

With Its Doomsday Clock at 100 Seconds to Midnight, the Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists Calls for Escalating US Aggression Against Russia

By [Roger D. Harris](#)

Global Research, August 06, 2022

[Pressenza](#) 15 February 2022

Region: [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@globalresearch_crg](#).

First published on February 15, 2021

The Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists emerged after World War II as a voice for peace by some of the scientists who developed the then ultimate weapon of mass destruction. Now, its mission has drifted into being an echo chamber for the US imperial project urging President Biden to take even more destabilizing actions against Russia.

Dropping the A-bombs

By the time that the scientists at the top-secret Manhattan Project had developed the atomic bomb and the US military had worked out the logistics for deploying it, World War II was for all intents and purposes over. By early [May 1945](#), Germany had unconditionally surrendered; in large part due to the efforts of the Red Army defeating the Nazi *Wehrmacht*, but at the horrific [cost](#) of 27,000,000 Soviet lives. The Japanese too had been defeated militarily and had agreed to “unconditional surrender” with the one caveat that Emperor Hirohito be spared.

So, the world’s emerging hegemon had a problem. It had the ultimate weapon to impose its policy of world domination (i.e., today’s official US national security doctrine of global “[full spectrum dominance](#)”). But what good is this ultimate weapon if it is a secret? And, even if known, would the world believe that the US has the will to unleash such a destructive force?

President Truman had the solution - nuke Japan. All the military targets in Japan had been destroyed, but an even stronger message of the US’s determination to enforce imperial hegemony was made by annihilating the civilian cities of Hiroshima and Nagasaki in August 1945.

The Japanese promptly surrendered, offering up the life of their emperor. The US accepted,

but did not execute the emperor, who was more useful alive than dead. Besides, the leniency gesture reinforced the message that the US would capriciously bomb at will. Even when President Obama visited Hiroshima in 2016, he pointedly offered “[no apology](#)” for the destruction his country had wrought.

Dawn of the Cold War

The quick Japanese surrender in August 1945 had another cause, which many modern [historians](#) consider more overriding than the US bombs. The Soviets, engaged with their western front, had remained neutral in the war with Japan, but had promised the Allies to join the war effort against Japan once the Germans were defeated. At the same time the US dropped the bombs, the USSR declared war with Japan [causing](#) Tokyo to capitulate.

The dropping of the atomic bombs was the first salvo of the Cold War, signifying the end of the US wartime alliance of convenience with the Soviet Union. Truman’s rush to nuke Japan had the dual advantage of making known his “[hammer](#)” over the Kremlin as well as denying the USSR time to advance east and have a seat in the surrender agreement with Japan. The Soviets had not developed atomic weapons on the assumption – which proved to be essentially correct – that World War II would be over before they could be deployed to defeat the Axis powers.

In the immediate post-war period, the Soviets and their allies were existentially threatened by the unambiguous [intention](#) of the US and its allies to destroy them. As a defensive measure, the Soviet Union had no choice but to develop a deterrent nuclear force, testing its first atomic bomb in 1949.

Although the Soviets pledged to use their nuclear arsenal only in defense and renounced “first strike,” the US didn’t. Soon the Cold War arms race threatened the planet with destruction. The emergent construct of MAD ([Mutually Assured Destruction](#)) was a fragile arrangement for the future of humanity.

Emergence of the *Bulletin* by scientists for peace

Voices of peace arose from the very inventors of the atomic bomb. Immediately after the destructive power of the atom was rained on Japan and even before the Soviet Union developed their deterrent force, former Manhattan Project scientists Eugene Rabinowitch and Hyman Goldsmith founded the Educational Foundation for Nuclear Science, subsequently renamed the *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*.

Other notables associated with the *Bulletin* were nuclear physicist [Hans Bethe](#), Soviet space scientist [Anatoli Blagonravov](#), Jewish-German émigré and developer of quantum mechanics [Max Born](#), physicist “father of the atomic bomb” turned anti-nuclear proliferation activist [J. Robert Oppenheimer](#), British polymath peace activist [Bertrand Russell](#), Soviet physicist [Nikolay Semyonov](#), and Albert Einstein.

The *Bulletin*’s [Doomsday Clock](#), unveiled in 1947, was set at seven minutes to midnight. The clock was intended as an educational tool to serve “as a vivid symbol of these multiplying perils, its hands showing how close to extinction we are.”

The [Pugwash Conferences](#), an effort at peace in the early part of the Cold War, were an outgrowth of the *Bulletin* in its formative years in the 1950s.

Mission drift at the *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*

Today, the risk of nuclear annihilation, not to mention global warming and other threats, has never been greater, according to the *Bulletin's* Doomsday Clock. But the *Bulletin* has morphed from an advocate for peace and against other threats to humanity to something else.

From an organization run by scientists, the current [governing board](#) of the *Bulletin* has hardly a scientist in sight. Its president and CEO is Rachel Bronson, a political scientist who came out of the US security establishment NGO world, including the Council on Foreign Relations ([Wall Street's think tank](#)) and the Center for Strategic and International Studies ([ranked](#) the top military think tank in the world). Its chair, David Kuhlman, is a corporate consultant specializing in helping "clients identify pathways to profitable growth." Its secretary, Steve Ramsey, formerly worked for defense contractor General Electric. Former Secretary of State and [accused war criminal](#) Madeleine Albright does [promotional](#)s for the *Bulletin*.

The *Bulletin* maintains a [liberal façade](#) and still publishes articles that contribute to peace and environmentalism. In that way, its role in collusion with the US imperial project is insidious, because the patina of peace is used to legitimize its mission drift.

Fanning the flames of anti-Chinese sentiment, the *Bulletin* [promotes](#) the conspiracy theory that the Chinese artificially developed COVID-19, featuring journalist Nicholas Wade's "How COVID-19's origins were obscured, by the East and the West." However, [scientific evidence](#) points to natural origins of the virus. Anti-Russian sentiment is [promoted](#) with journalist Matt Field's "Russian media spreading disinformation about US bioweapons as troops mass near Ukraine." Where are the scientists advocating for peace?

The *Bulletin* covers the Ukraine crisis

Another case in point of its devolution is the article "How to mix sanctions and diplomacy to avert disaster in Ukraine," [published](#) in the *Bulletin* on February 1. The article advocates for sanctions that would "severely and quickly devastate Russia's powerful energy export sector." Echoing Washington's talking points, the article couches its recommendations as responding to Russian aggression but actually proposes nothing to de-escalate the conflict.

It is beyond ironic that an organization that purports to be warning against the dangers of nuclear holocaust is making a full-throated defense of an even more aggressive posture by one of the world's leading nuclear powers.

Yes, the *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientist's* Doomsday Clock is now 100 seconds to midnight, and they are trying to push it closer to Armageddon.

The view of the *Bulletin's* Ukraine article is that the current crisis is Putin's "own making." In contrast, the article explains that the US has diplomatically "initiated" talks with Russia. There is no mention of the forward deployment of [US troops](#) or sending [lethal aid](#) to Ukraine. There is no recognition of aggressive actions by NATO such as stationing assault ABM [missile systems](#) in Romania and possibly Poland. Off limits is allusion to the US [shredding](#) the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces Treaty.

Hidden from sight in the aforementioned article and [another](#) published the same day on "How the demise of an arms control treaty foreshadowed Russia's aggression against

Ukraine” is the US-orchestrated Ukraine [coup](#) in 2014 that installed an anti-Russian regime there. The latter article’s meticulously detailed history of the region notes “Moscow invaded and annexed the Ukrainian territory of Crimea,” but not the coup that precipitated it.

Reasonable peace proposals

There is not a word in these articles of how some of the Russian initiatives might prevent hostilities and make the region more secure with a reduced likelihood of war. And certainly, there is none of the following reasonable peace proposals:

- Russia and the US shall not use the territory of other countries to prepare or conduct attacks against the other.
- Neither party shall deploy short- or intermediate-range missiles abroad or in areas where these weapons could reach targets inside the other’s territory.
- Neither party shall deploy nuclear weapons abroad, and any such weapons already deployed must be returned.
- Both parties shall eliminate any infrastructure for deploying nuclear weapons outside their own territories.
- Neither party shall conduct military exercises with scenarios involving the use of nuclear weapons.
- Neither party shall train military or civilian personnel from non-nuclear countries to use nuclear weapons.

The above peace measures are what in fact [Russia proposed](#), but are considered “[non-starters](#)” by the US and presumably by the *Bulletin*.

Citing the [Atlantic Council](#), the US-based [think tank](#) for NATO, the *Bulletin* explains that the sanctions that they are advocating would cause the Russian economy to “experience significant chaos.” These sanctions that the *Bulletin* calls for are a [form of warfare](#) just as deadly as dropping bombs. [Sanctions kill!](#) Instead of supporting peaceful measures to reduce tensions in the Ukraine, the *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists* has become a cheerleader for [Washington](#).

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @globalresearch_crg. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Roger D. Harris is with the human rights group [Task Force on the Americas](#), founded in 1985, and is on the [SanctionsKill](#) coalition.

Featured image is from Doomsday Clock

The original source of this article is [Pressenza](#)
Copyright © [Roger D. Harris](#), [Pressenza](#), 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: [Roger D. Harris](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca