

"Crisis is an Opportunity": Engineering a Global Depression to Create a Global Government

By Andrew Gavin Marshall

Global Research, October 26, 2010

26 October 2010

Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Global Economy</u>

The following is a sample from an forthcoming book by Andrew Gavin Marshall on 'Global Government', Global Research Publishers, Montreal. For more by this author on the issue of the economic crisis and global governance, see the recently-released book by Global Research "The Global Economic Crisis: The Great Depression of the XXI Century," Michel Chossudovsky and Andrew Gavin Marshall, (Editors), in which the author contributed three chapters on the history of central banking, the rise of a global currency and global central bank, and the political economy of global government.

Problem, Reaction, Solution: "Crisis is an Opportunity"

In May of 2010, Dominique Strauss-Kahn, Managing Director of the IMF, stated that, "crisis is an opportunity," and called for "a new global currency issued by a global central bank, with robust governance and institutional features," and that the "global central bank could also serve as a lender of last resort." However, he stated, "I fear we are still very far from that level of global collaboration."[1] Well, perhaps not so far as it might seem.

The notion of global governance has taken an evolutionary path to the present day, with the principle global political and economic actors and institutions incrementally constructing the apparatus of a global government. In the modern world, global governance is an interlapping, intersecting, and intertwined web of international organizations, think tanks, multinational corporations, nations, NGOs, philanthropic foundations, military alliances, intelligence agencies, banks and interest groups. Globalization – a term which was popularized in the late 1980s to refer to the global spread of multinational corporations – has laid the principle ideological and institutional foundations for this process. Global social, economic and political integration do not occur at an equal pace; rather, economic integration and governance on a global level has and will continue to be ahead of the other sectors of human social interaction, in both the pace and degree of integration. In short, global economic governance will set the pace for social and political global governance to follow.

In 1885, Friedrich List, a German mercantilist economic theorist wrote that when it came to the integration of a "universal union or confederation of nations," that "all examples which history can show are those in which the political union has led the way, and the commercial union has followed. Not a single instance can be adduced in which the latter has taken the lead, and the former has grown up from it."[2] The twentieth century thus changed the historical trend, with undertaking economic integration – union – which is then followed by

political integration. The best example of this is the European Union, which started out as a series of trade agreements (1951), eventually leading to an economic community (1957), followed by an economic union (1993), followed by a currency union (2002), and with the recent Lisbon Treaty, is now in the process of implementing the apparatus of a political union (2009). While this same regional governance model is occurring on a global scale in Africa, South America, East Asia, the Gulf Arab states, and with North American and Euro-American integration, it is simultaneously taking place on a global level. With the establishment of the World Trade Organization (WTO) in 1995, global trade systems were institutionally integrated, while the major global economic institutions of the IMF and World Bank, as well as others including the Bank for International Settlements (BIS), accelerated their management of the global economy.

The process of globalization has firmly established a globally integrated economic system, and now the global economic crisis is facilitating the implementation of global economic governance: to create the economic apparatus of a global government, including a global central bank and a global currency. This process is exponentially accelerated through economic crises, which create the need, desire, urgency and means of establishing a structure of global economic governance, purportedly under the guise of "preventing economic crises" and "maintaining" the global economy.

The same institutions and actors responsible for creating the crisis, are then given the job of determining the solution, and are then given the power and means of implementing it: problem, reaction, solution. They create a problem to incur a particular reaction for which they then propose a predetermined solution. When pressure needs to be applied to individual states that are not following dictates of the institutions of global governance, the market is turned against them in a barrage of economic warfare, often in the form of currency speculation and derivatives trading. The result of this economic warfare against a nation is that it must then turn to these same global institutions to come to its rescue: problem, reaction, solution.

The global economic crisis, really having only just begun, will in years to come spiral into a Great Global Debt Depression, plunging the entire world into the greatest economic catastrophe ever known. This will be the ultimate catalyst, the most pervasive crisis, and most commanding 'opportunity' to implement the formation of a global government. In 1988, the Economist ran an article entitled, "Get Ready for the Phoenix," in which it postulated that by the year 2018, there will be a global currency, which it termed the "Phoenix." The mention of a phoenix is not to go unnoticed, as symbolically, a phoenix dies and from its ashes a new phoenix emerges. It is the symbol of destruction as a form of creation; the ultimate incarnation of crisis as an opportunity. The article in the Economist acknowledged this meaning, with the idea that economic and monetary collapse will likely lead to the formation of a global currency, stating that, "several more big exchange-rate upsets, a few more stockmarket crashes and probably a slump or two will be needed before politicians are willing to face squarely up to that choice." Further:

As time passes, the damage caused by currency instability is gradually going to mount; and the very trends that will make it mount are making the utopia of monetary union feasible... The phoenix would probably start as a cocktail of national currencies, just as the Special Drawing Right is today. In time, though, its value against national currencies would cease to

matter, because people would choose it for its convenience and the stability of its purchasing power.[3]

This further reinforces the notion of crisis as an opportunity, and established the desire to form a global currency far before any crises that prompted official calls for one. In 2000, Paul Volcker, former Chairman of the Federal Reserve, stated that, "if we are to have a truly global economy, a single world currency makes sense," and a European Central Bank executive stated that, "we might one day have a single world currency," in "a step towards the ideal situation of a fully integrated world."[4] In 1998, Jeffrey Garten, , former Undersecretary of Commerce for International Trade in the Clinton administration, former Managing Director at Lehman Brothers and member of the Council on Foreign Relations, wrote an article for the New York Times in which he called for the creation of a "global Fed" and said that, "the world needs an institution that has a hand on the economic rudder when the seas become stormy. It needs a global central bank."[5]

The Global Economic Crisis As a Pretext for Global Governance

With the onset of the global economic crisis in 2008, powerful political and economic figures began making the call for constructing systems of global governance to manage and "prevent" crises. In September of 2008, in the midst of the financial crisis, Garten wrote an article for the Financial Times renewing his call for a global central bank, which he termed a "Global Monetary Authority."[6] A month later, Garten wrote a piece for Newsweek saying that, "leaders should begin laying the groundwork for establishing a global central bank."[7] In the same month, John Mack, CEO of Morgan Stanley said that, "it may take continued international coordination to fully unlock the credit markets and resolve the financial crisis, perhaps even by forming a new global body to oversee the process."[8]

In October of 2008, then Prime Minister of the UK, Gordon Brown, called for "a new Bretton Woods – building a new international financial architecture for the years ahead," and that he would want "to see the IMF reformed to become a 'global central bank' closely monitoring the international economy and financial system."[9] In the same month, Brown wrote an oped for the Washington Post in which he said that this 'new Bretton-Woods' should work towards "global governance."[10]

That month, the world's central bankers met in Washington D.C., of which the principle question they faced was "whether it is time to establish a global economic 'policeman' to ensure the crash of 2008 can never be repeated," and that any organization with the power to police the global economy would have to include representatives of every major country – a United Nations of economic regulation." A former governor of the Bank of England stated that the answer might be in the form of the Bank for International Settlements (BIS), the central bank to the world's central banks, which compared to the IMF, "is more independent and much better placed to deal with this if it is given the power to do so."[11]

The first major summit of the G20 – the group of the 20 largest economies in the world – was in November of 2008, in the midst of the financial crisis. The G20 was to replace the G8 in the management of the global economy. The member nations are the United States, Canada, France, Germany, Italy, the United Kingdom, the European Union, Australia, Russia, Japan, South Korea, Turkey, Mexico, Indonesia, Saudi Arabia, Brazil, South Africa, Argentina,

India and China. The World Bank and IMF also work directly with the G20, as does the Bank for International Settlements.

In March of 2009, Russia suggested that the G20 meeting in April should "consider the possibility of creating a supra-national reserve currency or a 'super-reserve currency'," and to consider the IMF's Special Drawing Rights (SDRs) in this capacity.[12] A week later, China's central bank governor proposed the creation of a global currency controlled by the IMF, replacing the US dollar as the world reserve currency, also using the IMF's SDRs as the reserve currency basket against which all other currencies would be fixed.[13]

Days after this proposal, the US Treasury Secretary Timothy Geithner, former President of the New York Federal Reserve Bank, told the Council on Foreign Relations that, in response to a question about the Chinese proposal, "we're actually quite open to that suggestion. But you should think of it as rather evolutionary, building on the current architectures, than — rather than — rather than moving us to global monetary union."[14]

In late March a UN panel of economists recommended the creation of a new global currency reserve that would replace the US-dollar, and that it would be an "independently administered reserve currency."[15]

Following the April 2009 G20 summit, "plans were announced for implementing the creation of a new global currency to replace the US dollar's role as the world reserve currency." Point 19 of the communiqué released by the G20 at the end of the Summit stated, "We have agreed to support a general SDR allocation which will inject \$250bn (£170bn) into the world economy and increase global liquidity." SDRs, or Special Drawing Rights, are "a synthetic paper currency issued by the International Monetary Fund." As the Telegraph reported, "the G20 leaders have activated the IMF's power to create money and begin global 'quantitative easing'. In doing so, they are putting a de facto world currency into play. It is outside the control of any sovereign body."[16] The Washington Post reported that the IMF is poised to transform "into a veritable United Nations for the global economy":

It would have vastly expanded authority to act as a global banker to governments rich and poor. And with more flexibility to effectively print its own money, it would have the ability to inject liquidity into global markets in a way once limited to major central banks, including the U.S. Federal Reserve... the IMF is all but certain to take a central role in managing the world economy. As a result, Washington is poised to become the power center for global financial policy, much as the United Nations has long made New York the world center for diplomacy.[17]

In April of 2010, the IMF released a report in which it explained that while SDRs will aid in 'stabilizing' the world economy, "a more ambitious reform option would be to build on the previous ideas and develop, over time, a global currency," but that this is "unlikely to materialize in the foreseeable future absent a dramatic shift in appetite for international cooperation."[18] Of course, the exacerbation of a global economic crisis – a new great depression – could spur such a "dramatic shift in appetite for international cooperation."

While the IMF is pushed to the forefront of the global currency agenda, the Bank for International Settlements (BIS) remains as the true authority in terms of 'global governance' overall. As the IMF's magazine, Finance and Development, stated in 2009, "the Bank for International Settlements (BIS), established in 1930, is the central and the oldest focal point for coordination of global governance arrangements."[19] Jean-Claude Trichet, President of the European Central Bank (ECB), gave a speech at the Council on Foreign Relations in April of 2010 in which he explained that, "the significant transformation of global governance that we are engineering today is illustrated by three examples":

First, the emergence of the G20 as the prime group for global economic governance at the level of ministers, governors and heads of state or government. Second, the establishment of the Global Economy Meeting of central bank governors under the auspices of the BIS as the prime group for the governance of central bank cooperation. And third, the extension of

Financial Stability Board membership to include all the systemic emerging market economies.[20]

In concluding his speech, Trichet emphasized that, "global governance is of the essence to improve decisively the resilience of the global financial system."[21] The following month, Trichet spoke at the Bank of Korea, where he said, "central bank cooperation is part of a more general trend that is reshaping global governance, and which has been spurred by the global financial crisis," and that, "it is therefore not surprising that the crisis has led to even better recognition of their increased economic importance and need for full integration into global governance." Once again, Trichet identified the BIS and its "various fora" – such as the Global Economy Meeting and the Financial Stability Board – as the "main channel" for central bank cooperation.[22]

The Great Global Debt Depression

As commentators and governments praised the 'economic recovery', the world entered into a massive global debt crisis, a veritable 'Great Global Debt Depression,' in which the major industrialized nations of the world, having taken on excessive debts due to bailouts, stimulus packages and decades of imperial expenditures and war-mongering. The debt trap used to enslave the 'global south' has come home to roost. The first stage of the 'Great Global Debt Depression' began in Greece, where the country was so indebted that it needed to seek help in the form of an IMF 'bailout' simply to pay the interest on its debt. For nearly a decade, Greece's government colluded with major Wall Street firms such as Goldman Sachs and J.P. Morgan Chase to hide its true debt in the derivatives market, so when a new government came to power in October of 2009, it inherited a debt twice as large as it had anticipated, at 300 billion euros.[23]

In early 2010, Greece sought a bailout from the European Union (European Central Bank – ECB) and the IMF in order to pay the annual interest fee on its debt. The ECB and IMF agreed to a loan in April.[24] Greece, however, had been pressured by both the EU and the IMF that in order to receive a loan, it must implement "fiscal austerity measures" in order to reduce its deficit, and also to convince "global markets" that it could reduce its deficit. Greece had implemented two austerity packages that included massive social spending cuts and increases in taxes. Yet, this seemed to not be enough for the EU, IMF or global markets.[25] As Greece was imposing 'fiscal austerity' and seeking international loans, 'global markets' had turned against the country, as derivatives – particularly Credit Default Swaps (CDS) –

were being used to bet that Greece would default on its debt, thus plunging the country further into crisis. Many of the banks participating in this speculative assault were the very same ones that helped Greece hide its debt in the first place. Thus, if Greece defaults on its debt, the speculators who bet against Greece stand to profit, and as these trades become popular, it makes it more difficult for Greece to borrow the money it needs to pay its interest. As one expert explained, "It's like buying fire insurance on your neighbor's house — you create an incentive to burn down the house."[26]

J.P. Morgan Chase, Goldman Sachs, and several other leading banks helped hide the debt for several nations across Europe, which all began to enter into a debt crisis.[27] Interestingly, banks rapidly expanded their use of the derivatives trade not only in Greece, but Spain and Portugal as well, "as worries about those countries' debts moved markets around the world." Subsequently, "European banks including the Swiss giants Credit Suisse and UBS, France's Société Générale and BNP Paribas and Deutsche Bank of Germany have been among the heaviest buyers of swaps insurance." The reason for this: "those countries are the most exposed. French banks hold \$75.4 billion worth of Greek debt, followed by Swiss institutions, at \$64 billion," and "German banks' exposure stands at \$43.2 billion."[28] J.P. Morgan Chase, Goldman Sachs, and other US banks are also participating in the derivatives assault against Greece, which may be "pushing Greece toward financial collapse." [29] Thus, we have a situation in which major global banks helped governments acquire expansive debts (and hide it from their balance sheets), and then the countries enter into a debt crisis. As they impose fiscal austerity measures to reduce their deficits, and seek help from central banks and the IMF to pay their interest, these same global banks speculate against the debts, thus pushing the nations further into crisis, exacerbating the social crisis, and forcing further and more expansive 'austerity measures.' The interest payments on the debt are, as an added insult, to be paid to these same global banks, which hold most of the debt of these nations. In short, the debt crisis is amounting to a form of financial warfare and social genocide, implemented by the major global banks, the central banking system (which they control), and the international organizations that serve their interests.

A working paper issued by the Bank for International Settlements (BIS) in March of 2010 explained that the West is facing a massive debt crisis, and that the United Kingdom and United States – along with other nations such as Spain and Ireland – took on massive debt in the past three years, making the debt crises in Italy and Greece "comparatively small."[30] Further, investors are expected "to demand a higher risk premium for holding the bonds issued by a highly indebted country."[31] In other words, the BIS warned that speculators would likely undertake a 'market' assault against indebted nations, further exacerbating the debt crisis and increasing pressure to impose 'fiscal austerity', or commit 'social genocide'. In September of 2009, the derivatives market had rebounded to \$426 trillion, and continued to pose "major systemic risks" for the financial system.[32]

Nouriel Roubini, an economist who had predicted the 2008 financial crisis, warned in March of 2010 that, "the recent difficulties of Greece are part of the iceberg. Markets have already targeted Greece, Spain, Portugal, Great Britain, Ireland and Iceland. They could deal with other countries, including Japan and the United States."[33] Renowned economist Kenneth Rogoff (who accurately predicted the 2008 economic crisis) had also warned that a global debt crisis is on the horizon, which "could set the scene for years of financial troubles."[34]

In 2010, the World Economic Forum warned of the potential of a "full-scale sovereign fiscal crisis" – a global debt crisis – possibly accompanied by a second major financial crisis.[35] Jürgen Stark, an executive member of the European Central Bank warned in April of 2010 that, "We may already have entered into the next phase of the crisis: a sovereign debt crisis," which could spread across the EU, to the U.K., United States, and Japan.[36] Economic historian (and Bilderberg participant) Niall Ferguson warned of a "Greek Crisis Coming to America," and a "fiscal crisis of the western world," which will spread from Greece, throughout Europe, and to the U.S. and Japan.[37]

Structural Adjustment in the West

As the nations of the West took on enormous debts by giving the banks money (effectively buying the bad debt of the banks), and with decades of imperialism building massive foreign debts, the West and notably America, are entering into a period in which they will be subjected to the same or similar forms of 'structural adjustment' as they have inflicted upon the rest of the world. With the G20 promising to impose "fiscal austerity," public sector jobs will be lost, state-owned assets and infrastructure privatized, taxes raised, interest rates will soar (eventually), and liberalized markets will be expanded and institutionalized, not least so that major global banks will be able to profit off of the subsequent collapse of nations through the financial weapon of speculation. The middle classes will vanish and poverty will reign supreme, while the rich become immeasurably richer and more powerful. Naturally, people will rise up, take to the streets, protest, demonstrate, riot, even rebel and revolt. As sure as the people will resist, the state will repress with police, the military and the 'Homeland Security State' apparatus of surveillance and control. Make no mistake: this is the 'Thirdworldization' of the West: the 'Post-Industrial Revolution.'

In early June of 2010, the G20 finance ministers and central bank governors met in Seoul, South Korea, in a meeting with significantly less media coverage than the later G20 leaders summit in Toronto, and significantly more importance to the state of the world economy. The communiqué released by the finance minister and central bankers following the summit stated that G20 nations needed to speed up the process of "fiscal consolidation" (see 'fiscal austerity').[38] The IMF presented a report at the meeting recommending the adoption of "adjustment policies" to presumably aid in economic growth.[39] There was no mention, however, of how similar "adjustment policies" failed to deliver growth to the developing world over the previous 30 years, and in fact, spread poverty and economic despair instead.

After the G20 leaders meeting in late June of 2010, leaders of the world's largest economies agreed on a timetable to impose 'fiscal austerity' measures to cut their deficits and halt the growth of their debts. The plan entailed cutting deficits in half by 2013.[40] In June, Germany had announced massive austerity cuts to spending, spurring protests in the streets.[41] Simon Johnson, former Chief Economist at the IMF, stated that fiscal austerity would likely result in "exacerbating developing world-type problems in the United States – and to creating the conditions for another financial crisis."[42] The chief economist of the major global bank HSBC, stated in May of 2010 that, "at the very least, governments need to pursue a multi-year period of fiscal austerity," and ultimately, "fiscal positions will become intolerable politically, economically and financially."[43]

Fiscal austerity will imply massive cuts in social spending, which will do to the developed world what they did to the 'developing' world: health, education and social services will be cut, with public employees in those and other sectors fired, creating a massive new wave of unemployed people. Simultaneously, taxes will be dramatically increased, particularly on the middle and lower classes, which would then be more impoverished than ever before. However, fiscal austerity is not the only condition of "structural adjustment," as many other measures will be taken, advancing on current trends, including further expanding and institutionalizing trade liberalization, as well as selling off public assets in major privatization schemes. Since the West largely privatized all the state-owned industries in the dawn of the neoliberal era, the remaining areas of privatization are largely in infrastructure projects such as roads, airports and ports. However, in America, this will be undertaken by individual states and cities desperate for cash and 'investment'. Thomas Osborne, head of infrastructure and privatization at UBS bank, said in May of 2009 that, "privatization will eventually take hold," but it will be done in "a more incremental approach."[44]

In September of 2010, the Chicago Council on Global Affairs released a report on infrastructure privatization. The Council represents and is run by various officials from J.P. Morgan Chase & Co., CME Group (the world's largest derivatives exchange), the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, Bank One Corporation, McKinsey and Company, Goldman Sachs, Boeing, Northern Trust, United Airlines, the Chicago Board of Trade, and a host of other corporate, financial and banking interests, and the board even includes the First Lady, Michelle Obama.[45] In the report sponsored by the Chicago Council, it stated that, "the trend toward infrastructure privatization is happening not just in the United States, but globally."[46] Ultimately, the report found that, "financial realities mean that the privatization of infrastructure will continue."[47] In defining infrastructure, the report identified roads, bridges, port facilities, water treatment plants, electric transmission lines, and railways, as well as hospitals, prisons, "and other communal assets that serve the public interest."[48]

On this note, sovereign wealth funds (SWFs) from around the world are buying up American infrastructure. Sovereign wealth funds are state-owned investment funds of stocks, bonds, financial assets, resources and property. Some of the world's largest SWFs are those of the United Arab Emirates, Saudi Arabia, Norway, China, South Korea, Kuwait, and Russia. As the "recovery" edges into the oblivion of the Great Global Debt Depression, SWFs are buying up American infrastructure, including:

A toll highway in Indiana. The Chicago Skyway. A stretch of highway in Florida. Parking meters in Nashville, Pittsburgh, Los Angeles, and other cities. A port in Virginia. And a whole bevy of Californian public infrastructure projects, all either already leased or set to be leased for fifty or seventy-five years or more in exchange for one-off lump sum payments of a few billion bucks at best, usually just to help patch a hole or two in a single budget year.

America is quite literally for sale, at rock-bottom prices, and the buyers increasingly are the very people who scored big in the oil bubble. Thanks to Goldman Sachs and Morgan Stanley and the other investment banks that artificially jacked up the price of gasoline over the course of the last decade, Americans delivered a lot of their excess cash into the coffers of sovereign wealth funds like the Qatar Investment Authority, the Libyan Investment Authority, Saudi Arabia's SAMA Foreign Holdings, and the UAE's Abu Dhabi Investment

Authority.[49]

This process is also underway in Canada, as the Ontario government in 2009 considered selling off "all or part" of its Crown corporations to reduce the provincial deficit, and it hired CIBC and Goldman Sachs to write a blueprint for possible privatizations.[50] Further, there are increased calls – globally – for advancing the agenda of the privatization of water, a scheme which the World Bank has pushed on several countries around the world, resulting in enormous costs – in economic, political and social terms – to the poorest people, and enormous profits for the handful of global water conglomerates. Organized around the International Water Association and the World Water Council, the major water conglomerates, the World Bank and the UN have been promoting water privatization schemes across the 'developing' world and increasingly within the West as a means to 'solving' the world water crisis. As we have seen, however, from the cases of water privatization in places like Bolivia, South Africa, El Salvador, and several others, it is the poor who suffer the most, and it will be the same whether it is in Angola or America.

Debt Slavery

While nations of the West begin to impose fiscal austerity on their populations and social structures, the harsh effects will come with time, as nations have maintained extremely low interest rates, thus keeping the 'cost' of money cheap. However, as the Bank for International Settlements (BIS) report of June 2010 stated, "both fiscal and monetary policy may have to be tightened at the same time." This means that, according to the BIS, interest rates must rise along with fiscal austerity measures. It was, lest we forget, the extremely high interest rates in the late 70s and early 80s that set off the 1980s debt crisis, as nations with large foreign debts could no longer afford to pay their annual interest payments, thus needing to turn to the IMF and World Bank for 'assistance' in the form of 'structural adjustment programs'. The massive stimulus spending and bailouts will create the likely scenario of causing inflation, making prices rise dramatically. To fight inflation, nations can raise interest rates, which then make the currency more expensive, and thus, reduces the rates of inflation.

As central banks around the world injected billions and trillions of dollars into the financial system, they kept interest rates extremely low in order to encourage the flow of money. In the 2009 annual report of the BIS, it warned that this policy could create massive inflation, so interest rates will have to be raised eventually. The major question is 'when' they will rise; if it's too late, inflation could get out of control, if it's too early, it could destroy the 'recovery.'[51] So as the 2010 annual report of the BIS calls for simultaneous fiscal and monetary tightening, this could be potentially disastrous, possibly "pushing the global economy into depression."[52] The effect of high interest rates, while potentially decreasing the rate of inflation, will increase the cost of the annual debt payments nations must make, thus exacerbating and feeding the 'fiscal austerity' measures imposed to reduce spending. This would reverberate onto the average person, as interest rates on all debts, including their personal debts would also increase. While fiscal austerity will increase taxes, increase poverty, and deconstruct the middle class, high interest rates would bleed them dry. However, inflation itself acts as a hidden tax, increasing the cost of consumer goods such as food and fuel, as the currency depreciates in value. This is also a major cost to the vanishing middle class. It seems that either way, the average person is in the crosshairs of a system of economic terrorism. It's the epitome of a 'Catch-22'; you're damned if you do, and you're damned if you don't.

Raising interest rates during a time of fiscal austerity, however, is particularly destructive to the average person. Notably, "fiscal and monetary tightening were tried in tandem in the early 1930s and it didn't work then."[53] In other words, it helped plunge the world into the Great Depression. Today, however, it would be significantly worse, as now we have the reality of mortgages, credit card debt, derivatives, student debt, etc. These things did not exist at the onset of the Great Depression, so today it would result in the 'Greatest Depression.' It's a debt trap, and everyone is caught in it. If states don't raise interest rates, the 'market' may turn against them, as major global banks, hedge funds and currency speculators may 'lose confidence' in a nation's currency, and flee the currency, thus plunging it in value, leading to potentially hyperinflation (as was experienced in Weimar Germany and Zimbabwe), which also has the effect of devastating a nation and plundering the wealth of its people.

While increasing interest rates is done in the name of reducing the debt at a quicker pace, it ultimately has the opposite effect. It essentially creates a condition in which a nation is permanently indebted, and the cumulative debt increases annually. This occurs due to a nation struggling to pay its annual interest on the debt, and so it seeks the 'assistance' of the IMF and international creditors to provide a quick loan to the country to pay the interest. The IMF provides a loan, which is instantly redirected to pay the creditors, and the loan amount that the IMF provided is then added to the overall national debt. Thus, rising interest rates will increase the annual interest payments, because the debt itself has enlarged. The nation will need the 'assistance' of another loan - more debt - to pay interest on its overall debt, which then continues to rise. This is how the nations of the 'Third World' became so indebted: accumulating more debt to pay interest on old debt, which then creates new debt, requiring more debt to pay the interest on the accumulated debt, and on and on and on. Meanwhile, the 'structural adjustment programs' (SAPs) were implemented under the 'conditions' of IMF and World Bank loans and 'assistance' to deconstruct the social foundations of a nation, eliminate the middle class and exacerbate poverty, presumably in order to help reduce the deficit. This now appears to be the fate of the 'First World' industrialized nations. While the BIS annual report called for increasing interest rates, an internal working paper written by the Chief Economist of the BIS in March of 2010 warned that, "fighting rising inflation by tightening monetary policy would not work, as an increase in interest rates would lead to higher interest payments on public debt, leading to higher debt."[54]

Ultimately, talk about whether or not to increase interest rates, and how to impose fiscal austerity are misleading. This is because these discussions operate on the basis that these debts are legitimate. The legal doctrine of 'odious debt' stipulates that sovereign debt incurred without the consent of the people and not benefiting the people is odious and should not be transferable to a successor government. In other words, if a debt doesn't benefit the people, it's illegitimate and should not be repaid. If this principle was applied to the 'Third World', it could be safely said that the IMF, World Bank, and Western nations would effectively lose their control of the global south. It is through the mechanism of debt that modern imperialism functions most effectively. Naturally, the correct economic path to take for an actual recovery would be to declare all these major debts illegitimate – of the 'Third World', and of the Western world – as the debts of the West were incurred from financing foreign imperial adventures, and the debt of the 'rest' is the result of that

imperialism.

Through the economic crisis, the debts incurred were largely done so in terms of buying the bad debts of the banks that created the crisis, thus, they too are illegitimate. Even the 'stimulus' money was indebted in order to solve a financial crisis created by a corrupt minority around the world. Credit card debts and student debts exacerbate poverty, and if there are no jobs for students in a broken economy, their debt is illegitimate. Since credit card debt was incurred to finance consumption and allow people to live beyond their means, there is a notion of responsibility on the part of the debtor, however, because credit card companies target the indebted and have essentially 'captured' the middle class, and now they must pay through their own impoverishment, people have been misled, and the debt ultimately did not benefit them; thus, it too is illegitimate. If our governments, the banks, the corporations and all creditors have colluded together to seek personal profit and gain, while impoverishing us and the rest of the world in the process, all the world's debts to these institutions, actors and nations is odious and should not be repaid. Taking this stance, however, would not get you far in the world of economics or politics, as you would be advocating for the end of financial, economic, social and political imperialism and power structures; not a particularly popular position from the perspective of the powerful.

So the debates and discussions will rage on; when to raise interest rates, how to impose fiscal austerity, how to create 'recovery'; all the while global political and economic institutions, states and actors will be working to impoverish you and destroy the foundations of society upon which you stand.

Third World America

As a further indication of the coming 'third world' status of America, in June of 2008, in the midst of the financial crisis, the United States Federal Reserve was audited by the IMF for the first time in history. As part of the investigation, "the Fed, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), the major investment banks, mortgage banks and hedge funds will be asked to hand over confidential documents to the IMF team."[55]

Simon Johnson, former Chief Economist at the IMF, wrote an article in May of 2009 explaining that the problem with most third world nations ("emerging market economies") is that the governments are so closely tight-knit with the corporate and banking elite that they form a financial oligarchy, and that this is essentially the same problem in the United States. He wrote that, "the finance industry has effectively captured our government," and "recovery will fail unless we break the financial oligarchy that is blocking essential reform."[56]

In March of 2009, an article appeared in the Washington Post written by Desmond Lachman, a fellow at the American Enterprise Institute, a previous emerging market strategist at Salomon Smith Barney and deputy director of the IMF's Policy and Review Department, in which he referred to America as the "world's scariest emerging market." In other words, America resembles a third world debtor nation, from its corrupt banking elite, to the inept political class, and a massive foreign debt, America "is coming to resemble Argentina, Russia and other so-called emerging markets, both in what led us to the crisis, and in how

Towns, cities, and states across America are resorting to drastic actions to reduce their debts, such as closing fire stations, scaling back trash collection, turning off street lights, ending bus services and public transportation, cutting back on library hours or closing them altogether, school districts cutting down the school day, week or year, and it was reported in September of 2010 that "local governments will eliminate roughly half a million employees in the next fiscal year, with public safety, public works, public health, social services, and parks and recreation hardest hit by the cutbacks." Simultaneously, this is occurring with a dramatic increase in the rate of privatizations or "public-private partnerships" in which even libraries are being privatized.[58]

Structural Adjustment and "Social Explosion"

The imposition of 'structural adjustment' in the 'Third World' resulted in an explosion of social unrest, as the rural poor, the urban poor, and the urban middle class would come together to protest these policies,[59] and "between 1976 and 1992 there were 146 protests against IMF-supported austerity measures in 39 countries around the world. These took the form of political demonstrations, strikes and riots."[60] As "fiscal austerity" and 'structural adjustment' are imposed on the West, we can expect the same results to occur. In fact, this process has already begun.

At the onset of the global economic crisis in 2008, the IMF warned that governments of the west could see "violent unrest on the streets," as "violent protests could break out in countries worldwide if the financial system was not restructured to benefit everyone rather than a small elite." [61] A cynical statement of the IMF, considering it is one of the central institutions that supports and upholds the interests of that "small elite." In early 2009, Eastern Europe was already experiencing social unrest in opposition to austerity packages, and Latvia experienced the largest protests since the mass rallies against Soviet rule in the late 1980s.[62]

Similar tensions were felt across Western Europe throughout 2009, notably in France where massive strikes and protests were taking place, and several commentators were saying that civil unrest in places like Iceland and Eastern Europe were "a sign of things to come: a new age of rebellion."[63] On May 1, 2009, major protests and riots broke out in Germany, Greece, Turkey, France and Austria, and there were further protests and riots that broke out in Russia, Italy, Spain, and some politicians were even discussing the threat of revolution.[64] In February of 2009, Dennis Blair, the Director of National Intelligence in the newly formed Obama administration (the highest intelligence position in the country), told the U.S. Congress what constituted the major 'national security' threats to the United States, explaining that the 'economic crisis' is a greater threat than terrorism:

I'd like to begin with the global economic crisis, because it already looms as the most serious one in decades, if not in centuries... Economic crises increase the risk of regime-threatening instability if they are prolonged for a one- or two-year period... And instability can loosen the fragile hold that many developing countries have on law and order, which

can spill out in dangerous ways into the international community.[65]

In the same month, the highest-ranking general in the United States, Adm. Michael Mullen, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, ranked "the financial crisis as a higher priority and greater risk to security than current wars in Iraq and Afghanistan." He explained, "It's a global crisis. And as that impacts security issues, or feeds greater instability, I think it will impact on our national security in ways that we quite haven't figured out yet."[66] Again, in the same month, the head of the World Trade Organization (WTO) warned that, "the global economic crisis could trigger political unrest equal to that seen during the 1930s." He elaborated, "the crisis today is spreading even faster (than the Great Depression) and affects more countries at the same time."[67]

In February of 2009, renowned economic historian and Harvard professor, Niall Ferguson, predicted a "prolonged financial hardship, even civil war, before the 'Great Recession' ends," and that, "the global crisis is far from over, [it] has only just begun." He elaborated:

There will be blood, in the sense that a crisis of this magnitude is bound to increase political as well as economic [conflict]. It is bound to destabilize some countries. It will cause civil wars to break out, that have been dormant. It will topple governments that were moderate and bring in governments that are extreme. These things are pretty predictable.[68]

In May of 2009, the head of the World Bank warned that, "the global economic crisis could lead to serious social upheaval," as "there is a risk of a serious human and social crisis with very serious political implications."[69] Zbigniew Brzezinski, former National Security Adviser, co-founder of the Trilateral Commission and a key architect of 'globalization' warned that, "There's going to be growing conflict between the classes and if people are unemployed and really hurting, hell, there could be even riots!"[70]

In December of 2009, Moody's – one of the world's major credit ratings agencies – warned that "future tax rises and spending cuts could trigger social unrest in a range of countries from the developing to the developed world," resulting in "political and social tension."[71] In March of 2010, Moody's warned that the U.S., U.K., Germany, France, Spain and other Western nations could likely see "social unrest" as a result of imposing 'fiscal austerity', which "will test social cohesion."[72]

An article in the Financial Times in May of 2010 warned of the emergence of "an age of rage," in which the initial shock of an economic downturn subsides, and social unrest emerges, as there is usually a lag between an economic collapse and "social fury," and that it will ultimately be "a test of the strength of democratic institutions in a time of extreme fiscal stress."[73]

In September of 2010, the IMF chief Dominique Strauss-Kahn said that America and Europe, in the midst of the worst jobs crisis since the Great Depression, face an "explosion of social unrest." Speaking at the summit of the International Labour Federation, Strauss-Kahn stated, "the labour market is in dire straits. The Great Recession has left behind a waste land of unemployment," and that, "the Great Recession has left gaping wounds. High and long-lasting unemployment represents a risk to the stability of existing democracies." The

Chief Economist of the IMF, Olivier Blanchard, explained that, "long-term unemployment is alarmingly high: in the US, half the unemployed have been out of work for over six months, something we have not seen since the Great Depression."[74]

On September 29, 2010, massive protests took place across Europe against the austerity measures being imposed by European governments, with a general strike called in Spain, virtually shutting down Spain's transportation system. Further, roughly 100,000 protesters "staged the biggest Brussels march in a decade and riot police barricaded EU headquarters as marchers from 30 countries joined the backlash against brutal spending cuts." [75]

These protests continued throughout October of 2010, particularly in France, where millions of people went on strike, protested, and in some cases, rioted against President Sarkozy's fiscal austerity plans, turning him into the most unpopular president in more than 50 years.[76]

The G20 Korea Summit

To further accelerate the process of global economic governance, it is essential for the principle economic institutions and powers to integrate China fully into this system. China is already a signatory to the World Trade Organization, having opened up its banking sector to foreign investment, with its economy fully integrated with and largely dependent upon the West, it is pivotal to include China in the system of global governance. China is represented in the Bank for International Settlements (BIS), which the IMF referred to as "the central and the oldest focal point for coordination of global governance arrangements."[77] The board of directors of the BIS has 19 members, comprising the Governors of the central banks of Belgium, France, Germany, Italy and the United Kingdom and the Chairman of the Board of Governors of the US Federal Reserve System, as well as the Governors of the central banks of Brazil, Canada, China, Japan, the Netherlands, Sweden and Switzerland and the President of the ECB (European Central Bank). China is also represented in the G20, of which the President of the European Central Bank, Jean-Claude Trichet, referred to as "the prime group for global economic governance at the level of ministers, governors and heads of state or government."[78] In 2009, China and India were invited as official members of the Trilateral Commission,[79] an international think tank created by David Rockefeller and Zbigniew Brzezinski in 1973 with the aim of creating a "community of industrial nations" comprising Western Europe, North America and Japan, essentially with the aim of managing the process of globalization.

In November of 2010, the G20 is to be hosted by South Korea, where they will meet to again advance the process of global governance and global social genocide. Prior to the official meeting of heads of state, a much more important preliminary meeting took place between the finance ministers and central bank governors of the G20 nations. This took place in late October of 2010 in Seoul, South Korea, at a time when the world is immersed in a global currency war. The currency war involves several major nations, from America, to Brazil and China, seeking to depreciate their currency in order to make exports more attractive, so their central banks (all of which cooperate on global governance at the BIS), buy and sell each others' currencies, attempting to decrease the value of their own currency while increasing the value of competitor currencies. In short, it's a race to the bottom. To convince China to appreciate its currency, incentives must be given. If China is to be following the

dictates of the global financial powers, its economic weight in the world demands that China be better represented and more involved in the governance of these institutions. This means that if China is being integrated into a system of global governance, it must be invited to the management table.

The G20 agreed on implementing an historic reform in the IMF, where for the first time since its creation in 1944, the management structure of the IMF has been [slightly] altered. The significance is that European countries have agreed to give up two of their seats on the 24-member executive board, making room for China and India, and more than 6 per cent of IMF voting power will be transferred to underrepresented countries at the fund. As the Financial Times reported:

After the changes take effect, Brazil, Russia, India and China will be all included in the fund's 10 biggest shareholders. The US, with a 17.67 per cent share of IMF quotas, will retain its veto power for the fund's key decisions as they will continue to require a super-majority of 85 per cent.[80]

This is important to note as it clearly indicates that America still remains the 'Godfather' of the global financial system. The IMF requires 85% of voters to agree on any changes or decisions, and since the U.S. has 17.67% of the shares, if the U.S. votes against anything, the IMF cannot go forward, giving the U.S. veto power over the IMF. Yet these changes still represent an incremental effort to bring China within this system of global governance. At the same time, a top Chinese banker stated that, "the yuan should be included in the basket of currencies that constitute the International Monetary Fund's Special Drawing Rights."[81] This would give China a more direct stake in the formation of a global currency, of which its central bank governor is already a firm supporter.

Conclusion

Herman Von Rompuy became President of the European Union in 2009, a new position established by the Lisbon Treaty passed the same year. Rompuy was selected as President following his attendance at a meeting of the Bilderberg Group.[82] Shortly after being given the position, Von Rompuy gave a speech in which he declared that 2009 is "the first year of global governance."[83] As Denis Healey, a founding member and former member of the Steering Committee of the Bilderberg Group for over 30 years, stated in 2001, "To say we were striving for a one-world government is exaggerated, but not wholly unfair. Those of us in Bilderberg felt we couldn't go on forever fighting one another for nothing and killing people and rendering millions homeless. So we felt that a single community throughout the world would be a good thing."[84]

So while institutions and organizations of global governance continue to grant themselves more power and expand their control and authority over the world, the people of the world must wake up to this process and seek to stem and stall its advancement. A global government would represent the people of the world even less than they are already not represented through their national governments. Institutions of global governance are totally unaccountable to the people, totally undemocratic, and are inherently totalitarian. As Gideon Rachman wrote for the Financial Times in December of 2008, "for the first time in my life, I think the formation of some sort of world government is plausible." While articulating the need for a global government, modeling it on the European Union "going

global," he examined the setbacks that the EU had in this process, suggesting the same is likely in the process for global government. Specifically, he identified that whenever the people were involved in the process, they would act to stall or reject the process of integration. Thus, Rachman concluded, the European Union "has progressed fastest when far-reaching deals have been agreed by technocrats and politicians – and then pushed through without direct reference to the voters. International governance tends to be effective, only when it is anti-democratic."[85] In other words, if we want global governance, we must kill democracy in the process.

What this implies then, is that the people have the potential to prevent this process from taking place, but only if they become directly involved in rejecting it. This means that people's movements need to stop recognizing the legitimacy of these international organizations and institutions, complaining only that they are not included in discussions, and instead demand that they be dismantled altogether in favour of forming new governance arrangements – political, economic and social – that actively represent and empower the people over the entrenched powers. This is no simple task, in fact, it is likely the greatest, most monumental and challenging task that has ever faced humanity. So it seems necessary that the people not waste their time, not waste their votes, voices, or ideas, and work together to promote true progressive and humane change. There is hope in humanity yet, but so long as we allow the powerful to accumulate more power for themselves, we cannot expect things to get better for the majority. We must take advantage of our freedoms in order to fight for and preserve them. We can either be free thinkers, directing the course of our own lives, or we can be slaves to bankers.

Andrew Gavin Marshall is a Research Associate with the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG). He is co-editor, with Michel Chossudovsky, of the recent book, "The Global Economic Crisis: The Great Depression of the XXI Century," available to order at Globalresearch.ca. He is currently writing a book on 'Global Government' due to be released in the New Year.

Notes

- [1] Dominique Strauss-Kahn, Concluding Remarks by Dominique Strauss-Kahn, Managing Director of the International Monetary Fund, at the High-Level Conference on the International Monetary System, Zurich, 11 May 2010: http://www.imf.org/external/np/speeches/2010/051110.htm
- [2] George T. Crane, Abla Amawi, The Theoretical evolution of international political economy. Oxford University Press US, 1997: pages 50-51
- [3] Get ready for the phoenix. The Economist: Vol. 306: January 9, 1988: pages 9-10
- [4] ECB, The euro and the dollar new imperatives for policy co-ordination. Speeches and Interviews: September 18, 2000: http://www.ecb.int/press/key/date/2000/html/sp000918.en.html
- [5] Jeffrey E. Garten, Needed: A Fed for the World. The New York Times: September 23, 1998:

http://www.nytimes.com/1998/09/23/opinion/needed-a-fed-for-the-world.html

- [6] Jeffrey Garten, Global authority can fill financial vacuum. The Financial Times: September 25, 2008:
- http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/7caf543e-8b13-11dd-b634-0000779fd18c.html?nclick_check=1
- [7] Jeffrey Garten, We Need a Bank Of the World. Newsweek: October 25, 2008: http://www.newsweek.com/id/165772
- [8] CNBC, Morgan's Mack: Firm Was Excessively Leveraged. CNBC: October 16, 2008: http://www.cnbc.com/id/27216678
- [9] Robert Winnett, Financial Crisis: Gordon Brown calls for 'new Bretton Woods'. The Telegraph, 13 October 2008:

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/financetopics/financialcrisis/3189517/Financial-Crisis-Gordon-Brown-calls-for-new-Bretton-Woods.html

- [10] Gordon Brown, Out of the Ashes. The Washington Post: October 17, 2008: http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2008/10/16/AR2008101603179.html
- [11] Gordon Rayner, Global financial crisis: does the world need a new banking 'policeman'? The Telegraph: October 8, 2008: http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/financetopics/financialcrisis/3155563/Global-financial-cri

sis-does-the-world-need-a-new-banking-policeman.html

- [12] Itar-Tass, Russia proposes creation of global super-reserve currency. ITAR-TASS News Agency: March 16, 2009:
- http://www.itar-tass.com/eng/level2.html?NewsID=13682035&PageNum=0
- [13] Jamil Anderlini, China calls for new reserve currency. The Financial Times: March 23, 2009:

http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/7851925a-17a2-11de-8c9d-0000779fd2ac.html

[14] CFR, A Conversation with Timothy F. Geithner. Council on Foreign Relations Transcripts: March 25, 2009:

http://www.cfr.org/publication/18925/

- [15] UN backs new new global currency reserve. The Sunday Telegraph: March 29, 2009: http://www.news.com.au/business/story/0,27753,25255091-462,00.html
- [16] Ambrose Evans-Pritchard, The G20 moves the world a step closer to a global currency. The Telegraph: April 3, 2009:

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/comment/ambroseevans_pritchard/5096524/The-G20-moves-the-world-a-step-closer-to-a-global-currency.html

- [17] Anthony Faiola, A Bigger, Bolder Role Is Imagined For the IMF, The Washington Post, 20 April 2009:
- http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2009/04/19/AR2009041902242.html ?hpid=topnews
- [18] Izabella Kaminska, IMF blueprint for a global currency yes really, Financial Times Blog, 4 August 2010:

http://ftalphaville.ft.com/blog/2010/08/04/306346/imf-blueprint-for-a-global-currency-yes-really/

[19] Amar Bhattacharya, A Tangled Web, Finance and Development, March 2009, Vol. 46, No. 1:

http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/fandd/2009/03/bhattacharya.htm

[20] Jean-Claude Trichet, Global Governance Today, Keynote address by Mr Jean-Claude Trichet, President of the European Central Bank, at the Council on Foreign Relations, New York, 26 April 2010:

http://www.bis.org/review/r100428b.pdf

- [21] Ibid.
- [22] Jean-Claude Trichet, Central bank cooperation after the global financial crisis, Video address by Jean-Claude Trichet, President of the European Central Bank, at the Bank of Korea International Conference 2010, Seoul, 31 May 2010:

http://www.ecb.int/press/key/date/2010/html/sp100531.en.html

[23] Ambrose Evans-Pritchard, Greece defies Europe as EMU crisis turns deadly serious. The Telegraph: December 13, 2009:

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/comment/ambroseevans_pritchard/6804156/Greece-defies-Europe-as-EMU-crisis-turns-deadly-serious.html; Elena Becatoros, Greece prepares economic crisis plan. The Globe and Mail: December 14, 2009:

http://www.theglobeandmail.com/report-on-business/greece-prepares-economic-crisis-plan/article1399496/; LOUISE STORY, LANDON THOMAS Jr. and NELSON D. SCHWARTZ, Wall St. Helped to Mask Debt Fueling Europe's Crisis. The New York Times: February 13, 2010:

http://www.nytimes.com/2010/02/14/business/global/14debt.html?adxnnl=1&adxnnlx=1266 501631-XefUT62RSKhWj6xKSCX37Q

- [24] Richard Wray, EU ministers agree Greek bailout terms. The Guardian: April 11, 2010: http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2010/apr/11/eu-greece-bailout-terms
- [25] Economist, Now comes the pain. The Economist: March 4, 2010: http://www.economist.com/world/europe/displaystory.cfm?story_id=15603267
- [26] Nelson D. Schwartz and Eric Dash, Banks Bet Greece Defaults on Debt They Helped Hide. The New York Times: February 24, 2010:

http://www.nytimes.com/2010/02/25/business/global/25swaps.html?ref=business

[27] Louise Story, Wall St. was partner in Greece's debt crisis. The Boston Globe: February 14, 2010:

http://www.boston.com/news/world/europe/articles/2010/02/14/wall_street_helped_greece_la_nd_deeper_in_debt/

[28] Nelson D. Schwartz and Eric Dash, Banks Bet Greece Defaults on Debt They Helped Hide. The New York Times: February 24, 2010:

http://www.nytimes.com/2010/02/25/business/global/25swaps.html?ref=business

[29] Barrie McKenna and Joanna Slater, Role of banks eyed in Greek debt crisis. The Globe and Mail: February 25, 2010:

http://www.theglobeandmail.com/report-on-business/role-of-banks-eyed-in-greek-debt-crisis/

article1481750/

- [30] Stephen G. Cecchetti, M.S. Mohanty and Fabrizio Zampolli, The Future of Public Debt: Prospects and Implications. BIS Working Papers, No 300, Monetary and Economic Department, March 2010: page 2
- [31] Ibid, page 12.
- [32] Ambrose Evans-Pritchard, Derivatives still pose huge risk, says BIS. The Telegraph: September 13, 2009:

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/newsbysector/banksandfinance/6184496/Derivatives-stil http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/newsbysector/banksandfinance/6184496/Derivatives-stil-pose-huge-risk-says-BIS.html

[33] Report on Business, Is Japan hurtling toward a debt crisis? The Globe and Mail: April 14, 2010:

http://www.theglobeandmail.com/report-on-business/economy/is-japan-hurtling-toward-a-debt-crisis/article1534498/

[34] Brett Arends, What a Sovereign-Debt Crisis Could Mean for You. The Wall Street Journal: December 18, 2009:

http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052748703323704574602030789251824.html

[35] Edmund Conway, 'Significant chance' of second financial crisis, warns World Economic Forum. The Telegraph: January 14, 2010:

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/financetopics/davos/6990433/Significant-chance-of-second-financial-crisis-warns-World-Economic-Forum.html

[36] Nina Koeppen, ECB Official Warns of Potential Sovereign Debt Crisis. The Wall Street Journal: April 15, 2010:

http://blogs.wsj.com/economics/2010/04/15/ecb-official-warns-of-potential-sovereign-debt-crisis/

[37] Niall Ferguson, A Greek crisis is coming to America. The Financial Times: February 10, 2010:

http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/f90bca10-1679-11df-bf44-00144feab49a.html

[38] G20 communique after meeting in South Korea, Reuters, 5 June 2010: http://www.reuters.com/article/idUSTRE6540VN20100605

[39] David Lawder, Global rebalancing policies need coordination-IMF, Reuters, 5 June 2010:

http://www.reuters.com/article/idUSN0514799020100605

[40] Sewell Chan and Jackie Calmes, World Leaders Agree on Timetable for Cutting Deficits, The New York Times, 27 June 2010:

http://www.nytimes.com/2010/06/28/business/global/28summit.html

[41] Toby Helm, Ian Traynor and Paul Harris, Europe embraces the cult of austerity – but at what cost? The Observer, 13 June 2010:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/business/2010/jun/13/europe-embraces-cult-of-austerity

[42] Simon Johnson, Fiscal Austerity and America's Future, The New York Times

Economix Blog, 26 August 2010:

http://economix.blogs.nytimes.com/2010/08/26/fiscal-austerity-and-americas-future/

[43] Izabella Kaminska, Bible code, finance edition, The Financial Times Blog, 18 May 2010:

http://ftalphaville.ft.com/blog/2009/05/18/55977/bible-code-finance-edition/

[44] Joseph A. Giannone, UBS banker sees next U.S. privatizations smaller, Reuters, 6 May 2009:

http://www.reuters.com/article/idUSTRE54562G20090506

- [45] CCGA, Board of Directors, The Chicago Council on Global Affairs: http://www.thechicagocouncil.org/dynamic_page.php?id=117
- [46] Emerging Leaders Perspectives, No Free Money: Is the Privatization of Infrastructure in the Public Interest? The Chicago Council on Global Affairs, September 2010: p. 4
- [47] Ibid, page 7.
- [48] Ibid, page 11.
- [49] Matt Taibbi, Exclusive Excerpt: America on Sale, From Matt Taibbi's 'Griftopia', Rolling Stone, 18 October 2010:

http://www.rollingstone.com/politics/news/17390/222206?RS show page=0

[50] Andrew Willis and Boyd Erman, Ontario ponders sale of Crown corporations to beat down deficit, The Globe and Mail, 15 December 2009:

http://www.theglobeandmail.com/report-on-business/ontario-ponders-sale-of-crown-corporations-to-beat-down-deficit/article1401807/

[51] Simone Meier, BIS Sees Risk Central Banks Will Raise Interest Rates Too Late, Bloomberg, 29 June 2009:

http://www.bloomberg.com/apps/news?pid=newsarchive&sid=aOnSy9jXFKaY

[52] Ambrose Evans-Pritchard, BIS plays with fire, demands double-barrelled monetary and fiscal tightening, The Telegraph, 28 June 2010:

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/comment/ambroseevans_pritchard/7859800/BIS-plays-with-fire-demands-double-barrelled-monetary-and-fiscal-tightening.html

- [53] Ibid.
- [54] Stephen G. Cecchetti, M.S. Mohanty and Fabrizio Zampolli, The Future of Public Debt: Prospects and Implications. BIS Working Papers, No 300, Monetary and Economic Department, March 2010: page 14
- [55] Gabor Steingart, The Shrinking Influence of the US Federal Reserve, Der Spiegel, 26 June 2008:

http://www.spiegel.de/international/world/0,1518,562291,00.html

[56] Simon Johnson, The Quiet Coup, The Atlantic, May 2009: http://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2009/05/the-quiet-coup/7364/

- [57] Desmond Lachman, Welcome to America, the World's Scariest Emerging Market, The Washington Post, 29 March 2009:
- http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2009/03/25/AR2009032502226.html
- [58] Luiza Ch. Savage, Third World America, Macleans, 14 September 2010: http://www2.macleans.ca/2010/09/14/third-world-america/
- [59] Juha Y. Auvinen, "IMF Intervention and Political Protest in the Third World: A Conventional Wisdom Refined," Third World Quarterly, Vol. 17, No. 3, (1996), p. 377
- [60] Firoze Manji and Carl O'Coill, "The Missionary Position: NGOs and Development in Africa," International Affairs, Vol. 78, No. 3, (2002), p. 578
- [61] Angela Balakrishnan, IMF chief issues stark warning on economic crisis. The Guardian: December 18, 2008:
- http://www.guardian.co.uk/business/2008/dec/16/imf-financial-crisis
- [62] Jason Burke, Eastern Europe braced for a violent 'spring of discontent'. The Observer: January 18, 2009:
- http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2009/jan/18/eu-riots-vilinius; Philip P. Pan, Economic Crisis Fuels Unrest in E. Europe. The Washington Post: January 26, 2009:
- http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2009/01/25/AR2009012502516.html
- [63] Adrian Michaels, Europe's winter of discontent. The Telegraph: January 27, 2009: http://www.telegraph.co.uk/comment/personal-view/4363750/Europes-winter-of-discontent.html; Ian Traynor, Governments across Europe tremble as angry people take to the streets. The Guardian: January 31, 2009:
- http://www.guardian.co.uk/business/2009/jan/31/global-recession-europe-protests; Ben Hall, French workers stage strike in protest at job losses and reforms. The Financial Times: January 29, 2009:
- http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/71c25576-eda6-11dd-bd60-0000779fd2ac.html; Roger Boyes, World Agenda: riots in Iceland, Latvia and Bulgaria are a sign of things to come. The Times: January 21, 2009:
- http://www.timesonline.co.uk/tol/news/world/europe/article5559773.ece
- [64] Henry Samuel, Riots across Europe fuelled by economic crisis. The Telegraph: May 1, 2009:
- http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/europe/5258634/Riots-across-Europe-fuelled-by-economic-crisis.html
- [65] Stephen C. Webster, US intel chief: Economic crisis a greater threat than terrorism. Raw Story: February 13, 2009:
- http://rawstory.com/news/2008/US intel chief Economic crisis greater 0213.html
- [66] Tom Philpott, MILITARY UPDATE: Official: Financial crisis a bigger security risk than wars. Colorado Springs Gazette: February 1, 2009: http://www.gazette.com/articles/mullen-47273-military-time.html
- [67] AFP, WTO chief warns of looming political unrest. AFP: February 7, 2009: http://www.google.com/hostednews/afp/article/ALegM5gpC1Q4gXlfp6EwMl1rMGrmA a7ZA
- [68] Heather Scoffield, 'There will be blood'. The Globe and Mail: February 23, 2009:

http://www.theglobeandmail.com/report-on-business/article973785.ece

[69] BBC, World Bank warns of social unrest. BBC News: May 24, 2009: http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/business/8066037.stm

[70] Press TV, Economic Crisis: Brzezinski warns of riots in US. Global Research: February 21, 2009:

http://www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=12392

[71] Edmund Conway, Moody's warns of 'social unrest' as sovereign debt spirals, The Telegraph, 15 December 2009:

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/economics/6819470/Moodys-warns-of-social-unrest-as-sovereign-debt-spirals.html

[72] Ambrose Evans-Pritchard, Moody's fears social unrest as AAA states implement austerity plans. The Telegraph: March 15, 2010:

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/economics/7450468/Moodys-fears-social-unrest-as-AAA-states-implement-austerity-plans.html

[73] Simon Schama, The world teeters on the brink of a new age of rage, The Financial Times, 22 May 2010:

http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/45796f88-653a-11df-b648-00144feab49a.html

[74] Ambrose Evans-Pritchard, IMF fears 'social explosion' from world jobs crisis, The Telegraph, 13 September 2010:

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/financetopics/financialcrisis/8000561/IMF-fears-social-explosion-from-world-jobs-crisis.html

- [75] Roddy Thomson, European cities hit by anti-cuts protests, AFP, 29 September 2010: http://www.google.com/hostednews/afp/article/ALeqM5iGPFQn-DOcZkJmTK5anC4nfopDZA?d ocld=CNG.a9e7345890360219e1ae3413e8fe2974.8b1
- [76] Peter Allen, Nicolas Sarkozy most unpopular French president in more than 50 years, The Telegraph, 25 October 2010:

http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/europe/france/8086078/Nicolas-Sarkozy-most-unpopular-French-president-in-more-than-50-years.html

[77] Amar Bhattacharya, A Tangled Web, Finance and Development, March 2009, Vol. 46, No. 1:

http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/fandd/2009/03/bhattacharya.htm

[78] Jean-Claude Trichet, Global Governance Today, Keynote address by Mr Jean-Claude Trichet, President of the European Central Bank, at the Council on Foreign Relations, New York, 26 April 2010:

http://www.bis.org/review/r100428b.pdf

- [79] About the Trilateral Commission, The Pacific Asia Group, The Trilateral Commission: http://www.trilateral.org/go.cfm?do=Page.View&pid=13
- [80] Song Jung-a, G20 agrees historic reform of IMF, Financial Times, 23 October 2010: http://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/816ee036-dea2-11df-9b4a-00144feabdc0.html?ftcamp=rss

[81] China Bureau, China Banker Urges IMF To Include Yuan In SDR Basket – Report, Wall Street Journal, 25 October 2010:

http://online.wsj.com/article/BT-CO-20101025-700112.html

[82] Ian Traynor, Who speaks for Europe? Criticism of 'shambolic' process to fill key jobs. The Guardian, 17 November 2009:

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2009/nov/17/top-european-job-selection-process

[83] Herman Von Rompuy, Speech Upon Accepting the EU Presidency, BBC News, 22 November 2009:

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pzm_R3YBgPg

[84] Jon Ronson, Who pulls the strings? (part 3), The Guardian, 10 March 2001: http://www.guardian.co.uk/books/2001/mar/10/extract1

[85] Gideon Rachman, And now for a world government, Financial Times, 8 December 2010:

http://us.ft.com/ftgateway/superpage.ft?news_id=fto120820081424026754

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Andrew Gavin Marshall, Global Research, 2010

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Andrew Gavin

Marshall

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: $\underline{publications@globalresearch.ca}$