

Hillary's Big Lie: Did Russia Hack the Democratic National Committee (DNC) ... Really? No, According to Intelligence Experts...

By [Washington's Blog](#)

Global Research, October 24, 2016
[Washington's Blog](#)

Region: [Russia and FSU, USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

In-depth Report: [U.S. Elections](#)

Obama, Clinton and the mainstream media all say that Russia hacked the Democratic National Committee and released emails to throw the election to Trump.

But former CIA, State Department, and House Intelligence Committee security expert Fred Fleitz [notes](#):

Only two intelligence entities - the Office of the Director of National Intelligence (DNI) and the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) - have weighed in on this issue, not 17 intelligence agencies [as Hillary Clinton had claimed]. And what they said was ambiguous about Russian involvement. An unclassified October 7, 2016 joint DNI-DHS statement on this issue said the hacks

“... are consistent with the methods and motivations of Russian-directed efforts. These thefts and disclosures are intended to interfere with the US election process. Such activity is not new to Moscow — the Russians have used similar tactics and techniques across Europe and Eurasia, for example, to influence public opinion there. We believe, based on the scope and sensitivity of these efforts, that only Russia's senior-most officials could have authorized these activities.”

Saying we think the hacks “are consistent with the methods and motivations of Russian-directed efforts” is far short of saying we have evidence that Russia has been responsible for the hacks. Maybe high-level officials would have authorized them if Russian hackers were responsible, but the DNI and DHS statement did NOT say there was evidence Russia was responsible.

No, Hillary, 17 U.S. Intelligence Agencies *Did Not* Say Russia Hacked Dem E-mails

Headline: National Review

Indeed, the NSA executive who *created* the agency's mass surveillance program for digital information, who served as the *senior* technical director within the agency, who managed *six thousand* NSA employees, the 36-year NSA veteran widely regarded as a “legend” within the agency and the NSA's *best-ever* analyst and code-breaker, who mapped out the Soviet command-and-control structure before anyone else knew how, and so predicted Soviet invasions before they happened (“in the 1970s, he decrypted the Soviet Union's command

system, which provided the US and its allies with real-time surveillance of all Soviet troop movements and Russian atomic weapons”) - [says](#) that Russia probably would *not* have used a “known” hacking method to gather and then leak DNC emails to sway the election.

Instead - if it were Russia - they probably would have used a different, covert method, so people couldn’t see their fingerprints (like the U.S. did with the [Stuxnet hack](#)).

Moreover, Binney said that he thought the hack may have been conducted by an *NSA employee* who was upset at Clinton’s [careless handling of America’s most sensitive intelligence](#).

On the other hand, the head of the organization which leaked the emails to the press - Julian Assange of Wikileaks - not only [denied](#) that it was the Russians, but has [strongly](#) and [repeatedly](#) hinted that the hacker was a *DNC insider*.



Washington’s Blog asked NSA technical director Bill Binney about these two - NSA leaker and DNC leaker - and he explained:

Both are clear possibilities.

Also, there could be other governments, groups or individuals that hacked into HRC [i.e. Hillary Rodham Clinton] or the DNC and had the emails. Now the question is who sent them to Wikileaks? It could be any one of them or as Julian [Assange] somewhat implied that it was an insider in the DNC.

If the idiots in the intelligence community expect us to believe them after all the crap they have told us (like WMD’s in Iraq and “no we don’t collect data on millions or hundreds of millions of Americans”) then they need to give clear proof of what they say. So far, they have failed to prove anything.

Which suggests they don’t have proof and just want to war monger the US public into a second cold war with the Russians.

After all, there’s lots and lots of money in that for the military-industrial-intelligence-governmental complex of incestuous relationships.

We asked Binney:

What if the intelligence community spokespeople say “we can’t reveal the evidence we have that the Russians did it, because that would reveal our sources and methods?”

He responded:

If you recall, a few years ago they pointed to a specific building in China that was where hacks on the US were originating. So, let's see the same from the Russians. They don't have it. That's why they don't show it. They want to swindle us again and again and again. You can not trust these intelligence agencies period. (And [see this.](#))



The former intelligence analyst, British Ambassador to Uzbekistan, and chancellor of the University of Dundee, Craig Murray, [wrote](#) last week:

I left Julian [Assange] after midnight. He is fit, well, sharp and in good spirits. WikiLeaks never reveals or comments upon its sources, but as I published before a fortnight ago, I can tell you with 100% certainty that it is not any Russian state actor or proxy that gave the Democratic National Committee and Podesta material to WikiLeaks. The claim is nonsense. Journalists are also publishing that these were obtained by "hacking" with no evidence that this was the method used to obtain them.

[We'll update this post with a quote from Murray as soon as we reach him.]

Remember also that the intelligence official - [DNI Director Clapper](#) - who pushed the claim that the Russian might be behind the hack guy who started [is a documented liar](#) and political hack.

In any event, if Russia *did* hack the DNC emails, what does it actually *mean*? Well, the former head of both the CIA *and* NSA [said](#):

I have to admit my definition of what the Russians did [in alleging hacking the Democratic National Committee] is, unfortunately, honorable state espionage.

A foreign intelligence service getting the internal emails of a major political party in a major foreign adversary? Game on. That's what we do.

By the way, I would not want to be in an American court of law and be forced to deny that I never did anything like that as director of the NSA.

emphasis added

In other words, even if Russia *was* the source of the DNC emails, the U.S. has done the same exact thing.

The original source of this article is [Washington's Blog](#)
Copyright © [Washington's Blog](#), [Washington's Blog](#), 2016

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Washington's Blog](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca