

# Cuba's Nobel Nomination and Baldwin's Call to "Begin Again"

By [Prof Susan Babbitt](#)

Global Research, July 27, 2020

Region: [Latin America & Caribbean](#)

Theme: [History](#)

*When an event is unexplained, it can't be repeated. Cuba's astonishing internationalism, the "good news" of the pandemic, is talked about (outside Cuba) as if a miracle, without cause. Support grows for the Nobel Prize nomination but the justification for the Henry Reeve Brigade, established in 2005, is left out. The explanation is ideas.*

It is urgent according to Eddie Glaude in a new book on James Baldwin.<sup>[i]</sup> Well, he doesn't exactly say that. But for Baldwin, "what kind of human beings we aspire to be" is most important and the explanation for Cuba's success is precisely that.

In *Zona Roja*, Enrique Ubieta Gómez says Cuban medical workers – fighting Ebola in 2014 — know about existence: We exist interdependently. Ubieta describes Cuban internationalism as an "inescapable ethic". Once you've lived it, you cannot *not* live it.

You know human connection – a fact of science – and you learn its energy.

Ubieta's explanation is existential. Baldwin used similar language. In 1963, he wrote, "Perhaps the whole root of our trouble, the human trouble, is that we ... imprison ourselves ... to deny the fact of death, which is the only fact we have." Glaude supports Baldwin's call to "begin again", with the "America idea", shedding its "old ideas". He might look South. Latin American *independistas* raised precisely Baldwin's question: how to resist the "lie at the heart of the [imperialist] nation" when it is about "love, life and death", that is, everything.

Truth is not enough. If Galileo had just provided truths, he wouldn't have been condemned. Galileo became threatening when he made those truths plausible with a larger picture of "cosmic humility", contradicting the establishment's comforting identity. One thing we might learn from Galileo, according to astrophysicist Mario Livio in a new book, is that he didn't just observe truths and tell stories about them. His "phenomenal capacity for abstraction" let him see where those truths led.<sup>[ii]</sup>

Truths are easy when unexplained. Consider Olga Tokarczuk's *Flights*. It gives truth about people traveling everywhere "escaping their own lives, and then being safely escorted right back to them".<sup>[iii]</sup> We see people running through airports with "flushed red faces, their straw hats and souvenir drums and masks and shell necklaces". All this "moving around in a chaotic fashion ... [to] increase their likelihood" of being in the "right place at the right time" even has meaning. A "travel psychologist" explains that such chaos "appears to call into question the existence of a self understood non-relationally".

It is funny to expect deeper meaning regarding people "moving around in a chaotic fashion" to increase their likelihood of being in the right place at the right time from a "travel

psychologist” at an airport between flights. We laugh because we do in fact expect that, absurdly.

We get truth from *Flights* but it’s dismissible. Annushka, for instance, escapes her unbearable life : to “go, sway, walk, run, take flight”. She finds happiness when “she does not have a single thought in her head, a single care, a single expectation or hope.” She’s “happy”, free of her identity, her life, her responsibilities. But she is also cold, hungry, dirty, alone, tired, and homeless. The image is silly.

In fact, the idea underlying it is silly, namely, that to have no thoughts, you should have no identity, no responsibilities. It’s as pervasive as friction, from which Galileo abstracted to get truth about inertia. In fact, to be happy with no expectations or hope, as Annushka is, is not silly. But understanding how that is so requires a “phenomenal capacity for abstraction” from social expectations.

*Flights* doesn’t do that. It responds to an expectation identified by Cuban philosopher and diplomat Raúl Roa in 1953 as the “world’s gravest crisis”.<sup>[iv]</sup> It was indeed the “America idea”: Human beings imprisoned in discrete selves, defined by action and results. It is not humanist, as claimed, Roa argues, because it omits “the fact of death”, as Baldwin recognized. There were “few dissenters” to the “man of action” during the Renaissance, and Roa saw there would now be none because of US power.

Baldwin tried to escape that power by living outside the US. He struggled with what it had “made of him”. But “American power follows one everywhere”.

Emily Dickinson, “the greatest poet in the English language”, abstracts from expectations *Flights* dignifies. According to biographer Martha Ackman, Dickinson lived as *if* busyness and travel is *not* progress.<sup>[v]</sup> She never apologized for, nor defended, the priority she gave to silence and solitude. As result, we get truth from her poetry: about what it means to be human. For, she was in fact *not detached* from a world she never visited physically or had any desire to.

She lived as *if* isolation and detachment are not synonymous. But to know where this leads, you must abstract from the “America idea” that equates human worth and utility. Comfortably, though, Dickinson is odd — “America’s most enigmatic and mysterious poet”- and her way of life therefore dismissible.

*Lord of all the Dead*, like *Flights*, leaves comforting “old ideas” in place.<sup>[vi]</sup> Javier Cercas tells the story of his great-uncle who fought a “useless war” for Franko. His memoir does give truth but doesn’t explain it, so his story, which for him is *just* a story, cannot itself explain, and is dismissible.

Achilles in *The Odyssey* is “lord of all the dead” because he died young and beautiful, and gained immortality. That his great uncle was “politically mistaken, there’s no doubt.” But was he a human failure? Cercas’ answer is no. At one level, Cercas rejects the Greeks’ ideal of “beautiful death” because it denies the existential reality of decrepitude: There is no escaping it. But on the other hand, Cercas assumes the separation of mind and body that makes “beautiful death” worth speculating about: the idea that the *body* decays and that the mind somehow escapes nature’s universal laws of causation.

He ends the book speculating about immortality. Nobody dies, he writes. We’re just

transformed, physically. He himself, at book's end, is in the "eternal present". It doesn't explain what needs to be explained, given the real story of this book which is what Cercas calls the "silent wake of hatred, resentment and violence left over by the war". The "silent wake" is explained by ignorance precisely of shared humanity Cercas names but doesn't explain. It is decrepitude: "the fact of death".

It is known by every human being. Cercas tells a story about his great uncle but denies the significance of that story because he tells it with the "old ideas" in place, the ones Glaude says need to be shed, like "swaddling clothes" to "begin again" as Baldwin urged. Glaude is not sure it can happen. But it has happened. That's the "good news" about the Henry Reeve Medical brigade, if it were explained.

On Friday, March 20, Cuban president, Miguel Diaz-Canel, speaking nationally, outlined new measures to slow the pandemic. The good news, he said, is that Cuban people supported the decision to accept the Braemar, a UK cruise ship refused docking elsewhere because of infected passengers. A century ago, another ship sought aid from Cuba. Its passengers were Jews. It was turned away.

That, Diaz-Canel said, was before the Revolution. The good news was the expectation that the Braemar should be helped. *That expectation* is the success of the Cuban revolution. It explains the Henry Reeve Brigade. Expectations come from practises, from what is lived. Diaz-Canel then said, "one day the truth will be known." But what truth? It's not the truth that solidarity is good. No, the truth that will be known is not moral. Instead, it is what *that truth*- the moral one about solidarity — *does* existentially when acted upon, and lived, and why that matters in a global crisis.

Baldwin's humanism wasn't easy to understand. Glaude's thoughtful book goes some distance toward explaining. It's not clear, though, whether he knows the consequences. Bill V. Mullen, in a 2019 book, says Baldwin should be "understood the way we understand Fanon, García Marquez, Assata Shakur": They wrote outside the US, aware of imperialism.[vii]

It may be what it takes for Cuba to cease being a dismissible miracle.

\*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

## Notes

[i] *Begin Again: James Baldwin's America and its Urgent Lessons for Our Own* by Eddie Glaude Jr. (Penguin Random House, 2020). See review:

<https://www.nyjournalofbooks.com/book-review/begin-again-james-baldwins>

[ii] *Galileo and the Science Deniers* by Mario Livio (Simon and Schuster, 2020) 181

[iii] tr. Jennifer Croft (NY: Riverhead Books, 2017) 62

[iv] "Grandeza y servidumbre del humanismo", *Viento Sur* (Havana: Centro Cultural Pablo de la Torriente Brau, 2015) 44-62

[v] *These Fevered Days: Ten Pivotal Moments in the Making of Emily Dickinson* by Martha Ackman ( W.W. Norton & Company, 2020).

[vi] *Lord of all the Dead* by Javier Cercas, tr. Anne McLean (Alfred A Knopf, 2020). See review:<https://www.nyjournalofbooks.com/book-review/lord-all-dead>

[vii] *James Baldwin: Living in Fire* by Bill V. Mullen (Pluto Press: 2019) xv

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Prof Susan Babbitt](#), Global Research, 2020

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Prof Susan Babbitt](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)