

## Drone Assassinations Not Limited to U.S. Citizens "Living Abroad"

U.S. Relies on Law from Governments which don't Even Have a Constitution to Justify Assassination of U.S. Citizens by Drone

By Washington's Blog

Global Research, June 30, 2014

Washington's Blog

Region: USA

Theme: Crimes against Humanity, Law and

<u>Justice</u>

The Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution says:

No person shall ... be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law ....

So how did the legal memorandum "justifying" assassination of U.S. citizens by drone try to sidestep the Fifth Amendment?

It relied on reasoning from two countries that don't have any constitution.

The New York Times reports:

One might have expected a thoughtful memo that carefully weighed the pros and cons and discussed how such a strike accords with international and Constitutional law.

Instead, the memo turns out to be a slapdash pastiche of legal theories — some based on obscure interpretations of British and Israeli law — that was clearly tailored to the desired result. Perhaps the administration held out so long to avoid exposing the thin foundation on which it based such a momentous decision.

Neither **England** nor **Israel** have a constitution.

Indeed, as the BBC <u>notes</u>, they are in a very small group of three:

In all but a handful of democracies in the world, the nation's constitution can be found in a single document. The exceptions are Israel, New Zealand and the United Kingdom.

Nothing in the memo says that it only applies to U.S. citizens living *abroad*. Indeed, the government has claimed the right to <u>assassinate or indefinitely detain any American citizen on U.S. citizen without any due process</u>. And <u>see this</u>.

And - contrary to misleading statements to the contrary - the U.S. has <u>never said</u> that it

won't assassinate Americans living on U.S. soil.

Sadly, even though Americans have a constitution, we have <u>lost virtually all of the rights</u> <u>contained in that document</u>.

Postscript: While this article focuses on U.S. citizens, assassinating non-citizens by drone has problems as well:

- It <u>creates more terrorists than it kills</u> (see <u>this</u>, <u>this</u>, <u>this</u>, <u>this</u> and this) ... at least <u>the way Obama administration is doing it</u>.
- It creates a <u>never-ending cycle of war</u>
- It is a war crime (more here and here).

The original source of this article is <u>Washington's Blog</u> Copyright © <u>Washington's Blog</u>, <u>Washington's Blog</u>, 2014

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Washington's

**Blog** 

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries:  $\underline{publications@globalresearch.ca}$