

Criticism of Saudi Leadership Seeps Through Cracks as Report Questions Kingdom's Utility for Britain

By [James M. Dorsey](#)

Global Research, September 06, 2018

Region: [Europe, Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Media Disinformation](#),
[Police State & Civil Rights](#)

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above

Featured image: Saudi Prince Ahmed engages with protesters

*Signs of opposition to policies of Saudi **King Salman** and his son, **Crown Prince Mohammed bin Salman**, and potentially increased domestic polarization have in the past week spilled on to the streets of London while a just released report [questioned the economic and political benefits of Britain's relationship with the kingdom](#).*

The London incidents, involving [a brother of King Salman](#) as well as an [assault on a Saudi critic](#), suggest a long suspected greater degree of domestic questioning of Saudi Arabia's 3.5-year-old ill-fated war in Yemen than has been publicly evident until now.

The Salmans have sought to crush dissent with [mass arrests of activists, religious scholars, businessmen and members of the ruling Al Saud family](#); [a power and asset grab last November under the mum of an anti-corruption campaign that targeted some of Saudi elite's most prominent figures](#); and [legal measures criminalizing criticism](#).

Although focused on British-Saudi economic and political relations, the report by King's College London and the Oxford Research Group calls into question not only British but also by implication long-standing Western willingness to turn a blind eye to the kingdom's violations of human rights and its conduct of the Yemen war that has produced one of the worst humanitarian crises in post-World War Two history.

The London incidents coupled with increasing European questioning of arms sales to Saudi Arabia, including this week's [cancellation by Spain of the sale of 400 laser-guided precision bombs](#), suggests that Saudi Arabia is finding it more difficult to keep domestic dissent and international criticism under wraps. Spain follows in the footsteps of [Germany, Norway, the Netherlands and Belgium who have suspended some military sales](#).

The Spanish cancellation came on the heels of last month's Saudi-Canadian spat sparked by a call on Saudi Arabia by Canada's ambassador to the kingdom, **Dennis Horak**, to release detained women activists, including **Samar Badawi**, the sister-in-law of a recently naturalized Canadian citizen, **Ensaf Haidar**.



Raif Badawi (Source: Change.org)

Ms. Haidar is married to Ms. Badawi's brother, [Raif Badawi, who was arrested in 2012 and sentenced to ten years in prison and 1,000 lashes](#) for promoting freedom of expression and women's rights.

It also came in the wake of the [withdrawal of Malaysian troops](#) from the 41-nation, Saudi-sponsored Islamic Military Counter Terrorism Coalition (IMCTC) and the [closure in Malaysia of the Saudi-backed King Salman Centre for International Peace](#) (KSCIP).

In a [rare public distancing from the Salmans](#), Saudi **Prince Ahmed bin Abdelaziz** – one of the few still living sons of the founder of Saudi Arabia and a younger brother of King Salman, asked anti-Saudi protesters on a London street chanting

“down, down Al Saud” and “Al Saud criminal family”: “What does the al-Saud family have to do with your chants? We have nothing to do with what is happening (in Yemen). Certain officials are responsible.”

Asked by protesters who he held responsible, Prince Ahmed, who served as deputy interior minister for 37 years and briefly as interior minister under King Salman's predecessor, **King Abdullah**, said [“the king and his heir apparent.”](#) a reference to King Salman and Prince Mohammed.

The state-run Saudi News Agency subsequently quoted Prince Ahmed as [seeking to roll back his comments captured on video](#) by saying that he said that “the King and the Crown Prince are responsible for the state and its decisions. This is true for the security and stability of the country and the people.”

Meanwhile, video on social media showed **Ghanem al-Dosari**, [who hosts a satirical show](#) on YouTube critical of Saudi Arabia, [being accosted by supporters of King Salman and Prince Mohammed](#).

In a bid to stymie criticism, Saudi prosecutors this week reportedly sought the death penalty against prominent cleric **Salman Al-Odah** who was detained a year ago.

“The Saudi attorney general [accused my father @salman_alodah of 37 charges and asked for his execution](#),” his son Abdullah said in a tweet.

He said some of the charges were related to comments Mr. Al-Odah had posted on Twitter and membership in organizations associated with Qatar and Qatari-Egyptian Islamic scholar **Sheikh Yousef al-Qaradawi**, who is close to the Muslim Brotherhood. Mr. Al-Odah has 14 million Twitter followers.

Prosecutors last month [demanded the death sentence for five human rights activists](#), including **Israa al-Ghomgham**, a Shiite activist arrested with her husband in 2015. Ms. Al-Ghomgham is thought to be the first female Saudi campaigner to face execution.

Applying a cost-benefit analysis, The Kings College/Oxford Research Group report concluded that, contrary to the projections of the government of **Prime Minister Theresa May** and popular perception, Britain enjoyed limited economic benefit from its relationship with Saudi Arabia while suffering considerable reputational damage.

The report noted that Britain's US\$ 8 billion in exports to Saudi Arabia accounted for a mere one percent of total exports in 2016. The British Treasury reaped US\$ 38.5 million in revenues from arms sales or a paltry 0.004 percent of the Treasury's total income in 2016. Overall, Britain's defense industry produced in 2010/11 only one percent of the country's total output and created a meagre 0.6 percent of all jobs.

The analysis stroked with the conclusion of a 2016 study by the Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI) and Campaign Against Arms Trade (CAAT) that

["arms exports cannot be said to represent an important part of the UK economy, and even less so of the labour market, despite the prominence of the 'jobs argument' amongst politicians and industry figures seeking to promote and defend arms exports."](#)

The King's College/Oxford Research report took issue with assertions by successive British governments that trade and weapons sales as well as support for Saudi Crown Prince Mohammed bin Salman's reform programme enabled Britain to influence Saudi policy and introduce democratic and human rights values.

"There is little evidence, based on publicly available information, that the UK exerts either influence or leverage over Saudi Arabia. In fact, there is greater evidence that Saudi Arabia exerts influence over the UK. There is a contradiction between the UK presenting itself as a progressive, liberal country and defender of the international rules-based order, while at the same time providing diplomatic cover for a regime, which, based on our analysis, is undermining that rules-based order," the report said.

It warned that

"the UK appears to be incurring reputational costs as a result of its relationship with Saudi Arabia, while the economic benefits to the UK are questionable."

The report's call on the British government to critically analyse its foreign policy and limit and be more selective and transparent in its engagement with Saudi Arabia could constitute an approach that would appeal to other European governments.

It could also attract support from some members of the US Congress, despite US **President Donald J. Trump**'s backing of Saudi policies, with public criticism of the kingdom mounting in Europe and the United States as well as growing unease among some officials and politicians.

Saudi Arabia "is a case study in what happens when a country's supposed economic interests come into conflict with its stated norms and values and its international obligations. [The situation cannot carry on indefinitely,](#)" said **Armida van Rij**, one of the report's authors.

*

This article was originally published on the author's blog site: [The Turbulent World of Middle](#)

[East Soccer.](#)

Dr. James M. Dorsey is a senior fellow at the S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies, co-director of the University of Würzburg's Institute for Fan Culture, and co-host of the [New Books in Middle Eastern Studies](#) podcast. James is the author of [The Turbulent World of Middle East Soccer](#) blog, a [book](#) with the same title and a co-authored volume, [Comparative Political Transitions between Southeast Asia and the Middle East and North Africa](#) as well as [Shifting Sands, Essays on Sports and Politics in the Middle East and North Africa](#) and just published [China and the Middle East: Venturing into the Maelstrom](#). He is a frequent contributor to *Global Research*.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [James M. Dorsey](#), Global Research, 2018

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[James M. Dorsey](#)**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca