

## The Impacts of Roundup (Glyphosate): Immune Suppression, Total Corporate Control over Agriculture, Destruction of the Family Farm

By <u>Mischa Popoff</u> Global Research, April 22, 2020 Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Biotechnology and GMO</u>, <u>Intelligence</u>, <u>Science and Medicine</u>

The war is on. A crucial weapon in the attack is Roundup (glyphosate), a herbicide that's being used for something for which it was never intended[1]: the forced ripening of crops, called **desiccation**, all but guaranteeing it will wind up in our food.

Roundup hinders biosynthesis by poisoning the *shikimate* pathway in plants. Humans don't rely on the same chemistry as plants, but we were never supposed to actually eat this herbicide in food. It turns out our bodies rely on trillions of microorganisms integral to our immune systems that **do** employ the *shikimate* pathway, which means Roundup contributes directly to the suppression of our immune systems.[2]

Ignoring this, Bayer execs seek to dominate the fast-growing desiccation market, and have purchased Monsanto and, along with it, thousands of lawsuits filed by people who claim to have contracted cancer while **applying** Roundup. None of these lawsuits are from consumers who have inadvertently consumed Roundup in their food because it will forever remain impossible to clinically prove one's immune system may have been impacted so long as conventional medicine fails to help us boost overall health, pushing patented, pharmaceutical vaccinations instead. In fact, the government has never required any longterm research into the possible immunological impact of Roundup, and shows no interest in doing so.

*Don't bother looking, and you won't find any bad news*, is the maxim being applied. And it's working marvelously.

Strangely, none of the settlements to date against Bayer have been for farmers. Wouldn't farmers be the first to succumb to illness if Roundup (glyphosate) was a carcinogen and **applying** it was the problem? Does this mean the Roundup lawsuits are just a diversionary tactic in a much larger battle?

Shareholders are certainly worried. But Bayer executives have everything to gain from a protracted legal battle, replete with dire headlines warning that Roundup is dangerous, so long as no one figures out that the real problem isn't with people applying Roundup; it's with people **eating** it.

Anyone who believes Werner Baumann, the CEO of Bayer, made a big mistake when he signed the deal to buy Monsanto, doesn't understand his role in the globalist agenda. To say nothing of the crucial role Roundup is potentially playing in the Covid-19 "pandemic" through **immune suppression**, and how Covid-19 is itself playing into the larger globalist

agenda, and may even be an integral part of it.[3]

Desiccation is the target market for Bayer execs. But first, they have to become indemnified against any future claims of injury, whether by those who apply or **consume** Roundup,

- just as vaccine companies were indemnified by President Reagan in the 1980s,
- just as Big Tobacco was indemnified in the 1990s by President Clinton.

In both cases, all future liabilities were passed on to We the People, while the mounting cost of regulatory compliance, a direct consequence of that protracted legal process, resulted in monopoly control.

In short, Bayer plans to swallow up all of the off-brand makers of glyphosate (generic Roundup) as a thicket of new "safety" regulations are implemented surrounding the manufacture and use of Roundup, leaving only Bayer standing as the sole global supplier of this herbicide that corporate farmers can't live without; that consumers can't live with. In fact, Baumann's "people" will already be working with regulators to make sure everything is worded just right, with the allowable "safe" limits for ingesting Roundup remaining based on outdated LD50 testing (Lethal Dose 50% in lab animals).

These were toxicological tests, not immunological. To repeat again, no one has ever tested how Roundup impacts the immune system that teams with microorganisms that ARE adversely impacted by Roundup through interruption of the *shikimate* pathway. And if Bayer execs gets their way, no one ever will.

Have you noticed no one argues any longer about whether smoking causes cancer? Admitting the problem only grants license to perpetuate the problem. It's what happened in the meat industry in 1906, with the repeal of Prohibition of alcohol in 1933, GMOs in the 1990s, cellphone towers in 1996, cellphones thereafter, and it's happening right now with 5G.

All of which begs the following two questions:

- Does Roundup cause cancer as is being claimed in the Roundup application lawsuits? It doesn't even matter in this scheme.
- Should Roundup be banned outright? No my friend; that's just one side of a false dichotomy. It's certainly dangerous when misused. But even if it was always dangerous, both sides already agree Roundup will never be banned. If it was, how would all the lawyers get paid?

The overuse and misuse of **Roundup is also contributing to the final destruction of the family farm** by allowing for fewer, low-skilled laborers to harvest large tracts of land, leading to total corporate control over food production. Remember what Kissinger said about controlling food?

It's madness. But so far, no one in Washington cares, with the exception of JFK's nephew, **Robert F. Kennedy Jr**., who, God bless him for his principled stand against **Bill Gates** and vaccines,[4] doesn't hold office.

Whatever this Covid-19 "pandemic" turns out to be, and whatever the NEXT one turns out to be, can anyone deny we're LESS healthy than our ancestors were? Since when did

harvesting a crop become impossible without the aid of a toxic substance? Remember the "failure of imagination" we were told prevented intelligence agencies from foreseeing 9-11? Don't fall for it again.

Baumann, his phalanx of lawyers, accountants, right alongside his creditors, **their** phalanx of lawyers and accountants, AND their ratings agencies and insurers... all knew exactly what they were getting into when Bayer bought Monsanto. He's playing the role of the victim... but would better be described as the cat who ate the canary.

Meanwhile, if you can contract Covid-19 more than once, can someone please tell me what good a vaccine will be? Never let a crisis go to waste. And when you can't find one, make one up.

\*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

**Mischa Popoff** grew up on a grain farm and worked as a USDA, CFIA and EU organic farm and process inspector. He's written about the selling-out of the American organic industry to China for such outlets as The Daily Caller, Breitbart, Consumer Affairs and The Capital Research Center, and is the author of a self-published book titled Is it Organic? Threequarters of the organic food you see on the store shelf is imported, and almost half tests positive for prohibited pesticides. He now writes on occasion for Dr. E. Michael Jones' Culture Wars magazine where a more detailed version of this article will appear in June.

## Notes

[1] "Glyphosate is not a true desiccant." Ian Schemenauer, "Desiccation and pre-harvest glyphosate" Government of Saskatchewan, Ministry of Agriculture, 22 October 2016.

[2] Roundup is also widely used on many GMO crops **during** the growing season, which certainly contributes to this problem. But the application immediately **prior** to harvest all-but guarantees this herbicide will be present in our food.

[3] Henry A. Kissinger, "The Coronavirus Pandemic Will Forever Alter the World Order; The U.S. must protect its citizens from disease while starting the urgent work of planning for a new epoch." *The Wall Street Journal*, Opinion, April 3, 2020.

[4] "Robert F Kennedy Jr. Exposes Bill Gates' Vaccine Dictatorship Plan – Cites Gates' Twisted 'Messiah Complex'" on *Fort Russ News*, April 10, 2020.

Featured image is from Mike Mozart/Flickr/CC

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Mischa Popoff</u>, Global Research, 2020

**Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page** 

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

## Articles by: Mischa Popoff

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca