

Do Mandatory Masks and Vaccines Break the 10 Points of the Nuremberg Code?

By [Makia Freeman](#)

Global Research, February 12, 2021

[The Freedom Articles](#) 11 February 2021

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

All Global Research articles **can be read in 27 languages by activating the “Translate Website”** drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

*Mandatory masks continue to be the standard operating procedure for many counties, regions, districts, states and nations worldwide, as the [COVID cult agenda](#) progresses. In many places, while [COVID vaccines](#) are not yet mandatory, authorities are rushing to prepare to make them so, by setting up schemes like **immunity passports**, **vaccine certificates** and mandatory tracking databases which log who has taken the shot and who has not. Meanwhile, inherent sovereign human rights are being limited to those who acquiesce to take the shot. The insanity and absurdity of the COVID cult is all the more apparent when you realize that its mandatory or quasi-mandatory rules stand in contradiction to a very important set of principles decided upon in 1947. I refer to the **Nuremberg Code**, the set of 10 points that arose from the infamous Nuremberg Trials conducted in the aftermath of World War II. I am not passing judgement on how impartial those trials were, because I know they were mainly run by the US and the Allies (as the victors), who for obvious reasons did not press charges against American generals such as Eisenhower (who later became US President) for his POW camps inside of Germany and carpet-bombing of Dresden. Nonetheless, the trials produced the Nuremberg Code which enshrined the principle of **informed consent** - a principle which, in the advent of the COVID scamdemic, is now highly relevant and is continually being put to the test. This article will look briefly at each of the 10 points in the light of COVID restrictions and rules.*

The 10 Points of the Code

This [website](#) gives a brief history of how the 10 points of the Nuremberg Code came into existence. Interestingly, although the code is an international ethical landmark, it is apparently still not enshrined in American or German national law. We must assume those governments via their secret agencies like the CIA wanted to keep the door open to conduct **medical experimentation** (such as [bioweapon programs like weaponized ticks](#)) upon their citizenry without technically breaking the law:

“On August 19, 1947, the judges of the American military tribunal in the case of the USA vs. Karl Brandt et. al. delivered their verdict. Before announcing the guilt or innocence of each defendant, they confronted the difficult question of medical experimentation on human beings. Several German doctors had argued in their own defense that their experiments differed little from previous American or German ones. Furthermore they showed that no international law

or informal statement differentiated between legal and illegal human experimentation. This argument worried Drs. Andrew Ivy and Leo Alexander, American doctors who had worked with the prosecution during the trial. On April 17, 1947, Dr. Alexander submitted a memorandum to the United States Counsel for War Crimes which outlined six points defining legitimate research. The verdict of August 19 reiterated almost all of these points in a section entitled "[Permissible Medical Experiments](#)" and revised the original six points into ten. Subsequently, the ten points became known as the "Nuremberg Code." Although the code addressed the defense arguments in general, remarkably none of the specific findings against Brandt and his codefendants mentioned the code. Thus the legal force of the document was not well established. The uncertain use of the code continued in the half century following the trial when it informed numerous international ethics statements but failed to find a place in either the American or German national law codes. Nevertheless, it remains a landmark document on medical ethics and one of the most lasting products of the "Doctors Trial."

Nuremberg Code #1: Voluntary Consent is Essential

The first point of the Code is:

"The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential."

This point has already been broken many times over just with the introduction of mandatory masks alone. In the US, for example, masks are defined in several places as "medical devices" according to the FDA (Food and Drug Administration). The first piece of evidence is on the FDA's website itself at this [page](#) that discusses masks and which is nested under the category **Medical Devices** as follows:

"Home / Medical Devices / Products and Medical Procedures / General Hospital Devices and Supplies / Personal Protective Equipment for Infection Control / N95 Respirators, Surgical Masks, and Face Masks"



The second piece of evidence is in the law: the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act) which is US federal law enacted by Congress. It and other federal laws establish the legal framework within which FDA operates. The FD&C Act can be found in the United States Code (USC), which contains all general and permanent US laws, beginning at 21 USC 301. You can read it [here](#). Look under Chapter II Definitions (pg.3) and scroll down to Section 201(h):

"(h) The term "device" (except when used in paragraph (n) of this section and in sections 301(i), 403(f), 502(c), and 602(c)) means an instrument, apparatus, implement, machine, contrivance, implant, in vitro reagent, or other similar or related article, including any component, part, or accessory, which is — (1) recognized in the official National Formulary, or the United States Pharmacopeia, or any supplement to them, (2) intended for use in the diagnosis of disease or other conditions, or in the cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease, in man or other animals, or (3) intended to affect the structure or any function of the body of man or other animals, and which does not achieve its primary intended purposes through chemical action within or on the body of man or other animals and which is not dependent upon being metabolized for the achievement of its primary intended purposes."

Under this section, a mask meets the criteria required for being designated as a medical device, since it meets point (2), being an apparatus which is intended to prevent disease.

Did any politician ask the people of the world whether they wanted to wear masks and volunteer themselves to wear medical devices? No. There has been no voluntary or informed consent.

Nuremberg Code #2: Yield Fruitful Results Unprocurable By Other Means

The second point of the Code is:

“The experiment should be such as to yield fruitful results for the good of society, unprocurable by other methods or means of study, and not random and unnecessary in nature.”

We have to face reality that COVID measures such as mandatory masks or vaccines are a giant medical experiment. Many people have been suffering the ill effects of under-oxygenation due to prolonged mask wearing. The untested vaccines constitute the biggest worldwide experiment in human history, having been rushed to market in under 12 months when vaccines usually take 7-20 years to develop. As covered in [previous articles](#), these so-called vaccines (actually mRNA devices) were not designed to stop transmission, elicit a direct immune response (as traditional vaccines do) nor to stop moderate to severe symptoms, so their risk-to-benefit ratio is massively high. Cloth masks made of bandannas and rags are utterly “*random and unnecessary in nature.*” Meanwhile, there are many other ways to produce “fruitful results” for society without this experimentation: educating people about the principles of natural health, boosting the immune system naturally and even supplementing with vitamin D (research has shown a [connection between those acquiring COVID and vitamin D deficiency](#)).

Nuremberg Code #3: Base Experiments on Results of Animal Experimentation and Natural History of Disease

The third point of the Code is:

“The experiment should be so designed and based on the results of animal experimentation and a knowledge of the natural history of the disease or other problem under study that the anticipated results will justify the performance of the experiment.”

This point has also been broken. Big Pharma companies skipped animal trials in their rush to get their “vaccines” to market.

Nuremberg Code #4: Avoid All Unnecessary Suffering and Injury

The fourth point of the Code is:

“The experiment should be so conducted as to avoid all unnecessary physical and mental suffering and injury.”

None of the masks and “vaccines” (which indisputably cause suffering, injury and death) are

necessary for many reasons:

- the COVID case and death count has been wildly inflated from the beginning;
- COVID is a repackaging scheme which reclassifies existing diseases to create the appearance of a new deadly disease and pandemic when there is none. See the work of people such as [Dr. Genevieve Briand](#);
- the alleged novel virus [SARS-CoV-2 has never been isolated, purified and proven 100% to exist.](#)

Nuremberg Code #5: No Experiment to be Conducted if There's Reason to Think Injury or Death Will Occur

The fifth point of the Code is:

“No experiment should be conducted where there is an a priori reason to believe that death or disabling injury will occur; except, perhaps, in those experiments where the experimental physicians also serve as subjects.”

Is there an a priori reason to believe disabling injury or death will occur from the COVID not-vaccines? Given the past history of vaccine injury, yes there is. Around 2 weeks ago on January 29th 2021, the CDC [reported](#) over 11,000 adverse reactions to the COVID vaccine, including 501 deaths and 10,748 other injuries, some of which were indeed disabling injuries. If you are not fainthearted, see these video clips and compilations ([here](#), [here](#), [here](#) and [here](#)) which show the horrible side effects of the vax.

Nuremberg Code #6: Risk Should Never Exceed the Benefit

The sixth point of the Code is:

“The degree of risk to be taken should never exceed that determined by the humanitarian importance of the problem to be solved by the experiment.”

From Big Pharma's point of view, the risk doesn't exceed the benefit. They are shielded from legal liability thanks to the 1986 NCVIA and other preparatory laws like the [2005 Public Readiness and Emergency Preparedness Act](#) which paved the way for **Big Pharma legal immunity**. There's no risk, and the profits are astronomical. For the average individual, on the other hand, the situation is exactly the reverse; there's no benefit to taking a non-vaccine which doesn't protect you from severe symptoms and which doesn't stop transmission, but which could lead to serious and debilitating effects like paralysis and death.

Nuremberg Code #7: Preparation Must Be Made Against Even Remote Possibility of Injury, Disability or Death

The seventh point of the Code is:

“Proper preparations should be made and adequate facilities provided to protect the experimental subject against even remote possibilities of injury, disability, or death.”

No preparations have been made! The COVID vaccines are a giant worldwide human experiment, and every single participant is the equivalent of a human hamster or guinea pig. If you die, bad luck! Big Pharma will be sure to roll out their legal and PR departments and immediately question the connection between their vax and your death. They will never, ever admit a connection, and even if they did, there are no legal consequences for them. There is no recourse.

Nuremberg Code #8: Experiment Must Be Conducted by Scientifically Qualified Persons

The eighth point of the Code is:

“The experiment should be conducted only by scientifically qualified persons. The highest degree of skill and care should be required through all stages of the experiment of those who conduct or engage in the experiment.”

Doctors such as [Dr. Stefan Lanka and Dr. Tom Cowan who challenge the mainstream narrative of virology](#) - namely that contagious viruses exist and can infect you - would most certainly dispute that career bureaucrats like Dr. Anthony Fauci and Big Pharma scientists are truly qualified, but even if you accept that they are, the scientists that make the vaccines are not the ones administering them. It's the regular nurses and doctors who are doing that. How many of them truly know what the non-vaccine is, what it does, the complete list of its ingredients and the full list of its long-term side effects? No one knows the latter, precisely because this is a giant experiment.

By creating unlawful mask mandates, politicians are playing doctor, putting themselves in the position of being medical experts by dictating health directives and medical interventions to the entire population. The people have not given consent for politicians, who are medically untrained, to act as their personal physicians.

Nuremberg Code #9: Anyone Must Have the Freedom to Bring the Experiment to an End At Any Time

The ninth point of the Code is:

“During the course of the experiment the human subject should be at liberty to bring the experiment to an end if he has reached the physical or mental state where continuation of the experiment seems to him to be impossible.”

Do you have the right to bring the insane COVID cult medical experimentation to an end? Of course you don't! People are being discriminated against for not wearing masks by being deprived of their rights to freely travel, trade and work. People are being threatened with fines and prison for not wearing masks. People's rights are being violated when they exercise their right to make their own medical decisions by refusing the vax. There is no freedom for the **COVID subject** who is being experimented upon.

Nuremberg Code #10: The Scientist Must Bring the Experiment to an End At Any Time if There's Probable Cause of it Resulting in Injury or Death

The tenth point of the Code is:

“During the course of the experiment the scientist in charge must be prepared to terminate the experiment at any stage, if he has probable cause to believe, in the exercise of the good faith, superior skill and careful judgment required of him that a continuation of the experiment is likely to result in injury, disability, or death to the experimental subject.”

This point includes the phrase “probable cause” which is probably well-known to many people, especially Americans, since it is enshrined in the 4th Amendment to the US Constitution that protects people against searches and seizures unless the police have a warrant based on probable cause that that person has committed a crime. Probable cause is legally considered to be a higher standard than “reasonable belief” or “reasonable suspicion” which is the wording used in other jurisdictions. Regardless, do you think any Big Pharma company has EVER voluntarily halted their vaccine rollout because of their “*superior skill and careful judgment*” that they might be harming people? No way! The profits are too great, and their line of defense is that some people may be injured or killed by the vaccines, but it’s all for the “greater good” of protecting society. As Martin Luther King said, “*Freedom is never voluntarily given by the oppressor; it must be demanded by the oppressed.*”

Conclusion

Society is regressing right now. We are giving up hard-fought rights and freedoms out of fear. Collectively, we are forgetting that we have already long ago established fundamental human rights such as **bodily autonomy**, **medical sovereignty** and informed, **voluntary consent**. The Nuremberg Code is a stark reminder that we have been through all this before, and got through it to crystallize the lessons learned. We must remember Who We Are and stop this insane COVID medical experimentation – before it’s too late.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Makia Freeman is the editor of alternative media / independent news site [The Freedom Articles](#), author of the book [Cancer: The Lies, the Truth and the Solutions](#) and senior researcher at [ToolsForFreedom.com](#). Makia is on [Steemit](#) and [Parler](#).

Sources

<https://thefreedomarticles.com/covid-cult-and-the-10-stages-of-genocide/>

<https://thefreedomarticles.com/not-a-vaccine-mrna-covid-vaccine-chemical-pathogen-device/>

<https://www.ushmm.org/information/exhibitions/online-exhibitions/special-focus/doctors-trial/nuremberg-code>

<https://thefreedomarticles.com/bioweapons-bioterrorism-lyme-disease-weaponized-ticks/>

<https://www.ushmm.org/information/exhibitions/online-exhibitions/special-focus/doctors-trial/nuremberg-code#Permissible>

<https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/personal-protective-equipment-infection-control/n95-re>

[spirators-surgical-masks-and-face-masks](#)

<https://www.wipo.int/edocs/lexdocs/laws/en/us/us200en.pdf>

<https://academic.oup.com/jcem/advance-article/doi/10.1210/clinem/dgaa733/5934827>

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/3ihv9O0UBS4B>

<https://thefreedomarticles.com/10-reasons-sars-cov-2-imaginary-digital-theoretical-virus/>

<https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/deaths-injuries-following-covid-vaccine-cdc/>

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/9KCxyj9zQ4N8>

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/gofAFCyTH5qg>

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/KpF6oEZ9TX36>

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/kUNk3ITOn2po>

<https://thefreedomarticles.com/9-simulations-drills-laws-prepared-for-the-coronavirus/>

<https://thefreedomarticles.com/deep-down-virus-rabbit-hole-question-everything/>

Featured image is from Viacheslav Lopatin | scaliger - stock.adobe.com

The original source of this article is [The Freedom Articles](#)

Copyright © [Makia Freeman](#), [The Freedom Articles](#), 2021

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Makia Freeman](#)**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca