

Dangerous Thought Control from The World Economic Forum. Are Globalism and Transhumanism the “Inevitable Destiny” of Humanity?

By [Elizabeth Woodworth](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

Global Research, January 18, 2023

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author’s name.

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Why are so many people worried about the World Economic Forum?

Every few seconds the trending Twitter hashtags [#NoNewWorldOrder](#), [#ResistTheWEF](#), [#WEFIsEvil](#), [#Anti-WorldEconomicForum](#), and [#WEFPuppets](#) echo citizen concern.

These forebodings from ordinary people are signalling danger for our world.

Little wonder. The WEF, while failing to address the major problems facing humanity, is normalizing our minds to the Brave New World of centralized financial control and a transhuman makeover.

This quest to redesign humanity and its future is being carried out through a directed influence on our very perception of what is right, real, and true.

Understanding this surreptitious thought control will equip us to recognize and resist the WEF’s disturbing rise to functionally worthless power.

Introduction: What has the World Economic Forum actually achieved to help humanity?

Since 1971, elite thought-leaders have gathered in Davos, Switzerland to share ideas about redesigning the world through new values and new data technologies.

Yet the WEF has failed to take effective action to reverse the three most destructive policies currently harming humanity:

- Failure to transition the \$5.9 trillion in annual global fossil fuel subsidies to renewable energy (cited by the IMF for 2020[i]);
- Unscrupulous failure to challenge the coordinated suppression of proven early

- Covid treatments[ii] to save untold lives;
- Failure to constrain the wholesale printing of national currencies to shore up the dangerously indebted Western economy – while instead promoting centralized digital currencies.

Each of these failures will be briefly summarized in Part I, followed by classic, traditional solutions in Part II.

Part III will explore the question, “Is WEF’s Globalism the Inevitable Destiny for Humanity?” in context of the threat of centralized technology wresting power from our democracies.

PART I: WEF Mission Statement, Composition, and Failures to Act

WEF Mission Statement

The WEF has no goal-oriented mission statement.

From its website, under *Our Mission*, is the self-congratulatory, non-specific rhetoric:

The World Economic Forum is the International Organization for Public-Private Cooperation.

The Forum engages the foremost political, business, cultural and other leaders of society to shape global, regional and industry agendas.

It is independent, impartial and not tied to any special interests. The Forum strives in all its efforts to demonstrate entrepreneurship in the global public interest while upholding the highest standards of governance. Moral and intellectual integrity is at the heart of everything it does.

Our activities are shaped by a unique institutional culture founded on the stakeholder theory, which asserts that an organization is accountable to all parts of society. The institution carefully blends and balances the best of many kinds of organizations, from both the public and private sectors, international organizations and academic institutions.

We believe that progress happens by bringing together people from all walks of life who have the drive and the influence to make positive change.[iii]

Under its letterhead appear the words, “Committed to Improving the State of the World.” (a play on words: “State of the World” globalism)

WEF Composition

The World Economic Forum is a non-profit Swiss-based NGO founded in 1971 by German engineer and economist, Klaus Schwab. Schwab draws an annual salary of about one million Swiss francs from the WEF, which does not pay taxes.[iv]



It is more than 60% funded by 121 corporate “Strategic Partners”, which include large oil, automotive, pharmaceutical, media, tech companies, and banks.[v]

It has succeeded, over 50 years, in becoming the control center of the West’s financial-political complex. It started by bringing large corporations together, then national leaders, and later the invited major media.

It has infiltrated many Western governments through its Young Global Leaders programme.

Academia, which was the basis of the first conference in 1971, has been marginalised to the smallest participant group – according to *The Economist* – which also reported that of the 2,622 invitees to Davos, Switzerland in 2014, “just 15% are women. Two-thirds are from Western countries representing just 12% of the world’s population. Some 60% are from business, and 14% from government. The 46 presidents and prime ministers represent 1.8 billion of the world’s 8 billion people.[vi]

Three Critical Failures to Act

1. The failure to transition the \$5.9 trillion in annual global fossil fuel subsidies to renewable energy

Although WEF founder Klaus Schwab’s introductory article on the “great reset,” published in June 2020, briefly mentioned “the withdrawal of fossil fuel subsidies,”[vii] a plan scheduling the withdrawal of subsidies has yet to be announced.

Instead, the WEF website page, “What’s the World Economic Forum Doing about Climate Change,” urges better consumer choices, more informed consumers, and a 2017 admonition to “Speed up Action on Climate Change” with “bold action” collaboration from civil society.[viii]

Unfortunately, the vested interests of the Davos banking, oil, automotive, pharma, and media elite do not lie in the direction of renewable energy as long as the fossil fuel energy system owes its existence to the heavy taxation of earth’s peoples.

2. The unscrupulous failure to challenge the coordinated suppression of proven early treatments for Covid-19

Before reading further, please glance briefly at [this astonishing home page](#) showing over 2000 early Covid treatment studies.

From the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic, which according to the World Health

Organization kills only 0.23% of those infected,[ix] enormous fear and panic were fuelled by the hourly drumbeat of a “one-voice” media, claiming that “delivery will come only with a vaccine.”[x]

The WEF is strongly pro-vaccine, having maintained an active vaccination news page since September, 2017.[xi]

In January, 2017, the Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations (CEPI)[xii], a global initiative to fight epidemics, was launched at the WEF in Davos.

Funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and the Wellcome Trust, plus several European governments, CEPI secures vaccines for global emergencies and pandemics.[xiii] Public, private, and philanthropic contributions to CEPI were \$2.3 billion in 2021.[xiv]

Very early in the Covid outbreak, from January 21-24, 2020 - *with a total global case number of 274 people, and total loss of life from the virus at 16*[xv] - CEPI met at Davos with leaders from Moderna to establish plans for a Covid-19 vaccine.[xvi]

The World Health Organization declared a global health emergency 6 days later, on January 30.[xvii]

The first year of Covid (2020), while awaiting vaccine development, major public health agencies, including WHO and the US-based National Institutes of Health, CDC, and FDA, ignored and discouraged the use of 80%-effective, cheap, safe and abundant repurposed-drugs for the early treatment of Covid-19.[xviii]

Meanwhile, these drugs were being prescribed off-label with remarkable success by frontline doctors in Europe and America. To illustrate, Santa Monica cardiologist Dr. Dan Wohlgelernter said in a June 18, 2020 interview:

“I’ve prescribed it [hydroxychloroquine]...recommended it to people...had conversations with physicians literally around the globe...and I’ve read the literature extensively. Hydroxychloroquine definitely has a role; that role is specific. It’s an antiviral agent that is effective in early stages of infection; when used in that context it is effective and it is safe.[xix]

In May, 2020, well-known Yale epidemiologist Dr. Harvey Risch reported that “HCQ + AZ[ithromycine] has been widely misrepresented in both clinical reports and public media...and should be made widely available and promoted immediately for physicians to prescribe”.[xx] Amazingly, his article in the top-ranked *American Journal of Epidemiology* never made the media.



Although hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin had both been on the WHO list of essential medicines for decades, the only officially sanctioned treatment option throughout 2020 was to sicken at home until difficult breathing required going to emergency.

The vaccinating of earth's eight billion people began in late December, 2020. A tragic story unfolded in January, 2021, when the disease prevention organization, Unitaid,[xxi] influenced a British virologist *not* to medically publish the positive findings[xxii] of his meta-analysis of Ivermectin efficacy.

The researcher, Liverpool virologist Dr. Andrew Hill, had been seeking a global recommendation on Ivermectin - which at that point could have saved an estimated 500,000 lives in the ensuing weeks - but under pressure he suddenly downplayed his recommendation and revised his conclusions. That he or his university was probably compensated is documented by a fellow researcher.[xxiii] [xxiv]

The drug industry had won. Not a single major health agency has challenged the suppression of the cheap and effective drugs[xxv] hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin.

Indeed, the WEF added to the suppression of ivermectin in August, 2022 by publishing an article about its "fake research":

"Ivermectin, an antiparasitic drug that is typically used in veterinary medicine and that was promoted by some without evidence as a treatment for COVID-19, was widely embraced in some parts of the world. However, after ruling out fake or flawed studies, a systematic review of research on ivermectin found that it had "no beneficial effects for people with COVID-19." [xxvi]

3. The failure to discourage the wholesale printing of national currencies to shore up the Western burden of debt

In Davos, Switzerland, on January 24, 2020, six days before WHO declared a global Covid emergency, the WEF announced:

"Today, the World Economic Forum announces the first global consortium focused on designing a framework for the governance of digital currencies, including stablecoins - the Global Consortium for Digital Currency Governance."

Executive chairman Klaus Schwab said, "...we hope that hosting this consortium will catalyse the conversations necessary to inform a robust framework of governance for global digital currencies." [xxvii]

Why did the WEF make this announcement at this time?

In May, 2022, as Covid concern was winding down, Ellen Brown, American attorney and president of the Public Banking Institute, described a situation that had been developing for years:

"When the Fed bailed out Wall Street banks following the Great Financial Crisis of 2008-09 with quantitative easing [i.e., increasing the money supply]...quantitative easing did not fix the debt buildup, which today has again reached unsustainable levels.

As of March, 2022 the US federal government has a cumulative debt burden of \$133.38

trillion... European countries have 44 trillion euros in unfunded pensions, and there is no source of funds to meet these obligations...The concern is that when people realize that the social security and pension systems they have paid into for their entire working lives are bankrupt, they will take to the streets and chaos will reign.”[xxviii]

This precarious situation – which was made considerably worse by the global lockdowns used to combat a virus that kills only 0.23% of infected people[xxix] – may have influenced the WEF’s announcement re the globalization of digital currencies.

Progress towards a global digital currency system has been greatly aided by two years of digital vaccine certificates and mandates recommended by the WHO, CDC, and FDA, and vigorously pursued in countries where the leaders had been schooled and infiltrated by the World Economic Forum Global Leaders Program (Australia, Britain, Canada, France, Germany, Netherlands, New Zealand).

One analyst summarized the downsides of a digital currency:

“Given the ubiquity of credit and debit cards, payment apps and other online payment systems, digital money has been bound to happen for some time. The risk isn’t the electronic part, that’s inevitable – it’s the fact that a central bank will oversee the digital currency...It’s impossible to overstate the risk presented by CBDC. Whether it’s a utopian vision based on good intentions or a sinister plot to crush our sovereignty, the result may be the same: control. A Central Bank Digital Currency has all the downsides of fiat money, plus the added layers of surveillance and programmability overseen by the state.”[xxx]

The movement towards digital currencies does not stop with individual central banks. In October, 2022, following an 18-month experiment on technologies and currencies, the financial messaging system SWIFT laid out a blueprint for a global central bank digital currency network.[xxxi]

Meanwhile, the WEF has declined to address the critical issue of national debts.

PART TWO: Working Toward National and Intergovernmental Democratic Solutions

To address the failed policies above, three approaches to action could be planned immediately and cooperatively by sovereign democratic nations under an *intergovernmental public, non-corporate* umbrella:

1. Schedule a redirection of the \$5.9 trillion in global subsidies away from the fossil fuel industry towards renewable energy

A global transition to responsible energy financing suggests an approach such as the ancient Public Trust Doctrine (PTD), which requires government stewardship of “the common wealth” – the natural resources upon which society depends for the benefit of existing and future generations.

A trust is a fiduciary relationship that imposes on trustees a duty to act for the benefit of beneficiaries. In the Public Trust Doctrine, government acts as a trustee, with the management accountability similar to that of an estate or investment account.

In her book “Nature’s Trust,” Professor Mary Christina Wood of the University of Oregon wrote:

“The *res* of Nature’s Trust consists of ecological assets, natural wealth that must sustain all foreseeable future generations of humanity. It amounts to humanity’s survival account – the only one it has. Government trustees must protect trust resources for the benefit of present and future generations.”[xxxii]

The Atmospheric Trust Litigation (ATL) attempts to do this. It “‘simply applies the public trust doctrine to the atmosphere,’ says Wood. This doctrine concerns ‘resources that the public relies on for its very survival,’ and ‘the atmosphere certainly qualifies.’”[xxxiii]

The international PTD movement is counting on domestic judiciaries to play their role. Prof. Wood explains further:

“As a legal doctrine, the public trust compels protection of those ecological assets necessary for public survival and community welfare. The judicial role is to compel the political branches to meet their fiduciary obligation through whatever measures and policies they choose, as long as such measures sufficiently reduce carbon emissions within the required time frame.”[xxxiv]

It is hoped that judiciaries around the world will do this in their own countries as a support to the international treaty system – especially with regard to Atmospheric Trust Litigation.”

Transnational public trusts could urge a global transition of fossil fuel subsidies to renewables at 7-8%/year for 10 years until the transition is complete. Working together through intergovernmental organizations, national governments could schedule a transfer of fossil fuel subsidies to non-resource-extracting nuclear, geothermal, and tidal energy projects.

The main thing is to devise a framework for the Public Trust Doctrine and the Atmospheric Trust Doctrine, promoting the two ancient doctrines as the philosophical and legal bases for earth management.

2. Reform major public health agencies to use repurposed-drugs for saving lives

With regard to the long-recognized[xxxv] efficacy of a cheap, safe, early treatment for Covid-19 during the first week (pre-pneumonia phase) of the illness, it is astonishing that none of the now largely corporate-funded, but originally fully tax-supported public health agencies, ever referred to the early treatment efficacy of hydroxychloroquine[xxxvi] – even though it was championed by the most downloaded article in the history of the *American Journal of Medicine*,[xxxvii] written by 23 authors and published August 6, 2020 – *before the Covid vaccines arrived*.



Nor did the vaccine-oriented WEF ever refer to the treatment efficacy of hydroxychloroquine or ivermectin.

Throughout the pandemic, the mainstream media regularly disparaged hydroxychloroquine and ivermectin, while social media accounts were suspended if either of these drugs – both on the WHO list of essential medicines – were mentioned in relation to Covid-19.

How are we to prevent a recurrence of this mind-numbing censorship? How are we to re-organize society when the crisis was exacerbated and prolonged by power, money, and propaganda?

In a superb podcast entitled “Collapse of the Public Health Narrative, and the Gathering Storm,”[xxxviii] evolutionary biologists Dr. Bret Weinstein and Dr. Chris Martenson consider the urgent need for social reform:

Dr. Weinstein: I am certain that the system must be reformed – that’s the only thing we can do. You cannot rebuild the system. You’re going to have to take the edifice and figure out how to make it function in spite of the fact that it is completely riddled with corruption of various kinds.

As the narrative comes apart, I don’t need to see Fauci punished...he has visited one of the most colossal catastrophes on planet Earth that we have ever seen...

Now we have also learned the names of many people who are willing to stand up to garbage narratives and tell us what we need to know rather than what we want to hear.

So there is an obvious solution but we’re going to watch everything thrown at the question of how to avoid it; *everything* will be thrown at the process of derailing an attempt to simply take the people who make sense and put them in positions where they can do good.

So my hope is that if we actually do manage to put decent people with the proper expertise in the roles at the heads of these organizations – yes, they have some bad apples but also a lot of decent people who haven’t been given a decent choice – and that maybe suggests that reform could work...

The post-Covid moment is critically important for reform:

Dr. Martenson: The fear that they have been putting out has been very contagious, but I’ve watched the opposite: courage can be just as contagious. And so it’s really important that the people who have that capability to be courageous do so...

This is the time for us to stand up and stand together as courageously as we can. It is

the biggest moment in history I'm aware of, so many things are going to be decided in the next few years.

Dr. Weinstein:

This is a truly global process that has unfolded... Something that can amplify a microscopic error into a global catastrophe, that tells you where we are and how much danger there is...

This is telling us that our lives - whether we like it or not - are overlapping a moment on which everything hangs. We are stuck with the responsibility of solving a problem no one has seen before and we have to get it right.

Dr. Martenson: I don't know that in our lifetimes we will get a better opportunity to have the conversation we need about civilizational collapse, which is looming, because our systems have stopped doing what they're supposed to do. So my greatest fear is waking up one day and Biden and Macron and all the other leaders are going say: this is just like the seasonal flu, it's endemic, we're done, and let's move on.

Why is that a fear? Because it means that we won't have the accounting that we need - they'll just try and slide past those failures. I think those failures need to be brought forward...because they're indicative of a larger system issue.

I think this is one of the most pivotal moments in human history... and the way we begin to address this is we have to have really open honest conversations where no ox is too sacred to gore - everything is on the table. We need all hands on deck...

Regarding health agency failure, Dr. Martenson recommends:

1. No more revolving doors. Working in any decision-making capacity at any health agency means zero money or employment from any related industry for a period of 10 years post exiting your position.
2. No more funding the FDA through pharma "fees."
3. Eliminate the so-called randomized control trial standard. Observational data is equally good if done right and ten times better than a scammed RCT.
4. Create a parallel body to the FDA which is equally funded and charged with using any combination of therapies or repurposed drugs to address any particular disease.[xxxix]

3. Follow classic fiscal management practices to rescue national currencies from debt

We know that national and global debt levels are unsustainably high. The following points show ways to restore responsible fiscal management to the present moment:

a) "When a central bank creates trillions of dollars out of thin air with no link to an underlying real asset, that dollar is nothing more than a symbol of broken faith rather than a store of genuine value. Since 1971, and when measured against a single milligram of gold, the USD, like all other fiat currencies, has lost greater than 95% of its value."[xi]

"Will a new currency system solve the problem? It may delay the inevitable, and it will certainly further centralize control, but it is unlikely to do much else. ...This once-in-a-

lifetime situation creates the perfect tailwinds for gold's eventual meteoric rise. Gold is fundamentally insurance against currency and bond market cataclysm, and nothing has changed its role as such.”[xli]

b) “The Norwegian Krone is perhaps one of the best examples of a real currency that correlates closest to its commodity assets.,,It is one of the largest exporters of oil globally with a high percentage of its overall economy reliant on natural gas and oil. This is the closest we have in the modern world of a system that works similar to the gold standard (other than gold itself) where countries buying power and reserves are related to the price of a commodity such as gold.

For comparison the US could sell all it's gold reserves and not be able to pay down even 5% of it's national debt. It has no wealth fund, and its gold reserves are less than 5% of it's GDP. Unlike Norway, the US government is essentially bankrupt.”[xlii]

c) For a survey of effective historical measures that have been used to restore fiscal balance following periods of massive debt, see the work of attorney and advocate for public banks, Ellen Brown.[xliii]

Instead of imposing the global WEF-promoted digital financial system, Brown's tried-and-true measures would allow sovereign nations to pursue and endure a responsible debt recovery period.

PART THREE: Is WEF's Globalism the Inevitable Destiny for Humanity?

More than 20 years ago, while discussing who was eligible for Davos, Klaus Schwab told *Forbes*: “Forget it if you're retired. Even if your former job was running France or General Electric, you must be in power. No oldies.”[xliv]

The impact of this power policy on the status of world democracy is astutely summarized by Nick Buxton of the Transnational Institute:

Less well known is the fact that WEF since 2009 has been working on an ambitious project called the Global Redesign Initiative, (GRI), which effectively proposes a transition away from intergovernmental decision-making towards a system of multi-stakeholder governance. In other words, by stealth, they are marginalising a recognised model where we vote in governments who then negotiate treaties which are then ratified by our elected representatives, with a model where a self-selected group of 'stakeholders' make decisions on our behalf.

Advocates of multi-stakeholder governance argue that governments and intergovernmental forums, such as the UN, are no longer efficient places for tackling increasingly complex global crises. The founder of WEF, Klaus Schwab, says “the sovereign state has become obsolete”.[xlv]

Schwab is an engineer. In the three years (1967-70) before the World Economic Forum was founded in 1971, he was on the board of directors of the Sulzer industrial giant,[xlvi] which during his tenure illegally helped to develop six South African thermonuclear weapons.[xlvii]

Now Schwab is trying to replace the “obsolete” democratic constitutions that have been in force for hundreds of years with a digital-based global model that offers no constitutional protection for the citizens of self-governing nations.

Worse yet is that the WEF supports high-tech efforts to redesign the human being. For example, Young Global Leader Justin Trudeau's Canadian government has quietly developed an extensive bio-digital convergence initiative headed by a director general.[xlvi]

In 2021, an analyst from the Spanish Republic identified the authoritarian role of thought control ("a new rationality") during the Covid epidemic:

The global management of the coronavirus crisis is completely modifying citizens' relationship to both science and the public sphere of life, two social spaces that are fundamental to the survival of modern democratic republicanism...Science and the public sphere have been subjected during the last year to the epistemic authoritarianism of algorithms and mathematical models.

This covert epistemic dictatorship aims to *naturalize the emergence of a new rationality that, in the name of a digital-algorithmic conception of truth, completely transforms our conceptions of the res publica*,[xlvii] and gives rise to a new political regime that we can call digital ultra-liberalism.

Inspired by the ideology of trans-humanism and technological singularity, digital ultra-liberalism imposes on us the idea that the evolution of the digital revolution is not subject to discussion or to republican control, but rather an inevitable destiny for humanity.[i]

Nothing could be more evil or dangerous than an "inevitable" new rationality - not subject to discussion - replacing the God-given perception of truth that has served humanity for centuries:|

"Truth resides in every human heart, and one has to search for it there, and to be guided by truth as one sees it." — Mahatma Gandhi

This is all we need to know to save our natural selves, our democracies, and our human destiny.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Elizabeth Woodworth is highly engaged in climate change science and activism. She has published 42 articles on Global Research, is co-author of "Unprecedented Climate Mobilization", "Unprecedented Crime: Climate Science Denial and Game Changers for Survival," and co-producer of the COP21 video "A Climate Revolution For All." She is author of the popular handbook on nuclear weapons activism, "What Can I Do?" and the novel, "The November Deep". For 25 years, she served as head medical librarian for the BC Government. She holds a BA from Queen's and a Library Sciences Degree from UBC.

She is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Notes

[i] IMF, "Fossil Fuel Subsidies," (<https://www.imf.org/en/Topics/climate-change/energy-subsidies>).

- [ii] COVID-19 early treatment: real-time analysis of 2,429 studies (<https://c19early.org/>).
- [iii] <https://www.weforum.org/about/world-economic-forum>
- [iv] <https://www.srf.ch/news/schweiz/geld-fuer-sicherheit-am-wef-knurrende-zustimmung-vom-staenderat-zu-wef-geldern>
- [v] <https://www.weforum.org/communities/strategic-partnership-b5337725-fac7-4f8a-9a4f-c89072b96a0d#P> and WEF *Annual Report*, 2021-2022, Revenue and Costs, p. 88 (https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Annual_Report_2021_22.pdf.)
- [vi] “Who’s on the Magic Mountain?” *The Economist*, 23 January 2014 (<https://www.economist.com/international/2014/01/23/whos-on-the-magic-mountain>).
- [vii] Klaus Schwab. “Now is the time for a ‘great reset,’” 3 June 2020 (<https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2020/06/now-is-the-time-for-a-great-reset/>).
- [viii] <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2017/08/accelerating-climate-action/>
- [ix] Ioannidis J. “The infection fatality rate of COVID-19 inferred from seroprevalence data,” *Bull World Health Organ.*, Epub Oct. 14, 2020 (<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33716331/>).
- [x] Laurent Mucchielli, “How is built the ‘legitimate information’ on the Covid crisis,” UMR 7305, CNRS and Aix-Marseille University, April 2020 (<https://www.mediterranee-infection.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/MS-Mucchielli.pdf>). *Translation from French.*
- [xi] <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/vaccination?page=14>
- [xii] <https://cepi.net/>
- [xiii] Donald G. McNeil Jr., “Donors and Drug Makers Offer \$500 Million to Control Global Epidemics,” *The New York Times*, 18 January, 2017 (<https://www.nytimes.com/2017/01/18/health/partnership-epidemic-preparedness.html>.)
- [xiv] CEPI. “Board of Directors’ Report and Annual Accounts, 2021 (<https://cepi.net/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/2021-CEPI-Annual-BoD-Report-and-Annual-Accounts.pdf>).
- [xv] *WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19) Dashboard*. covid19.who.int. Retrieved 9 September 2020. (Evidence is Footnote #86 at https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/World_Economic_Forum.)
- [xvi] *What we know about the Wuhan coronavirus and urgent plans to develop a vaccine for it*. 24 January 2020 (<https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2020/01/wuhan-coronavirus-china-cepi-vaccine-davos/>).
- [xvii] Andrew Joseph, “WHO declares coronavirus outbreak a global health emergency,” *STAT News*, 30 January 2020 (<https://www.statnews.com/2020/01/30/who-declares-coronavirus-outbreak-a-global-health-emergency/>).
- [xviii] Earlytreatment.com

[xix] "SECOND OPINION: Doctors Discuss the Politicization of Hydroxychloroquine," June 18, 2020, 0:14 min. (https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=7&v=m_Jlz780i5w&feature=emb_logo).

[xx] "Using Hydroxychloroquine and Other Drugs to Fight Pandemic," *Yale School of Public Health Newsletter*, 01 June 2020 (<https://publichealth.yale.edu/news-article/25085/>).

[xxi] "Unitaid collaborates with global health partners and governments to: identify shortcomings in the global response" (<https://unitaid.org/how-we-work/#en>); In 2017: <https://unitaid.org/news-blog/unitaid-hails-new-us-50-million-contribution-bill-melinda-gates-foundation/#en>.

[xxii] Dr. Andrew Hill reported his findings on January 19, 2021, to the *Financial Times*, "Cheap antiparasitic could cut chance of Covid-19 deaths by up to 75%," January 19, 2021 (<http://web.archive.org/web/20210119230658/https://www.ft.com/content/e7cb76fc-da98-4a31-9c1f-926c58349c84>).

[xxiii] World Council for Health, "Dear Andy," March (<https://worldcouncilforhealth.org/multimedia/dear-andy-dr-tess-lawrie/>, 4 March 2022, 18:53 min.) Dr. Tess Lawrie recites a letter to Dr. Andrew Hill and asks him what made him turn his back on a potential cure for Covid-19. The tweets that Dr. Hill erased are shown. Link includes the transcript.

[xxiv] "Four days before the publication of Hill's revised study, Kennedy says, Unitaid gave \$40 million to the University of Liverpool." Neville Hodgkinson, "The vaccine gold rush and the damning ivermectin tape," *The Conservative Woman*, 2 December, 2021 (<https://www.conservativewoman.co.uk/the-vaccine-gold-rush-and-the-damning-ivermectin-tape/>).

[xxv] COVID-19 early treatment: real-time analysis of 2,429 studies (<https://c19early.org/>).

[xxvi] World Economic Forum, "Sorting fact from fiction: how to spot fake research which can harm your health," 23 August 2022 (<https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2022/08/fake-research-science-study>).

[xxvii] World Economic Forum, "Governing the Coin: World Economic Forum Announces Global Consortium for Digital Currency Governance," January 24, 2020 (<https://www.weforum.org/press/2020/01/governing-the-coin-world-economic-forum-announces-global-consortium-for-digital-currency-governance>).

[xxviii] Ellen Brown, "A Monetary Reset Where the Rich Don't Own Everything," *Web of Debt*, 5 May 2022 (<https://ellenbrown.com/2022/05/05/a-monetary-reset-where-the-rich-dont-own-everything/>).

[xxix] Ioannidis J. "The infection fatality rate of COVID-19 inferred from seroprevalence data," *Bull World Health Organ.*, Epub Oct. 14, 2020 (<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33716331/>).

[xxx] Joshua Stylman, "CBDC: How Covid Became the Path to Global Financial Surveillance," *The Daily Sceptic*, 18 November 2022 (<https://dailysceptic.org/2022/11/18/cbdc-how-covid-became-the-path-to-global-financial-surveillance/>).

[xxxi] Marc Jones, "SWIFT sets out blueprint for central bank digital currency network," *Reuters*, 5 October 2022 (<https://www.reuters.com/technology/swift-sets-out-blueprint-central-bank-digital-currency-network-2022-10-05/>).

[xxxii] Mary Christina Wood, "Nature's Trust: Environmental Law for a New Ecological Age," Cambridge

University Press, 2014, p. 143.

[xxxiii] Fen Montaigne, “A Legal Call to Arms to Remedy Environment and Climate Ills,” *Yale Environment 360*, 2 January 2014.

[xxxiv] Mary Christina Wood, “Atmospheric Trust Litigation Across the World,” In: Charles Sampford, et al., *Fiduciary Duty and the Atmospheric Trust*, Routledge, 2012, 112.

[xxxv] Martin J. Vincent, et al., “Chloroquine is a potent inhibitor of SARS coronavirus infection and spread,” *J. Virol.*, 22 August 2005 (<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16115318/>).

[xxxvi] “HCQ for COVID-19: Real time meta-analysis of 376 studies,” 28 November 2022 (<https://c19hcq.org/>).

[xxxvii] Peter McCullough, et al., “Pathophysiological Basis and Rationale for Early Outpatient Treatment of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) Infection,” *American Journal of Medicine*, 06 August 2020 ([https://www.amjmed.com/article/S0002-9343\(20\)30673-2/fulltext](https://www.amjmed.com/article/S0002-9343(20)30673-2/fulltext)).

[xxxviii] “Bret Speaks with Chris Martenson – Collapse of the Public Health Narrative, & the Gathering Storm,” 22 January 2022 (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aOT6nzzKrO8&list=PLjQ2gC-5yHEug8_VK8ve0oDSJLoIU4b93&t=5533s).

[xxxix] Dr. Chris Martenson, private communication to author, 7 December, 2022.

[xli] Matthew Piepenburg, “Modern American Policy: Stupid or Sinister?” 10 August 2022 (<https://goldswitzerland.com/modern-american-policy-stupid-or-sinister/>).

[xlii] Matthew Piepenburg, “A New Currency System in Emerging,” 27 September 2021 (<https://goldswitzerland.com/matthew-piepenburg-a-new-currency-system-is-emerging/>).

[xliii] “What Currencies are Backed by Gold? (2022 Update),” Greenery Financial (<https://greeneryfinancial.com/gold-backed-currency/>).

[xliv] Ellen Brown: “A Monetary Reset Where the Rich Don’t Own Everything, Part I,” Web of Debt, 5 May 2022 (<https://ellenbrown.com/2022/05/05/a-monetary-reset-where-the-rich-dont-own-everything/>); “A Reset that Serves the People, Part II, Web of Dept, 19 May 2022 (<https://ellenbrown.com/2022/05/19/a-reset-that-serves-the-people/>).

[xlv] *Forbes*, “Power Broker,” 15 November 1999 (<https://www.forbes.com/global/1999/1115/0223108a.html?sh=282a91147e11>).

[xlvi] Nick Buxton, “Davos and its Danger to Democracy,” Transnational Institute, 18 January 2016 (<https://www.tni.org/en/article/davos-and-its-danger-to-democracy>).

[xlvii] <https://www.weforum.org/about/klaus-schwab>

[xlviii] Johnny Vedmore, “Nazi Industrialism, Technocracy, Social Engineering: A History of Klaus Schwab’s Family Values,” *SOTT: Signs of the Times*, 28 February 2021 (<https://www.sott.net/article/449477-Nazi-Industrialism-Technocracy-Social-Engineering-A-History-of-Klaus-Schwabs-Family-Values>).

[xlix] <https://horizons.gc.ca/en/2020/02/11/exploring-biodigital-convergence/>

[xlix] *Res publica* means public affairs

[1] My italics. David Souto Alcalde, "The Covid-19 Crisis and the Emergence of Digital Ultra-Liberalism. An Approach to Republicanism From Polysystem Theory," in: *Circuits in Motion: Polysystem Theory and the Analysis of Culture*," David Souto, Aiora Sampedro, and Jon Kortazar, eds., Universidad des Pais Vasco, 2021 (<https://webargitalpena.adm.ehu.es/pdf/USPDF212888.pdf>.)

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Elizabeth Woodworth](#), Global Research, 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Elizabeth Woodworth](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca