

Do American economic interests threaten democracy in the Congo?

By [Wa Mutshimbayi](#)

Region: [sub-Saharan Africa](#)

Global Research, January 16, 2006

Le Potentiel 16 January 2006

Statements by American ambassador Roger Meece at a meeting with the Chinese press have given rise to many questions in Congolese political circles. In response to Cei's rejection of a request made by the Union for Democracy and Social Progress (UDPS), Etienne Tshisekedi's party, to reopen voter registration centres, Roger Meece supported the position taken by Malu Malu, Cei's president. This has led certain observers of the Congolese political scene to question Roger Meece's hidden motives, given that Ciat had initiated negotiations with the UDPS to bring them into the electoral process. Why welcome the integration of the UDPS and, at the same time, salute Cei's refusal to reopen registration centres?

Observers familiar with the political scene are explaining this ambiguous attitude as an attempt to protect the mining interests of American companies, which would appear to be more important than bringing democracy to the Congolese people.

The United States do not have friends, they say. They only have interests to defend. This is the lesson that anyone who wants to understand international relations needs to take on board in their analyses.

Since its independence, the DRC has always been a major stake in any global economic discourse. Multinational companies continue, through their governments, to influence the internal politics of the DRC.

In 1960, the free world's rhetoric against the expansion of international communism masked economic wars being waged by both the capitalist and communist blocs. With the end of the cold war, the mask fell away.

All the developed or industrialised countries are motivated by the defence of their economic interests. The report by a UN team of experts about the economic stakes, the main cause of the wars in the DRC, is very clear.

Mining contracts that the government in Kinshasa has just signed with a number of American companies perhaps explain this controversy about involving the UDPS in the political process. For some, it illustrates the adage: 'Better the devil you know, than the devil you don't,' and just goes to show that the attitude of the Americans is no different from other countries with an interest in the Congo. 'If I don't do it, someone else will.'

The ball is in the Congolese camp, but they have not yet grasped the subtlety of international politics. The discourse on democracy is just a smoke screen: economic interests and democracy do not make good companions. If the political elites and their

parties do not organise themselves to defend national interests, it won't matter how much we accuse the Americans and others, it won't change the attitude of foreign companies towards the Democratic Republic of Congo. We have to understand the new international deal: the globalisation of the economy.

If we don't, how will we explain this ambiguous statement: 'no one must exclude anyone, no one must exclude themselves.' In the meantime, everything is being done to ensure that the UDPS is being excluded from the electoral process. YI

The original source of this article is Le Potentiel
Copyright © [Wa Mutshimbayi](#), Le Potentiel, 2006

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Wa Mutshimbayi](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca