

COVID Vaccines Damage All Hearts, Study Finds

Radiology Tests Detect Myocardial Damage in Covid-vaccinated persons

By [Igor Chudov](#)

Theme: [Science and Medicine](#)

Global Research, October 03, 2023

[Igor's Newsletter](#) 2 October 2023

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

A [new peer reviewed scientific study](#) by Nakahara et al. tested COVID-vaccinated people to see if they have "silent" changes in heart muscle function that standard radiology tests could detect. The study shows very unsettling results.

Assessment of Myocardial ¹⁸F-FDG Uptake at PET/CT in Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2-vaccinated and Nonvaccinated Patients

 Takehiro Nakahara^a   Yu Iwabuchi^a,  Raita Miyazawa^a,  Kai Tonda^a, Tohru Shiga^{a,b},  H. William Strauss^c,  Charalambos Antoniades^d,  Jagat Narula^e, Masahiro Jinzaki^a

✓ [Author Affiliations](#)

Published Online: Sep 19 2023 | <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.230743>

See editorial by [David A Bluemke](#)

 [Sections](#)  [PDF](#)

 [Tools](#)  [Share](#)

Abstract

Background

Patients who developed myocarditis following SARS-CoV-2 vaccination show abnormalities on cardiac MRI. However, whether myocardial changes occur in asymptomatic individuals following vaccination is not well established.

Study shows myocardial damage - I.C

Scientists measured myocardial 18Fluorine-fluorodeoxyglucose (18F-FDG) uptake. F-FDG

has molecular similarity to glucose. However, ^{18}F -FDG does not metabolize like glucose. Therefore, PET scans could detect it, and its presence shows the heart muscle's abnormally high demand for glucose, indicative of abnormal cardiac function. More about it [here](#).

Conclusions: Focal myocardial ^{18}F -FDG uptake seen on oncologic PET/CT indicates a significantly increased risk for multiple myocardial abnormalities.

Indeed, this is what the Nakahara study finds:

Results

The study included 303 *nonvaccinated patients* (mean age, 52.9 years; 157 females) and 700 *vaccinated patients* (mean age, 56.8 years; 344 females). Vaccinated patients had overall higher myocardial FDG uptake compared to nonvaccinated patients (median SUVmax, 4.8 vs median SUVmax, 3.3 ; $P < .0001$). Myocardial SUVmax was higher in vaccinated patients regardless of sex (median range, 4.7-4.9) or patient age (median range, 4.7-5.6) compared to corresponding nonvaccinated groups (sex median range, 3.2-3.9; age median range, 3.3-3.3; P range, $<.001-.015$). Furthermore, increased myocardial FDG uptake was observed in patients imaged 1-30, 31-60, 61-120, and 121-180 days after their second vaccination (median SUVmax range, 4.6-5.1) and increased ipsilateral axillary uptake was observed in patients imaged 1-30, 31-60, 61-120 days after their 2nd vaccination (median SUVmax range, 1.5-2.0) compared to the nonvaccinated patients (P range, $<.001-<.001$).

This was not supposed to happen! The COVID vaccine is not supposed to affect the heart in any way. It was promised to "stay in the arm."

The explosive findings of the study are discussed in the [editorial](#) that the editor of the magazine, Dr. Bluemke, felt obliged to publish.

COVID-19 Vaccines and Myocardial Injury

 David A Bluemke 

▼ Author Affiliations

Published Online: Sep 19 2023 | <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.232244>

 Sections  PDF

 Tools  Share

See also the article by [Nakahara et al](#) in this issue.



Dr Bluemke is Professor of Radiology at the University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health. Dr Bluemke is the Editor Emeritus of the journal Radiology. Dr Bluemke's research has focused on early detection and diagnosis of cardiovascular disease using noninvasive imaging techniques, particularly

Dr. Bluemke's editorial is somewhat apologetic, and he gives *faint praise* for COVID vaccines.

The development of messenger RNA (mRNA) COVID-19 vaccines is a remarkable biotech story. While traditional vaccines took 5-10 years to develop, the COVID-19 vaccines took less than a year. By comparison, the fastest conventional vaccine previously developed was the mumps vaccine, on a timescale of 4 years.

Dr. Bluemke also does not mince words. He explains that the findings are not due to chance:

The main results: *asymptomatic* patients vaccinated for COVID-19 before PET had about 40% greater radiotracer activity in the myocardium than unvaccinated individuals. The *P* value was low, less than .0001. This translates to only 1 time out of 10 000 that these results would occur by chance.

The editorial states that there is no rational way to ignore and explain away the negative findings of myocardial inflammation by Takahara et al.:

Vaccine manufacturers are aware of the adverse effects of mRNA vaccines. These adverse effects lead to vaccine hesitancy. The study results by Nakahara et al suggest that mild *asymptomatic* myocardial inflammation could be more common than we ever expected. This in turn would support a hypothesis of more severe systemic inflammation related to mRNA vaccination in some patients who present with *symptoma-c* myocarditis.


Dr. Bluemke calls for further research into this:

The investigators understood their first result was only the starting point. They next performed extensive “sensitivity analyses” – i.e., looking at the same data from multiple different directions. What if we account for age differences between groups, and the number of vaccinations? If mRNA vaccinations do cause asymptomatic myocardial inflammation, wouldn't the effect be more likely shortly after vaccination, rather than 6 months later? Many of us who had COVID-19 vaccines had flu-like symptoms immediately after vaccination – perhaps those of us with common flu-like reactions would have more myocardial inflammation as well? Could trained readers see the differences visually? Or were the differences seen only after placing regions of interest on the heart that could be accidentally mispositioned? The list goes on. Great researchers are also skeptics – they need to prove the results to themselves.


Was the increase in myocardial inflammation due to a few unlucky patients driving high averages, with most people remaining unaffected?

Unfortunately, that is not the case: all quartiles were affected deleteriously, as this image shows:

Compared to nonvaccinated patients, vaccinated patients had a higher myocardial FDG uptake visual score (median, 2 [IQR: 0-3] vs 1 [IQR: 0-2], $P < .001$) (Fig 3A) and SUVmax (median, 4.8 [IQR:3.0-8.5] vs 3.3 [IQR: 2.5-6.2], $P < .001$) (Fig 3B), which remained after age-adjustment for both measures ($P < .001$). In patients without cancer, vaccinated 372 individuals also showed a higher median myocardial FDG uptake visual score (median, 2 [IQR:0-3]) and SUVmax (median, 4.8 [IQR: 3.2-8.3]) compared to 150 nonvaccinated individuals (median visual score, 1 [IQR: 0-2]; median SUVmax, 3.3 [IQR: 2.6-6.3]; $P < .001$ for both).



F-FDG uptake higher in ALL vaccinated quartiles - so the effect exists in most patients



Dose-Response Relationship Is Proof of Causality!

Is there a *dose-response relationship*, providing further proof of causality? Can we see if the higher-dose Moderna vaccine causes MORE heart problems than the lower-dose Pfizer vaccine?

Recall that studies of other topics, such as pregnancy outcomes, show a [42% greater miscarriage](#) rate and [93% greater infant death rate](#) for Moderna (higher dose vaccine) compared to Pfizer.

What about the Nakahara study we are discussing? It shows a weaker but similar pattern of greater response due to Moderna:

Myocardial FDG Uptake in Patients Stratified by type of vaccine

Of the vaccinated patients, the majority (543/700 [77.6%]) received BNT162b2 mRNA (Pfizer-BioNTech), followed by mRNA-1273 (Moderna, 147/700 [21%]). Patients who received ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 (AstraZeneca) (1/700 [0.1%]) or miscellaneous types (9/700 [1.3%]) were excluded from the following analysis due to the small sample size. As compared to the unvaccinated group (median myocardial SUVmax, 3.3 [IQR: 2.5-6.2]), the myocardial SUVmax was higher in both vaccinated groups ($p < .001$ - $< .001$), with no difference in FDG uptake observed between BNT162b2 mRNA (median SUVmax, 4.7 [IQR: 2.9-8.4]) and mRNA-1273 (median SUVmax, 5.1 [IQR: 3.4-8.7]; $P = .39$) vaccine types. Axillary SUVmax for both BNT162b2 mRNA (median, 1.4 [IQR: 1.1-1.8]) and mRNA-1273 (median, 1.5 [IQR: 1.1-2.0]) were also higher than the nonvaccinated group (median, 1.2 [IQR: 1.0-1.4]; $P < .001$ - $< .001$) (Fig S6).


The authors say there is “no difference” between Pfizer and Moderna. However, there IS a difference. Pfizer-vaccinated patients’ SUVmax was 4.7, and Moderna-vaccinated patients (Moderna is a greater dose, remember) had a greater SUVmax of 5.1. The difference did not reach statistical significance, likely due to a small sample size.

Does the Ill Effect Go Away As Time Passes?

Unfortunately, the scientists’s chart of SUVmax over time does not show complete recovery during 180 days (half a year), still above the unvaccinated level:

SUVmax does NOT recover with time!

*:p<0.05



B

They Could Have Tested This in COVID Vaccine Clinical Trials!

A test of cardiac function via F-FDG uptake, a standard radiological test, is something that careful scientists conducting COVID vaccine clinical trials could carry on with a few hundred patients. Watchful vaccine safety agencies could demand such tests to be conducted to ensure the general public's safety. They chose not to do it, and their sponsors (Pfizer and Moderna) made much money selling unproven and untested COVID vaccines.

The vaccines, instead of stopping the pandemic, damaged the heart muscles of millions.

I hope that the vaccinated people will be able to ask for compensation for their damaged hearts.


The reality, sadly, is that the damage will most likely be ignored, and the vaccine billionaires will enjoy their newfound wealth while the hysterical Pfizer-sponsored press will be scaring us with new distractions.

What do you think?

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Featured image is from Children's Health Defense



The Worldwide Corona Crisis, Global Coup d'Etat Against Humanity

by Michel Chossudovsky

Michel Chossudovsky reviews in detail how this insidious project “destroys people’s lives”. He provides a comprehensive analysis of everything you need to know about the “pandemic” — from the medical dimensions to the economic and social repercussions, political underpinnings, and mental and psychological impacts.

“My objective as an author is to inform people worldwide and refute the official narrative which has been used as a justification to destabilize the economic and social fabric of entire countries, followed by the imposition of the “deadly” COVID-19 “vaccine”. This crisis affects humanity in its entirety: almost 8 billion people. We stand in solidarity with our fellow human beings and our children worldwide. Truth is a powerful instrument.”

Reviews

This is an in-depth resource of great interest if it is the wider perspective you are motivated to understand a little better, the author is very knowledgeable about geopolitics and this comes out in the way Covid is contextualized. —Dr. Mike Yeadon

In this war against humanity in which we find ourselves, in this singular, irregular and massive assault against liberty and the goodness of people, Chossudovsky's book is a rock upon which to sustain our fight. —Dr. Emanuel Garcia

In fifteen concise science-based chapters, Michel traces the false covid pandemic, explaining how a PCR test, producing up to 97% proven false positives, combined with a relentless 24/7 fear campaign, was able to create a worldwide panic-laden “plandemic”; that this plandemic would never have been possible without the infamous DNA-modifying

Polymerase Chain Reaction test – which to this day is being pushed on a majority of innocent people who have no clue. His conclusions are evidenced by renown scientists.
—Peter Koenig

Professor Chossudovsky exposes the truth that “there is no causal relationship between the virus and economic variables.” In other words, it was not COVID-19 but, rather, the deliberate implementation of the illogical, scientifically baseless lockdowns that caused the shutdown of the global economy. –David Skripac

A reading of Chossudovsky’s book provides a comprehensive lesson in how there is a global coup d’état under way called “The Great Reset” that if not resisted and defeated by freedom loving people everywhere will result in a dystopian future not yet imagined. Pass on this free gift from Professor Chossudovsky before it’s too late. You will not find so much valuable information and analysis in one place. –Edward Curtin

ISBN: 978-0-9879389-3-0, Year: 2022, PDF Ebook, Pages: 164, 15 Chapters

Price: ~~\$11.50~~ FREE COPY! [Click here \(docsend\) and download.](#)

We encourage you to support the eBook project by making a donation through Global Research’s [DonorBox “Worldwide Corona Crisis” Campaign Page.](#)

The original source of this article is [Igor’s Newsletter](#)

Copyright © [Igor Chudov](#), [Igor’s Newsletter](#), 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Igor Chudov](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca