights](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

[Read Part I here.](#)

Omnipotence and Omniscience in the 21st century

The contact-tracing platforms – both digital and human-based – being rolled out around the world have their philosophical roots in religious rites of confession, cross-bred with the police-state logic of the National Security Agency (“if you haven’t done anything wrong, you have nothing to fear”) and coupled with the religious notion of an all-seeing, all-knowing deity.

All deviation from lockdown dogma is logged and reported, including consorting with known heretics, and this Panopticon – attacked when it debuted in China allegedly as totalitarian police-state control – is now being [embraced](#) in [western media](#) as the work of benevolent governments concerned with citizen welfare. While this transformation was laid out chillingly in ‘Lock Step,’ a [hypothetical future](#) outlined in 2010 in the Rockefeller Foundation and Global Business Network’s “Scenarios for the Future of Technology and International Development,” any discussion of predictive programming is off-limits.

Indeed, Corona Cultists are encouraged to [cut off](#) their “conspiracy theorist” relatives, because, in the words of Canadian broadcaster CBC, “conspiracies can be [just as infectious](#), just as dangerous as a virus – so you have to guard against them.”

Parents in Wales are [being warned](#) that conspiracy theorists are a greater threat than pedophiles on the internet. Compounding the seriousness of wrongthink, the WHO has popularized the term “infodemic” – implying ideas are as dangerous to one’s health as pathogens – and recommended a “[vaccine for misinformation](#).”

Soon, the Corona Cultist will no longer have to self-report their symptoms on a [Facebook survey](#) or confess their sins to a contact-tracer. Massachusetts Institute of Technology has developed clothing with [embedded sensors](#) to monitor the wearer’s vital signs. Enabled by the 5G networks being fast-tracked while any potential opposition is locked down, these sensors will communicate in real time with surveillance smart grids, pinpointing the offender and alerting others to give them a wide berth, refuse to serve them, and eventually have them “neutralized.”

Their bank account may be frozen until they return home, or even debited a certain fine

based on the degree of disobedience (“that’ll be 20 Hail Bills...or \$20 per minute outside the home, your choice”).

Eventually, these sensors will be implanted inside the body – in what sounds like the plot of a science fiction dystopia, Microsoft secured a patent in March for a system that mines cryptocurrency based on [physiological signals](#), theoretically permitting the corporation to ‘reward’ users based on desirable responses to certain stimuli. While the example they gave was banal – a reward for [watching an advertisement](#) – it’s no great leap to imagine equivalent punishments for those who respond with disgust to the ruling class’ propaganda.

The all-knowing, all-seeing God micromanaging His followers, a religious trope that has been used to keep large populations in line for millennia, has finally been realized in the form of the Covid-19 police state. Big Brother wields technology as both carrot – gently shepherding His flock toward transhumanist perfection by offering a facsimile of freedom in return for downloading an app, accepting a [“quantum dot tattoo,”](#) or showing a “certificate of immunity” on demand – and stick, digitally and literally imprisoning those who deviate from His shining future.



A breathtakingly wealthy coalition of billionaires and their pet statesmen have seized their own slice of the divine by appointing themselves Big Brother’s agents on earth. From the [messianic glow](#) of European Commission president Ursula von der Leyen as she solicits money from cash-strapped European nations, to the aforementioned sweater-vested Gates channelling Nostradamus with predictions of “Pandemic Two,” to second-generation New York Governor Andrew Cuomo (whose PR people have laid it on thick with hashtags like [#Cuomosexual](#) and [#CuomoForPresident](#) even as his state leads the world in infections and deaths and his policies of mandating nursing homes accept Covid-19-positive patients literally “kill Grandma”) epitomizing “New York Tough” by acting out [#resistance to Trump](#) even as his brother was supposedly laid low by the virus, there’s no end of ruling-class Heroes™ basking in the admiration of the Corona Cultists, who offer up their (and everyone else’s) rights on a silver platter, never to be seen again.

Can constant surveillance stop the virus?

There's nothing wrong with clinging to ritual in a time of uncertainty – certainly hand-washing doesn't have a downside, presuming one stops short of wearing the skin off one's hands. But when that ritual harms others, it must be questioned. Covid-19 zealots would argue that they have science on their side, but the science is far from settled on the effectiveness of social distancing and sweeping economic shutdowns.

Pseudoscience on a rampage

As social media censors tighten the screws on what information is permitted to enter the public sphere, it becomes increasingly difficult to pretend the Cult of Corona is based on science.

Actual science relies upon constant inquiry, testing, and hypothesizing, and even those claims generally attested to by its practitioners are considered “theories” as opposed to unchangeable truths. Science-as-religion, on the other hand, denounces those who put forth dissenting theories as heretics, using slurs like “quack,” “charlatan,” and “anti-vaxxer” to marginalize, for example, medical practitioners who heal people without the use of pharmaceutical drugs.

The social media platforms' decision to unilaterally deplatform content that contradicts the WHO's narrative is anti-scientific in the extreme, sacrificing the spirit of inquiry for the strictures of groupthink. It's rendered even more Kafkaesque due to continuing shifts in the WHO's own narrative, which has changed as more is learned about the virus (as scientific understanding tends to do).

The idea that YouTube's content moderators know better than a medical doctor how to treat Covid-19 would have been considered laughable just six months ago, yet Google's video platform has repeatedly removed videos of licensed, practicing clinicians discussing their experiences.

A pair of “rogue” doctors in Bakersfield, California who held a lengthy press conference laying out their findings and questioning the wisdom of prolonged lockdowns – broadcast on a local network TV station – went viral, only for YouTube to remove nearly every copy while pundits denounced the pair as “coronavirus truthers.” Their video did not attempt to project their own experiences onto the world – indeed, where they did cite statistics outside of Bakersfield, they used “official” statistics from health authorities, in Sweden and elsewhere, to support their claim that the fatality rate was being significantly overestimated because most cases were asymptomatic. Their video was literally broadcast on “mainstream media,” an “authoritative source” in the eyes of YouTube CEO Susan Wojcicki. But its incompatibility with prevailing coronavirus dogma meant it had to be destroyed.

The orthodox narrative also jettisons long-accepted science about immunity. Vitamin D – obtained from sunlight absorbed through the skin – has been proven in study after study to be integral to a healthy immune system, and [several](#) recent [studies](#) have demonstrated its importance in surviving Covid-19 infection. Likewise, loneliness has been [linked](#) to diminished immune function and [poor health](#) in general, especially in elderly people (i.e. those most susceptible to Covid-19), and even those who are quite content with being alone are experiencing diminished immune stimulation due to not interacting with other people.

The link between isolation and ill health is so strong that even the media establishment has [quietly acknowledged](#) it, and solitary confinement is considered cruel and unusual

punishment in many countries. No less than the World Economic Forum, co-organizer of the notorious Event 201 simulation that served as a dress rehearsal for Covid-19 itself, has [called](#) the stay-at-home orders that have confined more than half the world's population to their homes "the world's biggest psychological experiment." The ruling-class conclave warned "we will pay the price" in a secondary mental-illness epidemic, one which its members - heavily invested in the pharmaceutical companies that are among the biggest winners of the pandemic - are no doubt poised to cash in on with antidepressants, benzodiazepines and antipsychotics aplenty. Nevertheless, questioning the wisdom of prolonged isolation in the form of extended lockdowns is still seen as anathema.

Deprogramming

To begin to free humanity from the influence of the Corona Cult, it's important to understand how its programming took hold. Guilt - environmental guilt, racial guilt, class-based guilt - is the primary route of attack. The media establishment [initially attempted](#) to link the coronavirus outbreak to climate change, with even the Pope [climbing on board](#) the narrative, though no scientific basis exists to support it and it has since been somewhat de-emphasized. Heretics are repeatedly accused of prioritizing their own convenience over the health of society, especially its most vulnerable members - the elderly, the sick, even poor and non-white populations. Depending on the target audience, anti-lockdown heretics are said to be scientifically-illiterate "[covidiot](#)s" or heartless monsters consigning the disadvantaged to [die for capitalism](#). Guilt and shame are powerful conversion tools, and even those who remain unconverted are likely to hold their tongues in a sociological phenomenon known as the [spiral of silence](#).

At the same time, humanity's innate religious tendencies (present in even atheists - millennia of programming don't vanish just because a person comes to the realization they live in a godless universe) - have been hijacked. It's no coincidence that governments imposing lockdowns have singled out places of worship for particular animus - anyone attending religious services is presumably content enough with their god(s) that they're unlikely to ditch their faith for a virus-venerating cult-come-lately. Constantly bombarded with messages of uncertainty and kept from communing with their usual faith, even people normally secure in their religion will reach for the stability the Corona Police State provides - authoritarianism's flip side is paternalism, and comfort is found in the arms of Big Brother. Among the non-religious, liberal and libertarian populations alike are targeted with the weaponization of medical jargon - a simple "what's the matter, you don't believe in science?" sends weak-willed groupthinkers into shameful silence while their freedoms are methodically amputated.

It is supremely ironic that in this Inquisition, the "real" church has been sidelined. Aside from the Pope, who has wholeheartedly embraced the New Normal, a group of [Catholic leaders](#) recently issued a statement calling out governments, the media, and public health experts, denouncing the Covid-19 narrative as cover for "infringing on the rights of millions of people around the world."

Cynical scholars of religious history might suggest they're motivated by jealousy - "*stop using dogma to control people, that's our job!*" - but their concerns are no less valid, and the feeling of envy cuts both ways. The single-minded determination of police to break up even those church services scrupulously observing social distancing with worshipers sitting isolated in their cars can only be explained by eschatological jealousy. To truly force the Cult of Corona down the throats of the people, the competition must be eliminated, whether it's

“traditional” religion or logic, reason and the (real) scientific method.

BEHAVIOR CONTROL
promote dependence & obedience
modify behavior w/rewards & punishments
dictate where & with whom you live
restrict or control sexuality
control clothing & hairstyle
regulate what & how much you eat/drink
deprive you of 7-9 hours of sleep
exploit you financially
restrict leisure time & activities
require you to seek permission for major decisions

INFORMATION CONTROL
deliberately withhold & distort information
forbid you from speaking w/ ex-members & critics
discourage access to non-cult sources of information
divide information into insider vs. outsider doctrine
generate & use propaganda extensively
use information gained in confession sessions against you
gaslight to make you doubt your own memory
require reporting thoughts/feelings/activities to superiors
encourage you to spy & report on others' "misconduct"

THOUGHT CONTROL
instill black & white/us vs. them/good vs. evil thinking
change your identity, possibly even your name
use loaded language & cliches to stop complex thought
induce hypnotic/trance states to indoctrinate
teach thought-stopping techniques to prevent critical thoughts
allow only positive thoughts
use excess meditation/prayer/chanting to block thoughts
reject rational analysis, critical thinking & doubt

EMOTIONAL CONTROL
instill irrational fears of questioning/leaving the group
label some emotions as evil/worldly/sinful/wrong
use emotion-stopping techniques to prevent anger & homesickness
Promote feelings of guilt/shame/unworthiness
shower you with praise/attention (love bombing)
threaten your friends/family
shun you if you disobey/disbelieve
teach that there's no happiness/peace outside the group

Starting to look familiar?

BITE MODEL:

HOW CULTS CONTROL THEIR MEMBERS © STEVEN HASSAN, ADAPTED FOR HELENOFDESTROY.COM

Deprogramming the world from the Cult of Corona cannot be done by force - its backers have too much power, including total control of both establishment and social media. It must be approached strategically. Just as traditional “deprogrammers” will isolate a cult member from the group, reasoning there’s a much better chance of re-awakening the original personality when the person is not experiencing the pressures of groupthink, deprogramming Corona Cultists is best done one-on-one, keeping in mind that cultists will ferociously defend their dogma with thought-stopping techniques which can be extremely irritating to outsiders trying to convey dissenting information. Former “Moonie” Steven Hassan’s BITE model (above) describes how cults exert undue influence and is useful in approaching deprogramming.

The notion of deprogramming entire societies may seem daunting, but it is the only chance humanity has to retain some semblance of freedom and turn back from the dark path down which our species is heading. The ruling class is imposing a comprehensive, multilayered control grid that has been in the works for decades, and when it is complete, revolution will not be an option. Such a future must be avoided at all costs.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Helen Buyniski is a journalist and photographer based in New York City. Her work has appeared on RT, Global Research, Activist Post, Ghion Journal, and Progressive Radio

Network. Helen has a BA in Journalism from New School University and also studied at Columbia University and New York University. Find more of her work at <http://helenofdestroy.com> or follow her on Twitter at @velocirapture23. She is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

All images in this article are from the author unless otherwise stated

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Helen Buyniski](#), Global Research, 2020

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Helen Buyniski](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca