

Criminality of Presidential Candidate Hillary Clinton: Three US Laws Broken with Her Emails. Why Are ‘News’ Media Hiding Them from the Public?

By [Eric Zuesse](#)

Global Research, April 13, 2016

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#)

When I submitted on April 9th to virtually all U.S. news-media a news-report headlined [“Two Ways Hillary’s Private Email Operation Was Obviously Criminal”](#), and provided there the U.S. statutes that Hillary Clinton had clearly violated by her privatized email operation when she was serving as the U.S. Secretary of State, it was news-enough to qualify for publication by all of the major newspapers and TV networks and the other major and minor U.S. national news-media — but they all rejected it, declined to publish it, even though I don’t charge for my news-reports; and the only reason why they wouldn’t publish it had to be that they don’t want the public to know that she had violated at least two specific U.S. criminal statutes.



But then a reader, Rocky Springer, at [one of the news sites that did publish it, rinf.com](#) (there were four, all very small: those two, plus [this](#) and [this](#)) posted a comment calling my attention to yet a third federal criminal statute that she was violating there:

18 U.S. Code § 2071 - Concealment, removal, or mutilation generally

(a)Whoever willfully and unlawfully conceals, removes, mutilates, obliterates, or destroys, or attempts to do so, or, with intent to do so takes and carries away any

record, proceeding, map, book, paper, document, or other thing, filed or deposited with any clerk or officer of any court of the United States, or in any public office, or with any judicial or public officer of the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

(b)Whoever, having the custody of any such record, proceeding, map, book, document, paper, or other thing, willfully and unlawfully conceals, removes, mutilates, obliterates, falsifies, or destroys the same, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both; and shall forfeit his office and be disqualified from holding any office under the United States. As used in this subsection, the term "office" does not include the office held by any person as a retired officer of the Armed Forces of the United States.

That's not as high a penalty ("fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both") as the two statutes I had cited earlier (one of which was 20 years' imprisonment, the other of which was 10), but it certainly is *yet a third criminal statute that she certainly did violate*, and yet she is being voted for by more of my (former) fellow Democrats to represent us as our (their) Presidential nominee, than is her competitor, Senator Sanders (who has no such "experience"); and how could this possibly be the case but for the U.S. 'news' media's hiding from the voters that Ms. Clinton definitely and incontestably did violate at least three U.S. criminal laws, there?

Are the 'news' media — that is, the persons who own the major blocs of stock in each and every one of them — wanting the Republican nominee (whomever he turns out to be) to be running against a person who should be facing prosecution under those three (and perhaps other) U.S. criminal laws — wanting, in other words, to hand the White House to whomever wins the Republican nomination? Is the U.S. Attorney General, Loretta Lynch, and is her boss the current President Barack Obama, not going to be bringing Hillary's clear crimes in this matter before a grand jury to consider for indictment? Or, are the millions of Democratic voters in those primaries simply fools who don't care that they're voting for a clear-cut (regardless of whether the U.S. President and his Administration are refusing to prosecute her) *crook*?

It can't be *only* the voters that are to blame, because (also very clearly here) they're simply not being informed by the U.S. national 'news' media what the laws are that she has, so very *blatantly*, violated. How can the voters be blamed for not knowing what it is that the 'news' media are hiding from them?

As regards the possibility that the President and his Attorney General are to blame: we don't know, and we have no way of knowing, whether Clinton's case in this matter is being seriously investigated by the FBI for possible bringing of federal criminal charges against her for what she so incontestably did do in regards to her State Department email. Quite possibly, the FBI are interviewing and getting plea-bargains from her subordinates in this criminal activity, as a prerequisite to obtaining her own under-oath testimony; quite possibly, they're doing their duty.

What is *not* in question is that the U.S. national 'news' media are hiding from the American public the statutes, the criminal laws, that the currently leading candidate for the U.S. Presidency has so clearly, on the basis of the emails that were able to be reconstructed from her wiped-clean private email server, did violate.

Whereas Ms. Clinton obviously is a crook (in this matter if not for any other), what can we say about the U.S. national 'news' organizations? They are not violating any criminal law by hiding this crucial information from the public. But what they are doing is even more heinous than what she did. With a 'news' media such as this, we can only continue to be deceived into electing and even re-electing people such as George W. Bush who [during 2002 and 2003 lied this country into the disastrous and unwarranted and illegal invasion of Iraq](#). And, if that's not a heinous national 'news' media, then what is? This is, before so many primary elections for the U.S. Presidency. Not allowing the public to know the truth. It's as bad now as it was in 2002 and 2003. It's a [dictatorship](#). That's what we have, with a press like this.

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of [They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010](#), and of [CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity](#).

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Eric Zuesse](#), Global Research, 2016

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Eric Zuesse](#)

About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of [They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010](#), and of [CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity](#).

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca